CCI Submission to Transport for NSW

22 December 2022



Level 3 Legion House 161 Castlereagh St Sydney NSW 2000 Tel 8060 5172

ABN 42 165 239 592

21 December 2022

Mr. Rob Sharp Secretary, Transport for NSW cc. Ms. Camilla Drover, Deputy Secretary, Infrastructure and Place Ms. Rachel Cumming, Director Land Use, Network & Place Planning Mr. Peter Barber, Director City Futures, Bayside Council

Dear Secretary,

Cooks Cove Planning Proposal - TfNSW Consultation Requirements arising from Gateway Determination (2022-1748).

The purpose of this letter is to seek the approval of the Secretary, Transport for NSW, to progress the Cooks Cove Planning Proposal to community consultation, in line with the requirements of Gateway Determination PP-2022-1748 and Local Planning Direction 5.2.

Specifically, the Gateway Determination stipulates:

"1. The planning proposal is to be updated prior to community consultation to:

(a) address consistency with 9.1 Direction 1.12 Implementation of Planning Principles for the Cooks Cove Precinct, including:

(i) obtain approval from TfNSW that the planning proposal will not compromise future transport links, deliver a safe road network and enhance walking and cycling connectivity and the use of public transport in accordance with the requirements of the principles

(d) address consistency with 9.1 Direction 5.2 Reserving Land for a Public Purpose by seeking approval from TfNSW that the land currently zoned Special Uses is no longer needed for public purposes"

The above matters will be addressed comprehensively in the updated Planning Proposal package that is presently being prepared for public exhibition purposes.

With reference to the specific matters for which permission to progress the Planning Proposal to community consultation is sought, we provide the following summary in support of our request:

• <u>Will not compromise future transport links</u>

Resolution of Gateway Condition (1)(a)(i) is informed by traffic modelling outcomes arising from discussions of 23 November 2022 with TfNSW officers, the detailed micro-simulation (VISSIM) traffic model and accompanying Traffic Modelling Summary report submission of 24 November 2022.



These elements have informed the production of a revised Transport Impact Assessment report by JMT Consulting dated December 2022, which is provided in support of the Planning Proposal (<u>Attachment A</u>).

Traffic modelling and associated reporting demonstrates that the future Marsh Street signalised intersections function satisfactorily, with the Cooks Cove development in place, and do not significantly compromise the operational performance of the road network. The upgraded intersections on Marsh Street will deliver new pedestrian crossing facilities and provide for traffic lane widths in accordance with relevant TfNSW design guidelines.

Deliver a safe road network

Concurrent with the issue of an updated Transport Impact Assessment (December 2022), please see attached our draft Letter of Offer (<u>Attachment B</u>) detailing the scope of proposed infrastructure upgrades to be undertaken as part of the project.

In summary:

The following elements are to be delivered prior to the first occupation certificate being issued:

- Gertrude Street extension (Levey Street to Marsh Street),
- Levey Street / Gertrude Street signalised intersection,
- Gertrude Street widening (Levey Street to Princes Highway),
- Marsh Street / Gertrude Street signalised intersection,
- Modification of Marsh Street / Innesdale Road from existing traffic lights to left in/left out only,
- Marsh Street / Flora Street intersection enhancement,
- Gertrude Street East extension,
- Flora Street East extension, and
- New Marsh Street bus stops.

Monetary contributions are to be progressively paid per 1,000 sqm of constructed GFA, prior to occupation certificates being issued, for :

- Monetary contribution of **\$4m** to TfNSW scheme to contribute to any future scheme to enhance the Giovanni Brunetti Bridge as an east-west active transport corridor and improve regional connections to the International Airport Railway Station Precinct.
- Road network upgrades along Forest Road west of Princes Highway or alternative locations as identified by TfNSW to improve the State/Regional road network or signalised intersections in the vicinity of the Cooks Cove site.

A conceptual infrastructure contribution plans and associated scoping is also outlined in <u>Attachment B</u>. Proposed road and intersection design drawings as prepared by Arup are outlined in <u>Attachment E</u>.

The value of the total work-in-kind contribution will be validated in consultation with TfNSW. Works will include improvements to adjoining Local and State infrastructure



and as a consequence an appropriate methodology is to be identified to facilitate the efficient delivery of infrastructure improvements to the benefit of Bayside Council and the State of NSW. Subject to TfNSW's endorsement, works will be divided into separable deeds / VPAs to enable delivery between stakeholders, as required.

On the basis of the Proponent's commitment to resolve a legally binding agreement with TfNSW, prior to gazettal of the rezoning, to deliver agreed infrastructure improvement and make progressive monetary contributions to further regional infrastructure improvements, we request TfNSW's written confirmation that the Cooks Cove Planning Proposal 'will deliver a safe road network'.

• Enhance walking and cycling connectivity and the use of public transport

The proposal will deliver a 900m long x 20 m wide landscaped waterfront contribution to the 'Homebush Bay to Botany Bay' regional active transport link along the western bank of the Cooks River, incorporating pedestrian, cycling and passive recreation infrastructure. This initiative will provide a key missing link in this regional active transport corridor through private land that was previously inaccessible to cyclists and pedestrians.

In accordance with Bayside Council preferences, this land will be retained in private ownership, zoned RE1 Public Recreation, subject to public access covenants and will be maintained and periodically renewed in accordance with a foreshore management plan. These improvements will be designed to integrate with the Cahill Park improvements undertaken by Bayside Council to enhance connectivity with Wolli Creek Station. Further detail is provided in the Urban Design and Landscape report extract prepared by Hassell and provided at <u>Attachment D</u>.

The proposal will also deliver new signalised pedestrian crossings at the Marsh Street / Flora Street, Marsh Street / Gertrude Street and Gertrude Street / Levey Street intersections to improve walking and cycling connectivity between the Arncliffe, Cooks Cove and Wolli Creek communities.

These improved pedestrian connections will be supplemented by the new Gertrude Street East extension providing new connectivity between Levey Street to the Cooks Cove foreshore recreation precinct, to the Marsh Street (east) existing cycleway, as well as a new pedestrian and cycleway network within Pemulwuy Park (Marsh Street Parklands). This new pedestrian and cycling network will be in part facilitated by freehold land dedications for public recreation, that will substantially improve eastwest connectivity, and create the opportunity for further walking and cycling connections to Kyeemagh and beyond (subject to the assistance and co-operation of adjoining landowners and stakeholders Sydney Water, Bayside Council and the Commonwealth).

New bus stops in an eastbound and westbound direction on Marsh Street, subject to the assistance of TfNSW and Bayside Council, will assist servicing the public transport needs of the new Cooks Cove worker and visitor population by providing



transit connections to the regional Mascot to Burwood via Rockdale route 420 service, subject to route modifications arising from the Sydney Gateway project.

Accordingly, we request TfNSW's written confirmation that the Cooks Cove Planning Proposal 'will <u>enhance walking and cycling connectivity and the use</u> of public transport"

• Satisfy the requirements of Section 9.1 Direction 5.2 Reserving Land for a Public Purpose,

We request a short statement of acknowledgement, consistent with previous TfNSW correspondence, that the 'Special Use' zoning affectation on land that is the subject of the Cooks Cove Planning Proposal is no longer needed for public purposes, and that the Planning Proposal *will not compromise future transport links.*

Specifically, we refer to earlier correspondence from TfNSW's Tessa Knox-Grant of 14 March 2022 which stated :

"As advised in a letter from TfNSW to Boyd Properties on 19 November 2021, TfNSW does not require the F6 corridor across the Kogarah Golf Course lands for a Transport purpose beyond the use of the F6 corridor within the defined Project Construction Site for the M6 Project". (<u>Attachment C</u> – Deputy Secretary correspondence 19 November 2021)

The 'Kogarah Golf Course lands' zoned 'Special Uses', pursuant to Chapter 6 of SEPP (Precincts – Eastern Harbour City) 2021, are Lot 100 DP 1231954 and Lot 1 DP108492.

A portion of Lot 1 DP108492, zoned 'Special Uses' is located within the M6 Stage 1 temporary construction compound. The Planning Proposal contemplates that this and an adjoining portion of Lot 1 DP108492 zoned 'Special Uses', located external to the construction compound, be rezoned 'RE1 Public Recreation'. This zoning change will not affect the capacity of the land to be used for temporary M6 Stage 1 construction staging purposes, and is consistent with past RTA undertakings to Bayside Council.

The portion of Lot 100 DP1231954, zoned 'Special Uses', to be rezoned 'SP4 Enterprise', is within the development zone of the Cooks Cove project, and external to the temporary M6/M8 Construction Compound. TfNSW have previously confirmed that this land is not required for motorway purposes.

Further, TfNSW's 'Future Transport 2056 South East Sydney Transport Strategy' identifies the Preferred Scenario alignment for Metro Line 2056 to be external to the Northern Cooks Cove Precinct, and in the alternative connecting the Sydney International Airport precinct to Brighton Le Sands, via the Kyeemagh peninsula.

Accordingly, we request TfNSW's confirmation, consistent with preceding correspondence, that land zoned 'Special Uses' within the northern Cooks Cove Precinct, is not needed for public purposes.



Following planned joint discussions with TfNSW, DPE and Council and review of the documentation provided, we hereby request TfNSW provide confirmation that the Planning Proposal in its current form and based on the information provided, can proceed towards public exhibition which is targeted for 3 April 2023.

We would greatly appreciate your earliest consideration of our request and a prompt response such that we can commence making the appropriate arrangements with the Sydney Eastern City Planning Panel to commence community consultation.

Yours Sincerely

1a

John Boyd Director



Proposed road and intersection design drawings (Arup) (Attachment E)

OVERHEAD.

RCBC STORMWATER PIT.

PROVIDE NEW STORMWATER DRAINAGE LINE WITH INLETS ALONG KERB LINE OF PROPOSED LEFT TURN LANE. CONNECT PROPOSED DRAINAGE LINE TO EXISTING RCBC STORMWATER PIT.

REET

EVE

RETAIN EXISTING RCBC STORMWATER -CULVERT AND SEAL INLETS WHERE NEW ROAD PAVEMENT IS INCLUDED OVERHEAD

NO ALTERATIONS REQUIRED FOR EXISTING KERB RETURNS TO CATER FOR LARGER DESIGN VEHICLES

FLORA ST.

-DALE ROAD

LOT 14 DP213314

OPEN LAWN

M8 / M6 MOTORWAY OPERATING CENTERS

LOT 1 DP329283

FROG PONDS (BY) TfNSW)

Do not scale

A1



κ

	M N	
י ר		NOTES:
<u> </u>	SITE BOUNDARY	1. ALL LANE AND MEDIAN WIDTH
	COOK COVE NORTHERN PRECINCT BOUNDARY	DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE MEASURED FROM CENTRE OF LANE LINES TO THE: 1.1. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SA'
	EXISTING CADASTRAL BOUNDARY	KERB AND CHANNELS. 1.2. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SF' MEDIAN KERBS.
	PROPOSED DESIGN	
	LANDSCAPE DESIGN BY OTHERS	
	TREES TO BE REMOVED	
	LOT 1 EXTENT	
	LOT 14 EXTENT	
	PROPOSED COOK COVE DEVELOPMENT FOOTPRINT WITHIN LOT 14	
	M8 / M6 STAGE 1 OPERATION FACILITY BOUNDARY	
×	POTENTIAL INCREASE OF TURN-AROUND AREA WITHIN MOC1 SITE FROM RELOCATION OF ACCESS	
		0 21/12/22 CL OW EB
		DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION
		ARIJP
		CONSULT AUSTRALIA Member Firm Arup Pty Ltd
		Client COOK
		Job Title
		COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748) CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN
		Drawing Title PROPOSED COOK COVE DEVELOPMENT FOOTPRINT WITHIN LOT 14
		Scale at A1 1:1000 Discipline Civil
25	50m A1 / A3	Job No Drawing Status 252942-00 DRAFT Drawing No Issue
		SK109 0
		© Arup

NORTHERN NEARSIDE LANE WIDENED NORTHWARD TO PROVIDE 4 x 3.2m LANES

KERB RETURNS AMENDED TO ACCOMODATE SWEPT PATH

GERTRUDE STREET

SOUTHERN KERB RETAINED

EXISTING ROUNDABOUT CONVERTED -TO SIGNALISED INTERSECTION

KERB RETURNS AMENDED TO ACCOMMODATE SWEPT PATH

Do not scale

A1

~

к

- EXISTING ONSTREET PARKING REMOVED AND CONVERTED TO THROUGH/LT LANE TO OPTIMISE INTERSECTION OPERATION

LEVEY STREET

- MAJOR ROAD WORKS IN EXISTING CAR PARK REQUIRED FOR GERTRUDE STREET EXTENSION

NDR.

STREET

L		M N	
	LEGEND:		
		EXISTING CADASTRAL BOUNDARY	
		PROPOSED DESIGN	
6			
-			
1			
			0 21/12/22 CL OW EB
			Issue Date By Chkd Appd
			ARUP
			CONSULT AUSTRA
			Member Firm Arup Pty Ltd ABN 18 000 966 165
			COOK
			COVE
			COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748)
			CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN
			DEVELOPMENT FOOTPRINT GERTRUDE STREET INTERSECTIO
			(WITH AERIAL)
			Scale at A1 1:250 Discipline Civil
	0 5	10m A1 / A3	Job No Drawing Status 252942-00 DRAFT
		1:250 / 1:500	Drawing No Issue



	VI N	1
٠		NOTES:
<u>,</u>	SITE BOUNDARY	1. ALL LANE AND MEDIAN WIDTH
	EXISTING CADASTRAL	DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE MEASURED FROM CENTRE OF
	BOUNDARY	LANE LINES TO THE: 1.1. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SA'
	LANDSCAPE DESIGN BY	1.2. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SF'
	OTHERS	WEDIAN RERDS.
	TREES TO BE REMOVED	
	PROPOSED COOK COVE	
	DEVELOPMENT FOOTPRINT WITHIN LOT 14	
		0 21/12/22 CL OW EB
		DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Issue Date By Chkd Appd
		ARUP
		CONSULT AUSTRALIA
		Arup Pty Ltd ABN 18 000 966 165 Client
		COOK
		COVE
		Job Title COOK COVE PLANNING
		PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748) CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE
		PROPOSED COOK COVE
		SHEET 1 OF 1
		Scale at A1 1:500 Discipline Civil
		Job No Drawing Status 252942-00 DRAFT
10	20m A1 / A3	Drawing No Issue 0
		© Arup



	NOTES:
EXISTING CADASTRAL	DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE MEASURED FROM CENTRE OF
	1.1. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SA'
 PROPOSED DESIGN LANDSCAPE DESIGN BY 	1.2. KERB AND CHANNELS. MEDIAN KERBS.
OTHERS	
LOT 14 EXTENT	
OF FREEHOLD LAND SET ASIDE FOR EXTREME FLOOD EVENT / PROBABLE MAXIMUM FLOOD (PMF) OVERLAND FLOW MANAGEMENT	
POTENTIAL INCREASE OF TURN-AROUND AREA WITHIN MOC1 SITE FROM RELOCATION OF ACCESS	
	0 21/12/22 CL OW EB
	DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Issue Date By Chkd Appd
	Member Firm Arup Pty Ltd ABN 18 000 966 165
	Client
	Job Title COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748) CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURF
	PROPOSED COOK COVE FLORA STREET INTERSECTION
	PROPOSED DESIGN LAYOUT
	Scale at A1 1:500 Discipline
	Civil Job No Drawing Status 252942-00 DRAFT
10 20m A1 / A3 1:500 / 1:1000	Drawing No Issue



	INDIC RAI LOC
	-94°47'50"
	42.7 360
51 51	

1.1. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SA' KERB AND CHANNELS. 1.2. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SF' MEDIAN KERBS. OW 0 21/12/22 CL EB DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Issue Date By Chkd Appd ARUP CONSULT AUSTRALIA Member Firm Arup Pty Ltd ABN 18 000 966 165 Client COOK Job Title COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748) CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN Drawing Title PROPOSED COOK COVE FLORA STREET INTERSECTION PLAN & LONGITUDINAL SECTION SHEET 1 OF 2 Scale at A1 1:500 Discipline Civil Job No Drawing Status 252942-00 DRAFT Drawing No

Issue

0

© Arup

SK106

NOTES:

1. ALL LANE AND MEDIAN WIDTH DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE

LANE LINES TO THE:

MEASURED FROM CENTRE OF

5	10m	A1 / A3 1:200 / 1:400
10	20m	A1 / A3 1:500 / 1:1000
25	50m	A1 / A3 1:1000 / 1:2000



М	N		
<u>:</u>			
ANE AN NSIONS I CENTF KERB F AND CH KERB F KERBS	D MEDIAN WIDTH SHOWN ARE MEASU RE OF LANE LINES TO ACE OF 'TYPE SA' KE HANNELS. ACE OF 'TYPE SF' ME	RED THE: RB :DIAN	
CORDA SDD R -CGU-SV OLLOW TO COI KING IN	NCE WITH TABLE 13 II EPORT (REF: WMOC1-CV-RPT-2820 /ING VEHICLES HAVE NFIRM COMPLIANT ITO AND WITHIN THE	N THE 00), BEEN MOC1	
m LONG BEEN US JTES. R FOR SV 5 m LON BEEN US SE, SEF CLES. R FOR SV 5 m LON BEEN US IES (GR R TO SK PT PATH n LONG CLE HAS ESENT CH PLA	G RIGID DESIGN VEHIC SED TO REPRESENT (EFER TO SKETCH PL/ VEPT PATHS. G RIGID DESIGN VEH SED TO REPRESENT RVICE AND EMERGEN EFER TO SKETCH PL/ VEPT PATHS. G RIGID DESIGN VEH SED TO REPRESENT OVE GMK 5150 & 5200 KETCH PLAN SK52 FO IS. ARTICULATED CHEC S BEEN USED TO SEMI-TRAILERS. REFIN	CLE CARS AN ICLE CY AN ICLE)). R K ER TO PATHS.	
PROVIDI MOC1 SI DESIGN EVELOP SS TRA LS AND	ED SDD 3D MODELS F TE AND SURROUNDIN AREAS HAVE BEEN AND TIE-IN THE REV CK ARRANGEMENT, EXTENTS.	FOR NG USED ISED	
٦·			
<u>D.</u>	SITE BOUNDARY		
	EXISTING CADASTRA BOUNDARY	۸L	
	PROPOSED DESIGN		
	LANDSCAPE DESIGN OTHERS	BY	
	TREES TO BE REMO	VED	
	M6 MOC SITE		
	LOT 1 EXTENT		0 21/12/22 CL OW EB DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION
	LOT 14 EXTENT		Issue Date By Cliku Appu
	APPROXIMATE EXTE OF FREEHOLD LAND ASIDE FOR EXTREME FLOOD EVENT / PROM MAXIMUM FLOOD (PM OVERLAND FLOW MANAGEMENT	NT SET E BABLE MF)	ARUP Member Firm Arup Pty Ltd ABN 18 000 966 165 Client
	POTENTIAL INCREAS TURN-AROUND AREA WITHIN MOC1 SITE F RELOCATION OF ACC	E OF A ROM CESS	Job Title COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748) CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN
			Drawing Title PROPOSED COOK COVE MC01 SITE ACCESS GENERAL LAYOUT
			Scale at A1 1:500 Discipline
10	20m A1 / A3		LJLJ4L-UU UKAF I Drawing No Issue CLLDQ C



	IN	
_		NOTES:
<u>):</u>		
SITE B	OUNDARY	1. ALL LANE AND MEDIAN WIDTH DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE
EXISTI	NG CADASTRAL	
		1.1. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SA'
		1.2. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SF'
OTHER	S	MEDIAN KERBS.
TREES	TO BE REMOVED	
LOT 14	EXTENT	
PROPO	OSED COOK COVE	
WITHIN	N LOT 14	
		0 21/12/22 CL OW/ EB
		DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION
		Issue Date By Chkd Appd
		ARUF
		CONSULT AUSTRALIA
		Member Firm Arup Pty Ltd ABN 18 000 966 165
		Client
		COVE
		Job Title COOK COVE PLANNING
		PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748) CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE
		DESIGN
		GERTRUDE STREET INTERSECTION
		PLAN
		Scale at A1 1:500
		Civil Job No Drawing Status
10 20m	A1 / A3	252942-00 DRAFT
	1:500 / 1:1000	SK151 0
		© Aruj



M N	
<u></u> .	NOTES:
SITE BOUNDARY	1. ALL LANE AND MEDIAN WIDTH
	DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE
BOUNDARY	LANE LINES TO THE:
	1.1. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SA' KERB AND CHANNELS.
LANDSCAPE DESIGN BY	1.2. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SF' MEDIAN KERBS
OTHERS	MEDIAN REROO.
TREES TO BE REMOVED	
LOT 14 EXTENT	
PROPOSED COOK COVE	
DEVELOPMENT FOOTPRINT WITHIN LOT 14	
5	
	0 21/12/22 CL OW EB
	DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION
12.500m 2.500m abt 4.300m	Issue Date By Chkd Appd
1 Clearance 0.490m 2.500m ne. 6.00s	ADIID
13.7	Member Firm
	Arup Pty Ltd ABN 18 000 966 165
	COOK
Max 72* Horiz Max 6° Vert 13 80	COVE /
d semi-trailer (19 m) 19.000m	
2.500m ight 4.300m d Clearance 0.540m	
ne 6.00s Irning Radius 12.500m	NORTHERN PRECINCT
	GERTRUDE STREET INTERSECTION
	DESIGN VEHICLE TURN PATHS SHEET 1 OF 3
(8.8 m) 8.800m	
eight 2.500m 4.300m nd Clearance <u>0.42</u> 7m	Scale at A1 1:500
2.500m 4.00s Turning Radius 12.500m	Civil Job No Drawing Status
10 20m A1 / A3	252942-00 DRAFT
1:500 / 1:1000	SK152 Issue
	' © Arup



N	/I N	
<u>):</u>		
_	SITE BOUNDARY	
	EXISTING CADASTRAL BOUNDARY	DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE MEASURED FROM CENTRE OF LANE LINES TO THE:
	PROPOSED DESIGN	1.1. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SA' KERB AND CHANNELS.
	LANDSCAPE DESIGN BY	KERBS.
	TREES TO BE REMOVED	
	LOT 14 EXTENT	
	PROPOSED COOK COVE	
	DEVELOPMENT FOOTPRINT WITHIN LOT 14	
i		
/Bus (^	12.5 m) 12.500m	0 21/12/22 CL OW EB
ght Clearai ne	2.500m 4.300m nce 0.490m 2.500m 6.00s	Issue Date By Chkd Appd
rning Ro	adius 12.500m	
1		
		Member Firm Arup Pty Ltd
		ABN 18 000 966 165
(8.8 m)	8. <u>800</u> m	COOK
ight d Clearc	2.500m 4.300m ance 0.427m 2.500m 4.00c	
urning R	Radius 12.500m	COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748)
	47.7	CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN
1		Drawing Title
	$\qquad \bigcirc \bigcirc$	PROPOSED COOK COVE GERTRUDE STREET INTERSECTION
Max 72° H Max 6° Ve	Horiz Ho	CHECK VEHICLE TURN PATHS SHEET 2 OF 3
nd semi	—trailer (19 m) 19.000m 2.500m	Scale at A1 1.500
nght d Clear me	4.300m ance 0.540m 2.500m 6.00s 12.500m	Discipline Civil
1 <u>0</u>	20m A1 / A3	Job No Drawing Status 252942-00 DRAFT
1	1:500 / 1:1000	Drawing No Issue



N	
<u>) :</u>	IOTES:
SITE BOUNDARY	
EXISTING CADASTRAL BOUNDARY	DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE MEASURED FROM CENTRE OF LANE LINES TO THE:
— PROPOSED DESIGN	1.1. LIP LINE OF 'TYPE SA' KERB AND CHANNELS.
LANDSCAPE DESIGN BY	KERBS.
TREES TO BE REMOVED	
LOT 14 EXTENT	
PROPOSED COOK COVE	
DEVELOPMENT FOOTPRINT WITHIN LOT 14	-
	0 21/12/22 CL OW EB
	Issue Date By Chkd Appd
5	Member Firm Arm Bhyl Id
	ABN 18 000 966 165
	COOK
12.500m 2.500m 4.500m	COVL
gnt 4.300m 3 Clearance 0.490m 2.500m ne 6.00s urning Radius 12,500m	COOK COVE PLANNING
177	CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN
13.7	Drawing Title
	PROPOSED COOK COVE GERTRUDE STREET INTERSECTION
Max 72° Horiz Max 6° Vert 1.3 8.2 1.3 1.3 1.5	CHECK VEHICLE TURN PATHS SHEET 3 OF 3
d semi—trailer (19 m) 19.000m 2.500m	Scale at A1 1:500
d semi-trailer (19 m) 19.000m 2.500m d Clearance 0.540m 2.500m ne 6.00s 1005	Scale at A1 1:500 Discipline Civil
d semi-trailer (19 m) 19.000m 2.500m 4.300m 3 Clearance 0.540m 2.500m 0.540m 1.0 10 10 20m A1 / A3	Scale at A1 1:500 Discipline Civil Job No Drawing Status 252942-00 DRAFT



M N	1
D.	NOTES:
SITE BOUNDARY	DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE
EXISTING CADASTRAL BOUNDARY	MEASURED FROM CENTRE OF LANE LINES TO THE:
	1.2. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SF'
OTHERS	MEDIAN KERBS.
TREES TO BE REMOVED	
LOT 14 EXTENT	
DEVELOPMENT FOOTPRINT	
WITHIN LOT 14	
12.5	
2 1.3	0 21/12/22 CL OW EB
ruck/Bus (12.5 m) 12.500m	
Leight 2.500m und Clearance 0.490m 2.500m	
time 6.00s Turning Radius 12.500m	ARIIP
47.7	
	Member Firm
	Arup Pty Ltd ABN 18 000 966 165
	COOK
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ČŎVĒ 🚄
and semi-trailer (19 m) 19.000m 2.500m	
Height 4.300m und Clearance 0.540m 2.500m time 6.00s	JOD TITLE COOK COVE PLANNING
Turning Radius 12.500m	PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748) CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURF
	DESIGN
	Drawing Title
	PROPOSED COOK COVE GERTRUDE STREET INTERSECTION
Image: Weight of the second	CHECK VEHICLE TURN PATHS
6.8 + 1.3 + 1.3 + 1.6 + 1.3 + 1.6 + 1.3 + 1.6 + 1.3 + 1.6 + 1.3 + 1.6 + 1.3 + 1.6	
Height 4.300m	Scale at A1 1:500
und Clearance 0.540m 2.500m time 6.00s	Discipline
	Job No Drawing Status 252942-00 DRAFT
10 20m A1 / A3	Drawing No Issue
	© Arup



M N	
חו.	NOTES:
 SITE BOUNDARY EXISTING CADASTRAL BOUNDARY PROPOSED DESIGN LANDSCAPE DESIGN BY OTHERS TREES TO BE REMOVED LOT 14 EXTENT PROPOSED COOK COVE DEVELOPMENT FOOTPRINT WITHIN LOT 14 	 ALL LANE AND MEDIAN WIDTH DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE MEASURED FROM CENTRE OF LANE LINES TO THE: KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SA' KERB AND CHANNELS. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SF' MEDIAN KERBS.
10.86 12.5 10.86 12.5 10.86 12.5 10.86 12.5 10.87 1.3 10.86 1.3 10.87 1.3 10.86 1.3 10.86 1.3 10.87 1.3 10.80 1.3 10.20 0.00 10.20 0.00 10.20 0.1 10.20 0.1 10.20 0.1 10.20 0.1	0 21/12/22 CL OW EB DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Issue Date By Chid Appd Appd Appd OW EB DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Issue Date By Chid Appd Appd ONLITATION Issue OW OW
	SK156 0



۰.		NOTES:
<u>.</u>	SITE BOUNDARY	1. ALL LANE AND MEDIAN WIDTH
	EXISTING CADASTRAL	DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE MEASURED FROM CENTRE OF
	BOUNDARY	LANE LINES TO THE: 1.1. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SA'
	LANDSCAPE DESIGN BY	1.2. KERB AND CHANNELS.
	OTHERS	MEDIAN KERBS.
	TREES TO BE REMOVED	
	LOT 14 EXTENT	
	DEVELOPMENT FOOTPRINT	
	WITTIIN LOT 14	
		0 21/12/22 CL OW EB DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION
		Issue Date By Chkd Appd
		ARUP
	13.7	CONSULT AUSTRALIA
I		Member Firm Arup Pty Ltd ABN 18 000 966 165
) Max 72° ⊦		Client
1.3 6 Ve	$ \frac{1}{8.2} + \frac{1}{1.3} + \frac{1}{1.5} + 1$	COVE
nd active	19.000m 2.500m	Job Title
nd semi eight Id Clear	ance 0.540m	
nd semi eight nd Clear ime urning F	4.300m ance 0.540m 2.500m 6.00s Radius 12.500m	COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748)
nd semi eight nd Clear ime urning F	4.300m ance 0.540m 2.500m 6.00s Radius 12.500m	COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748) CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN
nd semi eight nd Cleard ime urning F 10.	ance 0.540m 2.500m 6.00s Radius 12.500m	COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748) CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN
nd semi eight nd Clear ime urning F 10.	ance 0.540m 2.500m 6.00s Radius 12.500m	COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748) CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN Drawing Title PROPOSED COOK COVE GERTRUDE STREET INTERSECTION CHECK VEHICLE TURN PATHS
nd semi eight nd Clear ime urning F 10. 1 1 2 ^{2°} Horiz ^{22°} Horiz ^{22°} Horiz	ance $\begin{array}{c} 4.300m\\ 0.540m\\ 2.500m\\ 6.00s\\ Radius 12.500m\\ \hline 12.5 \\ \hline \hline 12.5 \\ \hline \hline 12.5 \\ \hline \hline \hline 12.5 \\ \hline \hline \hline 12.5 \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline 12.5 \\ \hline \hline$	COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748) CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN Drawing Title PROPOSED COOK COVE GERTRUDE STREET INTERSECTION CHECK VEHICLE TURN PATHS SHEET 3 OF 3
nd semi eight nd Clear ime urning F 10. 1 22° Horiz 5° Vert 6.8 0m) eight	ance $4.300m$ 0.540m 2.500m Radius 12.500m 6.00s 12.500m 12.5 1.3	COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748) CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN Drawing Title PROPOSED COOK COVE GERTRUDE STREET INTERSECTION CHECK VEHICLE TURN PATHS SHEET 3 OF 3 Scale at A1 1:500
nd semi eight ind Clear iurning F 10. 11. 12. Horiz 5. Vert 6.8 0m) eight ind Cleard ime urnina F	ance $4.300m$ 0.540m 2.500m Radius 12.500m 6.00s 125	COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748) CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN Drawing Title PROPOSED COOK COVE GERTRUDE STREET INTERSECTION CHECK VEHICLE TURN PATHS SHEET 3 OF 3 Scale at A1 1:500 Discipline Civil Job No
nd semi eight nd Clear ime urning F 10. 1 1 1 1 2 ² Horiz 5 ³ Vert 6.8 0m) 3 3 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ance $\begin{array}{c} 4.300 \text{m} \\ 0.540 \text{m} \\ 2.500 \text{m} \\ 6.00 \text{s} \\ \text{Radius} \\ 12.500 \text{m} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} 125 \\ \hline \\ $	COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748) CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN Drawing Title PROPOSED COOK COVE GERTRUDE STREET INTERSECTION CHECK VEHICLE TURN PATHS SHEET 3 OF 3 Scale at A1 1:500 Discipline Civil Job No 252942-00 Drawing Status DRAFT



N .	NOTES:
SITE BOUNDARY	DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE
EXISTING CADASTRAL BOUNDARY	MEASURED FROM CENTRE OF LANE LINES TO THE:
- PROPOSED DESIGN	1.1. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SA' KERB AND CHANNELS.
LANDSCAPE DESIGN BY OTHERS	MEDIAN KERBS.
TREES TO BE REMOVED	
LOT 1 EXTENT	
LOT 14 EXTENT	
APPROXIMATE EXTENT	
ASIDE FOR EXTREME FLOOD EVENT / PROBABLE	
OVERLAND FLOOD (PINF) MANAGEMENT	
POTENTIAL INCREASE OF	
WITHIN MOC1 SITE FROM	
RELUCATION OF AUGESS	
	0 21/12/22 CL OW EB
	DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Issue Date By Chkd Appd
	ARUP
	CONSULT AUSTRALIA
ruck/Bus (12.5 m)	Member Firm Arup Pty Ltd ABN 18 000 966 165
Height 12.500m 2.500m Height 4.300m ound Clearance 0.490m	Client
 time 2.500m 6.00s Turning Radius 12.500m 	COVE
13.7	PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748)
	DESIGN
	Drawing Title PROPOSED COOK COVE
Max 6' Vert 1.3 1.3 1.5	FLORA STREET INTERSECTION
and semi-trailer (19 m) 19.000m 2.500m	DESIGN VEHICLE TURN PATHS
time Padius 6.00s	Scale at A1 1:500
iurning kaalus 12.500m	Discipline Civil Job No Drawing Status
	252942-00 DRAFT
10 20m A1 / A3	Drawing No



М			N				
<u>:</u>							
ANE AND NSIONS S I CENTRE KERB FA AND CHA KERB FA KERBS.	MEDIAN SHOWN AF OF LANE CE OF 'TY ANNELS. CE OF 'TY	WIDTH RE MEAS E LINES T (PE SA' K (PE SF' M	URED O THE: ERB IEDIAN				
CORDAN(SDD REF -CGU-SWI OLLOWIN TO CONF	CE WITH PORT (RE MOC1-CV NG VEHIC FIRM CON O AND W	TABLE 13 F: -RPT-282 LES HAV /PLIANT ITHIN THI	3 IN THE 2000), E BEEN E MOC1				
m LONG F BEEN USE JTES. REI FOR SWE 5 m LONG BEEN USE SE, SERV CLES. REF FOR SWE 5 m LONG BEEN USE IES (GRO R TO SKE PT PATHS m LONG A CLE HAS E ESENT SI CH PLAN	RIGID DES ED TO REI FER TO S EPT PATH RIGID DE D TO REI VICE AND FER TO S EPT PATH RIGID DE ED TO REI VE GMK 5 ETCH PLA RTICULA BEEN USE EMI-TRAII SK53 FOI	SIGN VEF PRESENT KETCH P S. ESIGN VE PRESENT EMERGE KETCH P S. ESIGN VE PRESENT 5150 & 52 N SK52 F TED CHE ED TO LERS. RE R SWEPT	HICLE F CARS PLAN HICLE MCY LAN HICLE MO). OR OR CK FER TO PATHS.				
PROVIDED MOC1 SITI DESIGN A EVELOP A ESS TRAC LS AND E	D SDD 3D E AND SU AREAS HA AND TIE-IN K ARRAN XTENTS.	MODELS IRROUNE AVE BEEN A THE RE GEMENT	FOR DING N USED VISED				
۰							
<u>D.</u>							
E			RAL				
P	ROPOSE	D DESIGN	N				
L/	ANDSCAF	PE DESIG	N BY				
T	REES TO	BE REM	OVED				
L	OT 1 EXT	ENT		0 21/12/22	CL OW	/ [EB
L	OT 14 EX	TENT		DRAFT FO	OR CONSULTATIO	NC A	ppd
A O A F M O M	APPROXIM OF FREEH ASIDE FOF LOOD EV IAXIMUM OVERLANI IANAGEM	IATE EXT OLD LAN R EXTREM ENT / PR FLOOD (I D FLOW ENT	ENT D SET ME OBABLE PMF)	ARU	P	CONSULT A Member Arup 1 ABN 18 00	AUSTRALIA er Firm Pty Ltd 20 966 165
P T W R	OTENTIA URN-ARC VITHIN MC ELOCATI	L INCREA OUND ARI OC1 SITE ON OF AG	ASE OF EA FROM CCESS	Client	COOK	2	
				COOK COVE PROPOSAL CONCEPT II DESIGN	E PLANNING (PP-2022-17 NFRASTRUC	48) TURE	
er vehicle (ength Vidth Body Height / Ground Cl dth -lock time Curb Turnin	5.2 m) learance ng Radius	5.2 1.9 1.8 0.2 4.0 6.3	00m 40m 95m 40m 0s 00m	Drawing Title PROPOSED MC01 SITE A GENERAL L CAR SWEPT Scale at A1 1:500 Discipline Civil	COOK COV ACCESS AYOUT WITH T PATH	E 1	
40	20	A1 / A2		Job No 252942-00	Drawing Status DRAFT		
	20m	A1 / A3 1:500 / 1:100	0	Drawing No SK159			lssue 0
							° Arup

Ν	1	N		
<u>):</u>				
ANE AN NSIONS I CENT KERB I AND C KERB I KERBS	ND MEDIAN WIDTH S SHOWN ARE MEAS RE OF LANE LINES T FACE OF 'TYPE SA' H HANNELS. FACE OF 'TYPE SF' N S.	SURED FO THE: KERB MEDIAN		
CORDA 1 SDD F -CGU-S -OLLOV 1 TO CC KING II	NCE WITH TABLE 13 REPORT (REF: WMOC1-CV-RPT-282 VING VEHICLES HAV ONFIRM COMPLIANT NTO AND WITHIN TH	3 IN THE 2000), /E BEEN IE MOC1		
m LON BEEN U UTES. F FOR SV 5 m LON BEEN U ISE, SE CLES. F FOR SV 5 m LON BEEN U IES (GF M LON CLE HA CLE HA RESENT CH PLA	G RIGID DESIGN VEI SED TO REPRESEN REFER TO SKETCH F WEPT PATHS. NG RIGID DESIGN VE SED TO REPRESEN RVICE AND EMERGE REFER TO SKETCH F WEPT PATHS. NG RIGID DESIGN VE SED TO REPRESEN ROVE GMK 5150 & 52 KETCH PLAN SK52 F HS. S ARTICULATED CHE S BEEN USED TO SEMI-TRAILERS. RE	HICLE T CARS PLAN HICLE T ENCY PLAN EHICLE T 200). FOR ECK EFER TO F PATHS.		
PROVID MOC1 S DESIG EVELOF ESS TR/ LS AND	ED SDD 3D MODELS ITE AND SURROUNI N AREAS HAVE BEE P AND TIE-IN THE RE ACK ARRANGEMENT EXTENTS.	S FOR DING N USED EVISED F,		
D٠				
<u>D.</u>	SITE BOUNDARY			
	EXISTING CADAST BOUNDARY	RAL		
	PROPOSED DESIG	N		
	LANDSCAPE DESIC	GN BY		
	TREES TO BE REM	IOVED		
	LOT 1 EXTENT		0 21/12/22	CL OW EB
	LOT 14 EXTENT		SCHEMA Issue Date	TIC LAYOUT EXISTING By Chkd Appd
	APPROXIMATE EX OF FREEHOLD LAN ASIDE FOR EXTRE FLOOD EVENT / PR MAXIMUM FLOOD (OVERLAND FLOW MANAGEMENT	TENT ND SET ME ROBABLE (PMF)	ARU	Member Firm Arup Pty Ltd ABN 18 000 966 165
***	POTENTIAL INCREA TURN-AROUND AR WITHIN MOC1 SITE RELOCATION OF A	ASE OF EA FROM CCESS		COOK
			COOK COVI PROPOSAL CONCEPT II DESIGN	E PLANNING (PP-2022-1748) NFRASTRUCTURE
6.2	$\begin{array}{c} \\ \hline \\ $		Drawing Title PROPOSED MC01 SITE A GENERAL L 12.5 m VEHI	COOK COVE ACCESS AYOUT WITH ICLE SWEPT PATH
dy Heigh Ground (t 12.5 2.50 t 4.30 Clearance 0.49 2.50 2.50	00m 0m 0m 0m 0m	Scale at A1 1:500	
оск time urb Turni	6.00 ing Radius 12.5	s 00m	Job No	
10	20m A1 / A3	00	252942-00 Drawing No SK160	DRAF I Issue 0
				© Arup

M N	
<u>):</u>	
ANE AND MEDIAN WIDTH NSIONS SHOWN ARE MEASURED I CENTRE OF LANE LINES TO THE: KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SA' KERB AND CHANNELS. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SF' MEDIAN KERBS.	
CORDANCE WITH TABLE 13 IN THE 1 SDD REPORT (REF: -CGU-SWMOC1-CV-RPT-282000), FOLLOWING VEHICLES HAVE BEEN 0 TO CONFIRM COMPLIANT CKING INTO AND WITHIN THE MOC1	
m LONG RIGID DESIGN VEHICLE BEEN USED TO REPRESENT CARS UTES. REFER TO SKETCH PLAN FOR SWEPT PATHS. 5 m LONG RIGID DESIGN VEHICLE BEEN USED TO REPRESENT USE, SERVICE AND EMERGENCY CLES. REFER TO SKETCH PLAN FOR SWEPT PATHS. 5 m LONG RIGID DESIGN VEHICLE BEEN USED TO REPRESENT A NE (GROVE GMK 5150 & 5200). R TO SKETCH PLAN SK52 FOR PT PATHS. m LONG ARTICULATED CHECK	
CLE HAS BEEN USED TO RESENT SEMI-TRAILERS. REFER TO TCH PLAN SK53 FOR SWEPT PATHS.	
PROVIDED SDD 3D MODELS FOR MOC1 SITE AND SURROUNDING DESIGN AREAS HAVE BEEN USED EVELOP AND TIE-IN THE REVISED ESS TRACK ARRANGEMENT, LS AND EXTENTS.	
Γ.	
BOUNDARY	
PROPOSED DESIGN	
LANDSCAPE DESIGN BY OTHERS	
TREES TO BE REMOVED	
LOT 1 EXTENT	0 21/12/22 CL OW EB
LOT 14 EXTENT	DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Issue Date By Chkd Appd
APPROXIMATE EXTENT OF FREEHOLD LAND SET ASIDE FOR EXTREME FLOOD EVENT / PROBABLE MAXIMUM FLOOD (PMF) OVERLAND FLOW MANAGEMENT	ARUP
POTENTIAL INCREASE OF TURN-AROUND AREA WITHIN MOC1 SITE FROM RELOCATION OF ACCESS	Client COOK COVE
	COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748) CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN
	Drawing Title PROPOSED COOK COVE MC01 SITE ACCESS GENERAL LAYOUT WITH 14.5 m RIGID VEH SWEPT PATH
igth 14.500m th 2.500m dy Height 3.102m Ground Clearance 0.337m 2.500m ock time 6.00s urb Turning Radius 15.000m	Scale at A1 1:500 Discipline Civil
10 20m A1 / A3	JOD NO Drawing Status 252942-00 DRAFT
1:500 / 1:1000	Drawing No Issue 0
	© Arup

M N	
<u>):</u>	
ANE AND MEDIAN WIDTH NSIONS SHOWN ARE MEASURED I CENTRE OF LANE LINES TO THE: KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SA' KERB AND CHANNELS. KERB FACE OF 'TYPE SF' MEDIAN KERBS.	
CORDANCE WITH TABLE 13 IN THE 1 SDD REPORT (REF: -CGU-SWMOC1-CV-RPT-282000), FOLLOWING VEHICLES HAVE BEEN 0 TO CONFIRM COMPLIANT CKING INTO AND WITHIN THE MOC1	
m LONG RIGID DESIGN VEHICLE BEEN USED TO REPRESENT CARS UTES. REFER TO SKETCH PLAN FOR SWEPT PATHS. 5 m LONG RIGID DESIGN VEHICLE BEEN USED TO REPRESENT USE, SERVICE AND EMERGENCY CLES. REFER TO SKETCH PLAN FOR SWEPT PATHS. 5 m LONG RIGID DESIGN VEHICLE BEEN USED TO REPRESENT VES (GROVE GMK 5150 & 5200). 10 SKETCH PLAN SK52 FOR PT PATHS. 10 LONG ARTICULATED CHECK CLE HAS BEEN USED TO RESENT SEMI-TRAILERS. REFER TO CCH PLAN SK53 FOR SWEPT PATHS.	
PROVIDED SDD 3D MODELS FOR MOC1 SITE AND SURROUNDING DESIGN AREAS HAVE BEEN USED EVELOP AND TIE-IN THE REVISED ESS TRACK ARRANGEMENT, LS AND EXTENTS.	
<u>D:</u>	
SITE BOUNDARY	
EXISTING CADASTRAL BOUNDARY	
LANDSCAPE DESIGN BY OTHERS	
TREES TO BE REMOVED	
LOT 1 EXTENT	0 21/12/22 CL OW EB
LOT 14 EXTENT	DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Issue Date By Chkd Appd
APPROXIMATE EXTENT OF FREEHOLD LAND SET ASIDE FOR EXTREME FLOOD EVENT / PROBABLE MAXIMUM FLOOD (PMF) OVERLAND FLOW MANAGEMENT	ARUP CONSULT AUSTRALIA Member Firm Arup Pty Ltd ABN 18 000 966 165
POTENTIAL INCREASE OF TURN-AROUND AREA WITHIN MOC1 SITE FROM RELOCATION OF ACCESS	Client COOK COVE
	COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL (PP-2022-1748) CONCEPT INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN
$\begin{array}{c c} \hline & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$	Drawing Title PROPOSED COOK COVE MC01 SITE ACCESS GENERAL LAYOUT WITH 19.0m SEMI SWEPT PATH
rouna Clearance 0.540m 2.500m ck time 6.00s rb Turning Radius 12.500m	Scale at A1 1:500 Discipline Civil
10 20m A1 / A3 1:500 / 1:1000	Job No Drawing Status 252942-00 DRAFT Drawing No Issue SK162 0
	۱ © Arup

Transport Impact Assessment (December 2022) JMT – (Attachment A)

Cooks Cove Planning Proposal

Transport Impact Assessment

Prepared for: Cook Cove Inlet Pty Ltd

22 December 2022

PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Name:	Cooks Cove Planning Proposal
Client:	Cook Cove Inlet Pty Ltd
Project Number:	1911
Prepared By:	JMT Consulting

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Document Title	Revision	Date issued	Author
Cooks Cove – Transport Impact Assessment	Draft	14.12.22	M
Cooks Cove –Transport Impact Assessment	Issued to TfNSW	22.12.22	M

This document has been prepared on behalf of Cook Cove Inlet Pty Ltd. Use of this document by a third party to inform decisions is the sole responsibility of that third party. J Milston Transport Consulting Pty Ltd assumes no liability with respect to any reliance placed upon this document. Reproduction of this document or any part thereof is not permitted without prior written permission of J Milston Transport Consulting Pty Ltd.

Table of Contents

1	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Cooks Cove master plan 2022	1
	1.3	Proposed planning controls	3
	1.4	Site description	4
2	Plar	nning Context	6
	2.1	State planning context	6
	2.2	Future transport infrastructure	9
3	Exis	ting Conditions	14
	3.1	Road network and access	14
	3.2	Traffic volumes	15
	3.3	Public transport	16
	3.4	Walking and cycling	18
4	Trar	nsport Access Strategy	19
	4.1	Vehicle site access	19
	4.2	Internal vehicle circulation	22
	4.3	Off-street car parking	22
	4.4	On-street car parking	24
	4.5	Public transport measures	24
	4.6	Active transport measures	25
	4.7	Green travel plan	27
5	Traf	fic Impact Assessment	31
	5.1	Purpose	31
	5.2	Background to traffic assessment	32
	5.3	TfNSW consultation	32
	5.4	Traffic modelling methodology	34
	5.5	Base year traffic model	34
	5.6	Forecast Cooks Cove traffic generation	34
	5.7	Background traffic growth	39
	5.8	Traffic modelling scenarios	40
	5.9	Road network geometry changes	41
	5.10	Traffic modelling findings	42
	5.11	Summary – traffic impact assessment	48

	5.12	Traffic signal warrants	49
6	Infra	structure Schedule	51
	6.1	Works in kind infrastructure	51
	6.2	Monetary contributions	53
	6.3	Timing and delivery of contributions	54
7	Sum	mary	55
A	Appendix A: Base Year Traffic Model Report		57
A	Appendix B: Detailed Traffic Modelling Outputs 5		

Figures

Proposed Cooks Cove Master Plan 2022	2
Draft Bayside LEP 2021 Zoning Map	4
Eastern Harbour City vision	6
Existing and future passenger and freight rail network	7
Bayside West precinct	8
Section 9.1 direction map – Cooks Cove precinct	9
Sydney Gateway project	11
M6 Motorway project	12
Existing access to and from site	14
Existing Kogarah Golf Club access	14
Traffic count locations	15
Existing bus routes	16
Train lines serving Wolli Creek Station	17
Existing cycling infrastructure	18
Proposed vehicle access	19
Intersection design – Marsh Street / Gertrude Street	20
Intersection design – Marsh Street / Flora Street	21
Intersection design – Gertrude Street / Levey Street	21
Regional cycling connections	25
Pedestrian crossing opportunities	26
The four components of travel demand management	27
Existing car pooling websites	28
City of Sydney and North Sydney Council pool bike schemes	29
Traffic model extents	31
Relationship between retail floor area and traffic generation	35
Relationship between parking rates and car driver mode share	36
Forecast annual rates of background traffic growth	39
Forecast increase in traffic arising from background growth	40
Road geometry changes	41
Future intersection level of service	42
Travel times on Marsh Street towards Sydney Airport (AM peak hour)	43
Travel times on Marsh Street towards Sydney Airport (PM peak hour)	43
Average vehicle speeds – broader road network	44
Unreleased vehicles	45
Relative change in traffic growth	46
	Proposed Cooks Cove Master Plan 2022. Draft Bayside LEP 2021 Zoning Map. Eastern Harbour City vision

Figure 36	Average travel speeds (sensitivity test, removal of end constraint)	46
Figure 37	Unreleased demand (sensitivity test, removal of end constraint)	47
Figure 38	Average travel speeds (sensitivity test, reduced yield)	47
Figure 39	Average travel speeds (sensitivity test, removal of Gertrude St lights)	48
Figure 40	Summary of proposed infrastructure	51

Tables

Table 1	Car parking rates	23
Table 2	Forecast Cooks Cove traffic generation	38
Table 3	Traffic signal warrants description	49
Table 4	Proposed works in kind contributions	52
Table 5	Summary of proposed transport measures	56

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

This updated transport assessment report has been prepared, on behalf of Cooks Cove Inlet Pty Ltd, to support the public exhibition and assessment of the Cooks Cove Planning Proposal (PP-2022-1748), which was issued a Gateway Determination by the Department of Planning and Environment on 5 August 2022. The proposal seeks to amend *Bayside Local Environmental Plan 2021* (BLEP 2021) to rezone and insert planning controls for certain land known as Cooks Cove within the BLEP 2021.

The Cooks Cove Planning Proposal aims to facilitate the long-planned transformation of 36.2ha of underutilised and strategically important land at Arncliffe, located to the north of the M5 Motorway and adjacent the western foreshore of the Cooks River. The project seeks a renewed focus on delivering a contemporary logistics and warehousing precinct within a well-connected location, surrounded by enhanced open space provisions. The site forms part of the broader Bayside West 2036 Precincts and generally comprises the footprint of the former Kogarah Golf Club, now in part occupied by a temporary M6 Stage 1 construction compound.

The transport assessment has been prepared to respond to the requirements of the Gateway Determination PP-2022-1748 and Local Planning Direction 5.2, specifically:

"obtain approval from TfNSW that the planning proposal will not compromise future transport links, deliver a safe road network and enhance walking and cycling connectivity and the use of public transport in accordance with the requirements of the principles"

1.2 Cooks Cove master plan 2022

The Cooks Cove Master Plan 2022, as prepared by Hassell, represents an optimised and refined reference scheme, to guide best practice design and the preparation of detailed planning controls to achieve an attractive precinct with high amenity. Key features of the Cooks Cove Master Plan are:

- A net development zone of approximately 15ha with up to 343,250m² Gross Floor Area (GFA) comprising
 - 290,000m² of multi-level logistics and warehousing;
 - 22,350m² for commercial office uses;
 - 20,000m² for hotel and visitor accommodation uses;
 - 10,900m² of retail uses;
- Multi-level logistics with building heights generally up to 5 storeys (approx. 48m)

- A retail podium with commercial office and hotel above, up to a total of 12 storeys (approx. 51m)
- Built form of a scale and composition which caters for the generation of approximately 3,300 new jobs
- A surrounding open space precinct including:
 - A highly activated waterfront including the Fig Tree Grove outdoor dining and urban park precinct
 - A regional Bay to Bay Regional cycle link, 'Foreshore Walk', including active and passive recreational uses, together with environmental enhancements
 - Master planned and Council-owned 'Pemulwuy Park' with an agreed embellishment outcome of passive open space and environmental enhancements to be delivered in stages post construction of the M6 Stage 1 Motorway
- Complementary on and off-site infrastructure to be delivered by way of State and Local Voluntary Planning Agreements.

Figure 1 Proposed Cooks Cove Master Plan 2022

Source: Hassell

1.3 Proposed planning controls

The Planning Proposal Justification Report, as prepared by Ethos Urban, details the intention to insert new planning provisions covering the Cooks Cove development zone and adjoining lands, through the amendment of the BLEP 2021, accordingly removing this same area from State Environmental Planning Policy (Precincts—Eastern Harbour City) 2021 (formerly Sydney Regional Environmental Plan No. 33 – Cooks Cove).

Specifically, the Planning Proposal will:

- Seek new land use zones within the development zone, including a primary SP4 Enterprise zone across the majority of the Kogarah Golf Course freehold land, RE1 Public Recreation foreshore and passive open space zones and elements of SP2 Infrastructure.
- Impose an overall maximum building height of RL51m with appropriate transitions to respond to aviation controls within limited sections of the site.
- Limit gross floor area (GFA) to the south of Marsh Street to 340,000m², with a further 1.25:1 Floor Space Ratio (circa 3,250m² of GFA) to the north of Marsh Street, to achieve the overall intended logistics, commercial, retail and short-term accommodation land uses.
- Other additional permitted uses and site-specific planning provisions.
- Reclassification of Lot 14 DP213314 and Lot 1 DP108492 (Council owned and the subject of Charitable Trusts), initially from 'community' to 'operational' to ensure appropriate access, improve utility of public open space and to create a contiguous boundaries. Following rezoning and subdivision it is subsequently intended that Council reclassify residue RE1 parcels as 'community' by resolution.

The proposal is in response to Bayside West Precincts 2036 – Arncliffe, Banksia and Cooks Cove (released August 2018) and the subsequent Ministerial Directions under s9.1 of the EP&A Act, being Local Planning Directions 1.11 Implementation of Bayside West Precincts 2036 Plan and 1.12 Implementation of Planning Principles for the Cooks Cove Precinct.

Figure 2 Draft Bayside LEP 2021 Zoning Map Source: Ethos Urban

1.4 Site description

1.4.1 Cooks Cove

Cooks Cove is located in the suburb of Arncliffe within the Bayside Council Local Government Area (LGA). The site is located to the west of the Cooks River, approximately 10km south of the Sydney Central Business District (CBD). The site enjoys adjacency to key trade-related infrastructure being immediately west of Sydney Kingsford Smith International Airport and approx 6km west of Port Botany.

Cooks Cove is strategically located within close proximity to a number of railway stations including Banksia, Arncliffe, Wolli Creek and the International Airport Terminal, which vary in distance from the site between 700m and 1.1km. The M5 Motorway, providing regional connectivity to the Sydney Metropolitan area, runs in an east-west direction immediately to the south of the site. The M8 and M6 Motorways are, and will be, constructed in tunnels approximately 60 metres beneath the adjoining Bayside Council 'Trust' lands. The Sydney Gateway project, presently under construction to the immediate north of Cooks Cove and Sydney Airport, will substantially improve future accessibility to the St Peters interchange and the wider M4/M5 WestConnex network, via toll free connections, as well as the Domestic Airport and Port Botany.

The Cooks Cove Development Zone is located to the north of the Southern and Western Suburbs Ocean Outfall Sewer (SWSOOS), and is generally bound by the Cooks River to the east and Marsh Street to the north and west. The site is approximately 36.2ha and is owned and managed by a number of landowners, both public and private. Surrounding development includes the Sydney Airport International Terminal precinct, Mercure Sydney Airport, an area of low density dwellings presently transitioning to medium-high density residential flat buildings, recreation and open space facilities and road and airport related infrastructure.

1.4.2 Kogarah Golf Club

Kogarah Golf Club was established in 1928, with the Club occupying the land subject to the Planning Proposal boundary since 1955. At this time, the Cooks River was reconfigured to its current alignment to accommodate the expansion of Sydney Airport. The land presents a highly modified environment, with relatively flat topography, gently moulded fairways and greens, separated by strips of vegetation and man-made water bodies. The golf course clubhouse, car park and maintenance facilities are located in the northern corner of the site, adjacent the Cooks River. Access is provided via Levey Street. The members of Kogarah Golf Club will relocate from the site in May 2024 to new playing facilities.

1.4.3 Arncliffe Motorway Operations Complex

The temporary construction compound for the WestConnex M8 and M6 Stage 1 Motorway tunnelling works was originally established in June 2016. The temporary construction facility occupies approximately 7.5ha and is expected to remain until 2025. At this time the facility will reduce to 1.5ha to accommodate the permanent Arncliffe Motorway Operations Complex, located in the western corner of the site, adjacent Marsh Street. The complex will house ventilation and water treatment plant and maintenance equipment for both the M6 and M8 subgrade motorways.

1.4.4 Easements and affectations

The Sydney Desalination Plant pipeline runs through the development zone, north-south adjacent the Cooks River. The pipe has a diameter of 1.8m and sits within an easement of 6-9m in width. From south to north the pipeline is constructed in a combination of trench and above ground with mounded cover and then transitions to micro-tunnel and typical depth of circa 11m. The Moomba to Sydney Pipeline, containing ethane gas, follows a similar general alignment north-south adjacent the Cooks River. The pipe has a nominal 225mm diameter, within an easement generally 5m wide and with the pipe located at a depth of 1.2m-2.3m.


2 Planning Context

2.1 State planning context

2.1.1 Greater Sydney Region Plan - A Metropolis of Three Cities

A Metropolis of Three Cities integrates land use, transport and infrastructure planning between the three tiers of government and across State agencies. The Cooks Cove precinct is located within the 'Eastern Harbour' city. The plan aims to facilitate development so that residents live within 30 minutes of their jobs, education and other services – aligning with the mix of uses proposed for the Cooks Cove precinct.



Figure 3 Eastern Harbour City vision

Source: Eastern City District Plan (Greater Sydney Commission)



2.1.2 Future Transport 2056

Future Transport 2056 is an update of NSW's Long Term Transport Master Plan. It is a suite of strategies and plans for transport developed in concert with the Greater Sydney Commission's Sydney Region Plan, Infrastructure NSW's State Infrastructure Strategy, and the Department of Planning and Environment's regional plans, to provide an integrated vision for the state.

The Services and Infrastructure Plans set the customer outcomes for Greater Sydney and regional NSW for the movement of people and freight to meet customer needs and deliver responsive, innovative services. The plans will define the network required to achieve the service outcomes.

Relevant to Cooks Cove are a number of future mass transport corridors serving the Eastern Harbour City, as shown in Figure 4 below.



Figure 4Existing and future passenger and freight rail networkSource: Future Transport Strategy (Transport for NSW)



2.1.3 Bayside West Precincts 2036

The main policy document guiding development in the Arncliffe and Banksia area is the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment's (DPIE) Bayside West Precincts 2036 Plan, finalised in September 2018. Cooks Cove is nominated as one of the three Bayside West Precincts.

As a result of the Bayside West Precincts Plan, Cooks Cove was identified for further investigation to determine its suitability for a mixed use high density residential community. This involved investigating the opportunities and constraint to urban renewal and the development potential of the area. The document notes that the planning for Cooks Cove is subject to assessment by Bayside Council.



Figure 5 Bayside West precinct



2.2 Future transport infrastructure

2.2.1 Gertrude Street extension

As identified in the Rockdale DCP 2011 an extension of Gertrude Street is proposed from Levey Street (opposite Gertrude Street) to Marsh Street. The proposal would extend the existing Gertrude Street to connect to Marsh Street and would provide vehicle access into the Cooks Cove precinct.

This project critical connection is contemplated by the Minister approved SREP33 Master Plan and associated TMAP, and is consistent with the Section 9.1 Local Planning Direction - 1.12 Implementation of Planning Principles for the Cooks Cove Precinct, specifically principle (h) to "*Deliver a safe road network that balances movement and place, provides connections to the immediate and surrounding areas and is cognisant of traffic conditions in this area*". Appropriately, the 9.1 Direction map (see Figure 6) extends west of Marsh Street to encompass the Gertrude Street extension as well as Levey Street.



Figure 6 Section 9.1 direction map – Cooks Cove precinct



2.2.2 WestConnex

WestConnex is a 33 kilometre motorway that will extend the M4 Motorway east to the Haberfield area and south to Sydney Airport and duplicate the existing M5 East. The overall scheme will comprise a number of projects staged over a 10 year period, including:

Stage 1: M4 – Parramatta to Haberfield

Stage 2: M8 – Beverly Hills to St Peters

Stage 3: M4-M8 Link – Haberfield to St Peters.

Of particular relevance to the Cooks Cove precinct is the introduction of 9km twin tunnel between the existing M5 east at King Georges Road and the St Peters Interchange. The project, which opened to traffic in 2020, also includes an upgraded interchange at King Georges Road which complements the recently completed widening works on the M5 between Camden Valley Way and King Georges Road.

2.2.3 Sydney Metro City & Southwest

The Sydney Metro City & Southwest project will extend metro rail under Sydney Harbour, through the central business district (CBD) of Sydney and south west to Bankstown, with capacity to run up to 30 trains per hour in each direction through the city on the new line. Sydenham is the closest metro station to Cooks Cove on the Sydney Metro City and Southwest line.

The Project represents a major increase in the capacity of Sydney's rail network, providing a 60 per cent increase in the number of trains in the peak periods and catering for an extra 100,000 customers per hour. The project will significantly improve reliability across the rail network by addressing current and emerging constraints such as train crowding, platform and station crowding.



2.2.4 Sydney Gateway project

The Sydney Gateway project provides for improved capacity and accessibility between Sydney Airport (both Domestic and International) and the Sydney motorway network via the St Peters interchange. By 2036, the project would provide capacity for an additional 60,000 vehicles per day.

Key features of the project include:

- New road links between Sydney Airport's terminals and the Sydney motorway network at St Peters interchange
- New road links to Sydney Airport land
- An active transport link to maintain cycle and pedestrian connections between Tempe, Sydney Airport, the Sydney central business district and Mascot
- Other road operational infrastructure

The project will benefit future Cooks Cove users by reducing traffic flows on surface roads in the vicinity of the site such as on the Princes Highway and provide a convenient connection to and from the motorway network.



Figure 7 Sydney Gateway project Source: Transport for NSW



2.2.5 M6 (F6) Motorway

The M6 Motorway (previously F6 Extension) program of works is an approved and committed NSW Government project involving a multi-lane road link that aims to provide better connectivity to Sydney's south. Stage 1 comprises an approximately four-kilometre multi-lane underground road link between the New M5 Motorway and a surface intersection at President Avenue, Kogarah.

The M6 project will provide significant benefits to future users of Cooks Cove by removing traffic from key surface roads such as the Princes Highway and West Botany Street.



Source: Transport for NSW



2.2.6 More Trains, More Services program

The NSW Government is implementing the 'More Trains, More Services' program to increase the capacity of Sydney's train network. The delivery of Sydney Metro City & Southwest creates the opportunity to address future needs on the existing heavy rail network, in particularly the T4 Illawarra Line and T8 Airport Line.

Utilising new technologies, the next stage of the 'More Trains, More Services' program will deliver an increase in the frequency of services as follows:

- A 30% increase in the number of peak hour services on the T4 line to 24 trains per hour; and
- A 60% increase in the number of peak hour services on the T8 line to 20 trains per hour

This program will significantly enhance public transport capacity and availability for future users of the Cooks Cove precinct.



3 Existing Conditions

3.1 Road network and access

Access to and from the site is currently via one entrance found on the north-east corner of the Kogarah Golf Club known as Levey Street. The driveway passes under the Giovanni Brunetti Bridge and continues to Marsh Street. Access to the site can also be obtained via the Marsh Street / Flora Street signalised intersections which is currently used for construction vehicles associated with the M6 Stage 1 Extension as well as vehicles accessing the Arncliffe Motorway Operations Complex (AMOC).



Figure 9 Existing access to and from site



Figure 10 Existing Kogarah Golf Club access



3.2 Traffic volumes

To understand the level of existing traffic flows in the area around Cooks Cove, 24-hour SCATS detector information was provided by Transport for NSW. The detector counts were dated Tuesday 10 May 2022 and provided turning movement counts for the twelve signalised intersections in the vicinity of the site, as illustrated in Figure 11.



Figure 11 Traffic count locations



3.3 Public transport

3.3.1 Bus

Rail in Sydney's south plays a critical role in moving people, and as such the bus network is usually designed to support access to rail, provide local access in areas not served by rail and provide cross-regional connections.

The site is located close to three bus lines; the 348, 420 and 422 route as shown in Figure 12. The site is adjacent to a key strategic bus corridor Miranda to Bondi Junction via the Airport (420 bus route) as identified in the TfNSW's 'Sydney's Bus Future's' document.



Figure 12 Existing bus routes



3.3.2 Rail

The northernmost edge of the Cooks Cove site is approximately an 800m walk from Wolli Creek train station along the Cooks River foreshore and the westernmost edge of the site is around 1.1km walk from Arncliffe station.

Wolli Creek is serviced by the T8 South / Airport and T4 Eastern Suburbs / Illawarra lines (see Figure 13). The T8 line provides access to the Airport, Green Square, Mascot and suburbs in the southwest of Sydney. The T4 Line connects the Sydney CBD and the South Coast via key centres including Bondi Junction, Rockdale, Kogarah, Hurstville and Sutherland.

The T8 Line provides access between the South West and Sydney CBD via Airport. The nearest station to the east of Cooks Cove is the International Terminal along the T8 Line, currently 1.2km walk away from the site. However, ridership of this line is currently hindered by a station access fee and current pedestrian access from the Cooks Cove investigation area is restricted by the river.





Train lines serving Wolli Creek Station



3.4 Walking and cycling

Existing cycling infrastructure in the area surrounding the site is shown in Figure 14 below. There are many off-road cycle paths in the area that link Botany Bay to Homebush Bay, although the routes the paths take tend to be not very direct and so are presently used more for recreational purposes than for transport. There is presently a missing link between the shared path on the northern side of the Giovanni Brunnetti Bridge and the newly constructed shared path on the southern side of Marsh Street, which connects with the path through to Barton Park.



Figure 14 Existing cycling infrastructure



4 Transport Access Strategy

4.1 Vehicle site access

Vehicular access into the site is proposed at three locations as indicated in Figure 15. These access points are as follows:

- Levey Street: The existing Levey Street under the Giovanni Brunetti Bridge will be retained for access into the precinct. Although a clearance height limit of 3.1m currently exists under the Giovanni Brunetti Bridge, Levey Street can still accommodate passenger vehicles and small to medium size service vehicles.
- Gertrude Street: A new signalised (four way) intersection is proposed at Marsh Street / Gertrude Street which will form the primary access point into the site. The Gertrude Street extension is identified as a forward planning work in Council's Urban Renewal Area Contribution Plan 2019. With the signalisation of the Gertrude Street intersection, the Marsh Street / Innesdale Road intersection will revert to a left in – left out arrangement – consistent with previous discussions with TfNSW.
- Flora Street: The existing signalised intersection at Marsh Street / Flora Street will be utilised to provide access into the site as well as the Arncliffe MOC. The intersection layout does not provide for right turns from Marsh Street into the Cooks Cove site – consistent with advice provided by TfNSW.







The location of the site access points on Marsh Street are generally consistent with those proposed by the SREP33 Transport Management Access Plan, which was endorsed by Rockdale Council pursuant to a SREP 33 Stage 1 Master Plan development consent.

Arup have developed designs for the future Marsh Street intersections to confirm these intersections can be constructed entirely within the road reserve or within the Trust lands on site – with no reliance on third party lands. These intersection designs have been incorporated within the detailed traffic modelling and are illustrated in the figures below. A detailed package of design drawings prepared by Arup, including these intersections, are provided separately as part of the Planning Proposal submission.



Figure 16 Intersection design – Marsh Street / Gertrude Street Source: Arup





Figure 17 Intersection design – Marsh Street / Flora Street

Source: Arup



Figure 18 Intersection design – Gertrude Street / Levey Street Source: Arup



4.2 Internal vehicle circulation

The internal street network provides sufficient flexibility and capacity to accommodate the traffic generated by the entire Cooks Cove precinct, including all vehicle movements including service/loading vehicles. Sufficient width is provided at intersections for vehicle manoeuvring including space for up to 19m articulated vehicles. Appropriate travel lane widths are provided within the site to accommodate the movement of light and heavy vehicles to accommodate a safe, efficient and legible road network.

The southernmost street 'Flora Street East' also provides access to the M8/M6 permanent facility. A turning bay is provided mid-way along Flora Street to enabling turning into the M6/M8 facility and prevent queuing onto Marsh Street. Subject to future negotiation with Council, the road can also provide access to parking areas associated with the future public open space on Lot 1 DP 108492.

Transport for NSW has been consulted extensively in relation to vehicle access into Flora Street East. Correspondence was received on 6 July 2022 from TfNSW confirming "*The developer's proposed access alignment presented in the meeting of the 30th of May 22 and documented in the Arup Mc01 Site Access Layout is satisfactory*". Further details of the proposed arrangements at this location are detailed in the Arup design drawings submitted with this Planning Proposal.

The extension of Gertrude Street into the site and connection with Levey Street (known as 'Gertrude Street East') is expected to be a public street with 24hrs access and designed to the relevant road design standards. The final design and configuration will be determined at DA stage.

The location, design and tenure of all internal roads will be resolved during the DA process and will be largely dictated by future tenant demand requirements. The details of the internal, private road circulation will be resolved at DA stage however a road connection will be provided between Flora Street and Gertrude Street East. At this stage the proposed road location is envisaged along the north-south alignment of the Sydney Desalination Pipeline easement. The road, at a minimum, would be of sufficient width to accommodate the movement of traffic in both directions and designed in accordance with relevant standards.

Whatever the final arrangements are, appropriate rights of way or other legal mechanisms to permit access for WestConnex, utility managers, M6/M8 operator and Bayside Council will be put in place to allow access to, and maintenance of, their relevant facilities.

4.3 Off-street car parking

Off-street parking will be provided in basement and podium level car parks within the development zone in accordance with the relevant Council DCP applicable at the time of development. The exception to this is the commercial office



component of the site which will provide for maximum car parking rates of one space per 80sqm GFA. This maximum rate is to be reinforced in the site specific DCP as well as any other relevant planning instruments (site-specific LEP clause). The site's proximity to Wolli Creek train station and future pedestrian connections make this rate of car parking suitable for the site and will limit the traffic generation associated with the commercial uses.

The reference scheme prepared for the Planning Proposal has considered the following car parking rates as summarised in Table 1.

Use	Car parking rate
Warehouse / Logistics	1 space per 300m ² GFA plus 1 space per 40m ² ancillary office
Hotel	1 space per 4 rooms 1 taxi pick-up and set-down space / 100 rooms 2 coach pick-up and set-down spaces
Commercial	1 space per 80m ² GFA*
Retail	1 space per 40m ² GFA

	_		
Table 1	Car	parking	rates

* Maximum rate to be reinforced in the site specific DCP as well as any other relevant planning instruments (site-specific LEP clause).

It should be noted that further investigations will need to be undertaken at subsequent stages to confirm the final parking number and layout. The final car parking requirements and provision for the site will be confirmed at the Development Application (DA) stage of the project.



4.4 On-street car parking

The majority of streets within the precinct will provide opportunities for on-street visitor parking. Due to the proximity of the precinct to Sydney Airport, time limited parking is proposed to prevent all day parking in the precinct. A mix of 1, 2 and 4 hour time limited parking would be appropriate, depending on the location with respect to different uses. For example on-street parking in the retail precinct would be limited to either 1-2 hours to encourage a higher turnover of spaces. On-street areas could potentially be used to accommodate car share spaces, drop off / pick up areas and bus zones.

4.5 Public transport measures

Cooks Cove is located within approximately 700m to 1.1km of three railway stations, being Arncliffe, Wolli Creek and Sydney International Airport. These train stations are serviced by the T8 Airport and South Line and the T4 Eastern Suburbs and Illawarra Line. Both lines operate for 20 hours per day and both have operational capacity for 18 trains per hour in each direction. The rail network provides access between Sydney CBD, southwest Sydney, the south coast and key centres including Bondi Junction, Kogarah and Rockdale.

The site is located in proximity to three existing bus routes: the 420 422, and 348. The 420 services part of the strategic bus corridor from Bondi Junction to Rockdale via the Airport and then on to Burwood. The 422 currently runs down the Princes Highway and West Botany Street connecting with Kogarah, Newtown and the Sydney CBD, while the 348 originates adjacent to Wolli Creek Rail Station, providing services to the growing Green Square area.

The Bayside West Precinct 2036 Plan identifies P1 "New bus stops on Marsh Street" "to accommodate access from Cooks Cove to the bus network" as a desired regional infrastructure upgrade to be delivered by the Cooks Cove developer & TfNSW/Council. This recommendation was made to DPE by AECOM 2016.

In relation to bus services for Cooks Cove, provision of a bus bay and shelter on the eastern side of Marsh Street can be provided to serve southbound route 420 (serving Bondi Junction, Rockdale, Burwood) and route 422 (Sydney CBD, Newtown, Kogarah). The Cooks Cove project can facilitate the delivery of a bus bay and shelter on the eastern side of Marsh Street. Contributions will be provided for the delivery of a bus stop on the western side of Marsh Street.

The design of the internal street network will offer the opportunity for shuttle bus services to operate within the Cooks Cove site, with a minimum 13m wide carriageway to be provided. On-street bus zones could be provided to accommodate shuttle services to/from Wolli Creek station or other suitable public transport nodes.



4.6 Active transport measures

The following pedestrian and cycling initiatives will be provided as part of the Planning Proposal. This infrastructure will significantly improve connections from the site to surrounding train stations, public transport and services - reducing travel times, improving safety and providing more direct paths of travel.

• A regional separated pedestrian and cycle path, located parallel to the Cooks River. The proposal will deliver a missing 900m long x 20 m wide landscaped waterfront contribution to the 'bay to bay' regional active transport link along the western bank of the Cooks River, incorporating pedestrian, cycling and passive recreation infrastructure. This improvement for pedestrians and cyclists is identified as a desired regional infrastructure upgrade by the Bayside West Precinct 2036 Plan. This foreshore path will provide connectivity to the broader active transport network as illustrated in Figure 19.



Figure 19 Regional cycling connections

- A \$4m contribution to an enhanced pedestrian/cyclist connection on the southern side of the Giovanni Brunetti Bridge, which will connect to the recently completed pedestrian bridge at Sydney Airport to facilitate a direct connection into the International Airport railway station.
- Connection to and embellishment of the new shared path along the length of Marsh Street, constructed as part of recent road widening upgrades;
- New pedestrian footpaths on Gertrude Street (between Marsh Street and Levey Street) as part of the Gertrude Street extension project;
- Bicycle parking and end of trip facilities within future buildings, as well as bicycle infrastructure within the public domain, provided in accordance with relevant Bayside Council controls. Bicycle parking facilities are to be



distributed throughout the site to ensure it is convenient to use regardless of the ultimate destination within the site; and

- New pedestrian crossing opportunities across Marsh Street and Levey Street associated with the proposed new and upgraded signalised intersections, including (also refer to Figure 20):
 - New pedestrian crossing on the eastern side of the existing Marsh Street / Flora Street intersection
 - New pedestrian crossing on the western side of the future Marsh Street / Gertrude Street intersection
 - New pedestrian crossings on all approaches of the future Levey Street / Gertrude Street intersection



Figure 20 Pedestrian crossing opportunities

These improved pedestrian connections will be supplemented by the new Gertrude Street East extension providing new connectivity between Levey Street to a foreshore recreation precinct, to the Marsh Street (east) existing cycleway, and a new pedestrian and cycleway network within Pemulwuy Park (Marsh Street Parklands). This new pedestrian and cycling network will be in part facilitated by freehold land dedications for public recreation, that will substantially improve east-west connectivity, and create the opportunity for further walking and cycling connections to Kyeemagh and beyond (subject to the assistance and co-operation of adjoining landowners and stakeholders Sydney Water, Bayside Council and the Commonwealth).



4.7 Green travel plan

Devising a travel demand management plan is an important part of reducing car usage in the new precinct and increasing the sustainability of the development as a whole.

Transport for NSW has recently created a Travel Choices team to help develop travel action plans for businesses. As part of this program, the framework proposes to assess travel demand management is a series of four 'R's. These are remode, retime, reroute and reduce as shown in Figure 21.



Figure 21 The four components of travel demand management

The areas that are able to be addressed as part of the planning proposal stage are 'remode' and 'reduce'. Remoding is about reducing the share of private vehicle trips used for work and every day travel and increasing the share of public transport, walking and cycling. This can be done by making driving less attractive (for example by implementing a managed parking scheme) or by increasing the attractiveness of alternative modes. 'Reducing' is about reducing the need for travel by co-locating land uses or other strategies.

This report recommends infrastructure improvements to make public transport, walking and cycling easy and convenient for people travelling to and from the site. The number of additional pedestrian and cycling connections (both internal and external to the site) is a good example of this. In addition, the following sections outline some strategies to further promote alternative modes and reduce the number of car trips generated by the precinct.



4.7.1 Car pooling

Car pooling is an effective means of reducing travel and parking demand by increasing the number of car journeys containing more than one occupant. Car pooling however is generally only effective when incentives are provided to staff that do car pool. Businesses on the site could encourage their staff to car pool as a means of travelling to work at Cooks Cove by:

- Holding a staff event and providing information around the option of car pooling, including the opportunity for staff members to 'pair up' based on their home location and travel preferences (as part of an annual travel morning tea information session); and
- Providing incentives for those that car pool, e.g. priority parking within the site or coffee / lunch vouchers



Figure 22 Existing car pooling websites

4.7.2 Car Sharing

Car sharing is a proven means of reducing the number of car journeys generated by a development. Studies on the effectiveness of car sharing schemes shows that every car share space can replace the need for up to 12 private vehicle spaces.

Car share arrangements should form an integral part of new project applications and this can be conditioned at the appropriate time and would form part of a Travel Plan. Information on local car sharing schemes would be provided as part of the staff induction process.

Consultation with car share operators such as Go Get would be undertaken to provide car share vehicles within the proposed future road network or basement car park, for use by staff. This will be dependent upon the requirements of car share operators and would also form part of a Travel Plan.



4.7.3 Cycling

Cycling may only be a viable mode of transport for a small proportion of staff, however it can still contribute to reducing traffic and parking demands for the Cooks Cove site. It may be a convenient way for staff to travel between Wolli Creek Station and the site, which will take just over five minutes on a bike compared to a 15 minute walk.

A number of organisations provide 'pool bikes' for their staff to use for travel during the day. Businesses on the site could consider purchasing 2-3 bikes for staff to use during the day, including potential e-bikes which require less effort than traditional bicycles. Examples of organisations that have purchased e-bikes and allow their staff to use them for trips to/from work include City of Sydney Council, North Sydney Council and Bangarra Dance Company.





Figure 23 City of Sydney and North Sydney Council pool bike schemes

On-site facilities for cyclists such as bicycle parking (in a secure and undercover area) supported by lockers, showers and change-rooms will be provided as part of the future development of the Cooks Cove site. This will enable use of bicycles as a means of travel to the site, including from nearby public transport stops. Other measures for consideration to be implemented by businesses on the Cooks Cove site to encourage cycling include the following:

- Supply a workplace toolkit-this can consist of puncture repair equipment, a bike pump, a spare lock and lights
- Provide local cycle maps to staff
- Participate in annual events such as 'Ride to Work Day'
- Encourage staff interested in cycling to connect with other more confident and experienced riders to provide further encouragement or advice
- Provide cycle safety training courses (provided by others) for staff to improve cycling confidence.



4.7.4 Travel information

During the staff induction process travel information will be incorporated so that new staff members are aware of the travel choices available to them. This would also include a tour of the site to include visit cycle parking areas as well as distributing a copy of the Transport Access Guide.

4.7.5 Transport Access Guide

The information provided within the GTP will be provided to staff and visitors in the form of a package of easy to understand travel information known as a Transport Access Guide (TAG).

TAGs provide customised travel information for people travelling to and from a particular site using sustainable forms of transport – walking, cycling and public transport. It provides a simple quick visual look at a location making it easy to see the relationship of site to train stations, bus stops and walking and cycling routes. Such TAGs encourage the use of non-vehicle mode transport and can reduce associated greenhouse gas emissions and traffic congestion while improving health through active transport choices.

They can take many forms from a Cooks Cove precinct app to maps printed on the back of business cards or brochures. Best practice suggests that the information should be as concise, simple and site centred as possible and where possible provided on a single side/sheet. If instructions are too complex, people are likely to ignore them.

A TAG would be prepared prior to the initial occupancy of the first building on the Cooks Cove site.



5 Traffic Impact Assessment

5.1 Purpose

The purpose of the traffic assessment is to provide an understanding of the road and transport infrastructure upgrades needed to support the Planning Proposal, including identification of transport network upgrades required to mitigate the traffic impacts of the scheme.

The extent of the traffic model is shown in Figure 24, with these extents confirmed following consultation with Transport for NSW.



Figure 24 Traffic model extents



5.2 Background to traffic assessment

Prior to the Gateway Determination received for the Cooks Cove Planning Proposal in August 2022 extensive investigations in relation to the operation of the surrounding road network were undertaken. Most relevant was a 'due diligence traffic assessment' report completed in February 2022 which considered the future operation of the signalised intersections on Marsh Street fronting the site. The purpose of this due diligence assessment (undertaken pre Gateway) was to provide a strategic understanding of the infrastructure required to support the Planning Proposal. The due diligence assessment confirmed that:

- Signalised intersections on Marsh Street have the ability to operate with an acceptable level of performance under the development yields envisaged in the Planning Proposal for the site; and
- The transport infrastructure required to support access to the site (i.e. new intersections on Marsh Street) can be constructed entirely within the road reserve or within the Trust lands on site – with no reliance on third party lands.

The due diligence assessment was not however intended to act as a 'green light' to the project, instead it was intended to provide a sufficient level of comfort to TfNSW and other stakeholders that the development yield proposed for the site has the ability to be achieved, subject to further validation arising from detailed modelling to be undertaken post Gateway.

As requested by TfNSW, a more detailed assessment has been undertaken post-Gateway, utilising the VISSIM micro-simulation software package, which considers a broader study area as previously shown in Section 5.1. The modelling takes into consideration network impacts at a micro-simulation level taking into account downstream queueing impacts, signal dynamics and weaving movements. The modelling has been undertaken in accordance with Transport for NSW traffic modelling guidelines and in close consultation with the relevant team within TfNSW.

This detailed traffic modelling has been used to inform the infrastructure schedule to support the future development of the Cooks Cove site.

5.3 TfNSW consultation

The traffic modelling was completed in close consultation with technical officers from TfNSW. A summary of the meetings held and TfNSW advice provided is noted below:

- 02 March 2022 TfNSW provides detailed advice in relation to extent of future year traffic modelling required should project receive a Gateway determination
- 23 June 2022 Traffic modelling methodology report issued to TfNSW



- 11 July 2022 Meeting held with TfNSW to discuss traffic modelling methodology report. During the meeting TfNSW noted their preference for an updated 2022 base year traffic model to be prepared given the changes in traffic patterns since the opening of the M8 tunnels in 2020.
- 21 July 2022 Cook Cove Inlet Pty Ltd provides suggested methodology for the update of the base model to TfNSW
- 22 July 2022 TfNSW confirms that the proposed approach in updating the base year model is acceptable
- 30 August 2022 Updated base year traffic model and report issued to TfNSW
- 13 September 2022 Outputs from TfNSW strategic model provided to Cook Cove Inlet Pty Ltd
- 19 September 2022 TfNSW provides comments on the base year traffic model
- 5 October 2022 Revised base year traffic model and report issued to TfNSW addressing comments provided
- 31 October 2022 TfNSW endorses base year model, noting "*TfNSW has* completed the review and confirms that our previous comments emailed to you on 19 September 2022 have been satisfactorily addressed"
- 23 November 2022 Meeting held with TfNSW officers to present the findings of the future year traffic model.
- 25 November 2022 Future year VISSIM traffic models issued to TfNSW for review
- 13 December 2022 TfNSW provides comments on the future year traffic model
- 14 December 2022 Responses to comments on future year traffic model issued to TfNSW
- 15 December 2022 TfNSW confirms acceptance of future year traffic models by providing the following advice:

"TfNSW has reviewed the clarification/justification provided in response to our comments raised during the review of the future year traffic models. These comments are now closed out and no modifications are required to the submitted models.

However, please note that the future year traffic models have been reviewed without having the benefit of concurrent review of the traffic report which is yet to be submitted. We therefore reserve the right to raise any further modelling comments, including requiring modifications to the submitted models, should the traffic report (and draft letter of offer) contain any material that would necessitate changing any inputs or assumptions in the future year traffic models".



5.4 Traffic modelling methodology

An overview of the process undertaken for the traffic modelling is summarised below, and described in detail in the following sections:

- 1. Development of a 'base year' micro-simulation traffic model which is reflective of existing traffic conditions in the precinct surrounding the site
- 2. Working collaboratively with Transport for NSW to obtain strategic modelling outputs which forecast the changes in traffic movements in the study area due to future development and the advent of future infrastructure projects (e.g.M8, M6, Sydney Gateway)
- 3. Development of a 'future year' traffic model which considers the operation of the road network, both with and without the Cooks Cove development
- 4. Development of SIDRA model to refine the access intersection configuration requirements, traffic signal phasing and other aspects of the intersection layouts. The VISSIM traffic models were then updated to incorporate the findings of the SIDRA analysis.
- 5. Using the future year traffic model, identification of upgrades to the transport network to support the Cooks Cove proposal. These upgrades aim to ensure that the road network will operate at a similar level to that which would have occurred had the Planning Proposal not proceeded.

5.5 Base year traffic model

A base year traffic model, reflective of existing traffic conditions, was prepared in accordance with current RMS Traffic Modelling Guidelines. A detailed base year model report, prepared by Stantec, is provided as Appendix A to this document. Transport for NSW endorsed the 2022 base year traffic model via email correspondence dated 31 October 2022.

5.6 Forecast Cooks Cove traffic generation

An assessment has been undertaken to understand the level of traffic movements generated by the Planning Proposal as detailed in the sections below.

5.6.1 Retail

Surveys undertaken by Transport for NSW at a number of retail centres in NSW have been used to determine the traffic generation from the retail uses. The floor area for each shopping centre has been plotted against the surveyed traffic generation rate, and a regression analysis undertaken to establish the relationship between floor area and traffic generation as shown in Figure 25.







Source: Transport for NSW

5.6.2 Hotel

Surveys of the Mercure Hotel (located on the northern side of Marsh Street) were previously undertaken in March 2017 to understand the likely traffic generation of this use. The Mercure Hotel contains 271 hotel rooms and serves a similar purpose to the proposed hotels within the Cooks Cove precinct. The survey observed a total of 21 vehicles over the PM peak hour (5pm – 6pm), comprised of 11 taxis, 5 car drop offs and 5 vehicles parking. This is equivalent to a peak hour traffic generation rate of 0.14 / vehicles room. This rate has been adopted for the purposes of this study.

5.6.3 Commercial

The trip rates adopted for commercial uses are heavily dependent on the rate of parking provided for the site. In the absence of all day commuter parking on nearby streets, workers choosing to drive to Cooks Cove will be reliant on on-site parking.

Although many of the sites surveyed in RMS TDT2013/04a are close to public transport, they contained high parking rates which directly influenced the proportion of people that travel by car. Analysis below demonstrates that, for the sites noted in RMS TDT2013/04a, there is a strong and direct relationship between car parking provision and car mode share. Although each surveyed site has good access to public transport, the surveys showed a significant range in the associated car mode share (and therefore trip generation).







The traffic generation rate to be adopted of 0.8 trips / 100m² is reflective of the proposed maximum on-site car parking rate of 1 space per 80m² GFA. This parking rate would yield a maximum of 265 spaces. The adopted traffic generation rate forecasts approximately 170 vehicle trips associated with the commercial uses, equivalent to 0.65 vehicle movements per parking space. This rate is significantly higher than the 0.4-0.5 vehicle trips / parking space as recommended in TDT2013/04a. Maximum car parking rates for the commercial uses will be adopted and be reinforced in the site specific DCP as well as any other relevant planning instruments (site-specific LEP clause).



5.6.4 Logistics uses

The forecast traffic generation arising from the logistics uses has been determined using trip generation rates for similar industrial sites noted in the Transport for NSW *Guide to Traffic Generating Developments* (TDT 2013/04a). Given the characteristics of the site with a significant amount of warehousing floor space and low proportion of ancillary office, the average trip generation rate of the following three sites in the Sydney Metropolitan area were adopted:

Site	Peak Hour Vehicle Trip Generation (vehicles / 100m ² GFA)							
	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour						
Erskine Park Industrial Estate, Erskine Park	0.13	0.14						
Wonderland Business Park, Eastern Creek	0.18	0.18						
Riverwood Business Park, Riverwood	0.43	0.23						
Average across sites	0.25	0.18						

The fourth surveyed site in the Sydney Metropolitan Area noted in TDT 2013/04a, located in Helensburgh, was not considered appropriate for the purposes of determining a comparable traffic generation rate. This site, with only 1,605m² GFA, primarily contains office uses which the TfNSW summary report¹ notes would generate higher number of trips compared to industrial establishments. It is also noted that the Erskine Park and Wonderland sites are the most comparable to the future Cooks Cove site given the amount of GFA provided on these site (>250,000m²) however as a conservative estimate the Riverwood site (with a GFA of approximately 30,000m²) has also been included.

5.6.5 Summary

A detailed breakdown of traffic generation forecasts for the Planning Proposal is provided in the following pages of this document. These traffic generation forecasts are consistent with those adopted in the due diligence traffic assessment as well as those noted in the traffic modelling methodology report issued to, and endorsed by, TfNSW.

¹ Trip Generation Surveys—Business Parks and Industrial Estates – TEF Consulting



Table 2 Forecast Cooks Cove traffic generation

Land Use	Quantum	Units	Generation Rate		Containment / Passing Trade		Directionality				Forecast Peak Hour Traffic Generation					
			АМ	РМ	АМ	РМ	АМ		РМ		AM			PM		
							IN	Ουτ	IN	Ουτ	IN	ουτ	TOTAL	IN	ουτ	TOTAL
Commercial	21,610	m²GFA	0.80	0.80	0.00	0.00	0.95	0.05	0.05	0.95	162	9	170	9	162	170
Retail	7,500	m² GLFA*	4.68	9.35	0.25	0.25	0.60	0.40	0.5	0.5	158	105	263	263	263	526
Hotel	300	rooms	0.14	0.14	0	0	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.3	13	29	42	29	13	42
Serviced apartments	0	rooms	0.20	0.20	0	0	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Logistics / warehouse	290,400	m²GFA	0.25	0.18	0	0	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.6	435	290	725	209	313	522
Total						803	397	1200	484	776	1260					

* Consistent with Section 5.7 of the RMS Guide, GLFA: GFA=0.75:1



5.7 Background traffic growth

The most accepted means of forecasting traffic growth and distribution is utilising a strategic traffic model owned and operated by the NSW Government. Following discussions with Transport for NSW, it was agreed that the Strategic Traffic Forecasting Model (STFM) would be the most appropriate tool to understand changes in traffic flows. The outputs from the STFM for the 2036 future year were incorporated into the future year VISSIM models through a concordance process.

As shown in Figure 27 the STFM indicates significant levels of background traffic growth on the surrounding road network, with an annual traffic growth rate of approximately 3% forecast despite the significant investment by the NSW Government in new transport infrastructure such as the M6 and Sydney Gateway Projects.



Figure 27 Forecast annual rates of background traffic growth

As illustrated in Figure 28 the forecast growth rates noted in the STFM outputs result in significant increases in traffic movements over the two hour afternoon peak period – with nearly an additional 3,000 additional vehicles on Forest Road west of the Princes Highway. Close to an additional 1,000 vehicles are forecast on the Princes Highway (south of Forest Road) and West Botany Street (south of Wickham Street).





Figure 28 Forecast increase in traffic arising from background growth

5.8 Traffic modelling scenarios

The following scenarios have been considered as part of the detailed microsimulation traffic modelling:

- Future Base Scenario: 2036 future year, including predicted levels of background traffic growth on the surrounding road network <u>without</u> the Cooks Cove development in place
- (ii) Future Base + Cooks Cove Scenario: 2036 future year, including predicted levels of background traffic growth on the surrounding road network <u>with</u> the Cooks Cove development in place as per the 2022 Cooks Cove Master Plan described in Section 1.2 of this document.



5.9 Road network geometry changes

The road network geometry within the Future Base + Cooks Cove traffic model has been updated to reflect the site access arrangements and intersection configurations developed by Arup (see Section 4.1). An extract from the VISSIM model indicating these road geometry changes is shown in Figure 29 below. Key changes to the road network include:

- Introduction of new four-way signalised intersection at Marsh Street and Gertrude Street;
- Enhancement of the existing Marsh Street / Flora Street signalised intersection, including banning the right turn from Marsh Street (eastbound) into Flora Street East;
- Gertrude Street extension between Marsh Street and Levey Street;
- Traffic signals at the intersection of Gertrude Street and Levey Street; and
- Removal of traffic lights at the Marsh Street / Innesdale Road intersection, with movements restricted to left in / left out only.





Road geometry – Future Base + Cooks Cove

Road geometry – Future Base Figure 29 Road geometry changes


5.10 Traffic modelling findings

The following sections of this document summarise the findings of the future year traffic modelling with respect to the Marsh Street intersections, access to Sydney Airport as well as impacts on the broader road network. Outputs are generally summarised in terms of intersection level of service, average vehicle speed and unreleased traffic demands. More detailed traffic modelling outputs, including intersection delay and queue lengths, are provided as Appendix B of this report.

5.10.1 Marsh Street intersections

The detailed traffic modelling indicates that the proposed signalised intersections on Marsh Street at Flora Street and Gertrude Street operate acceptably in both the morning and evening peak hours with the Cooks Cove development in place. These site access intersections and the traffic generated by the project do not compromise the ability of TfNSW to continue to deliver a safe road network in the area. The intersection level of service findings for the Future Base + Cooks Cove development scenario is presented in Figure 30 – confirming the previous findings of the due diligence traffic assessment that the proposed intersection configurations are suitable and development yields can be supported.



Figure 30

Future intersection level of service



5.10.2 Access to Sydney Airport

Detailed analysis has been undertaken along Marsh Street in the eastbound (citybound) direction to understand the impacts of the project on access to Sydney Airport. The modelling indicates that in both peak hours, travel speeds along Marsh Street travelling towards the Airport remain largely consistent between a 'no project' and a 'with project' scenario as illustrated in the figures below.



Figure 31 Travel times on Marsh Street towards Sydney Airport (AM peak hour)



Figure 32 Travel times on Marsh Street towards Sydney Airport (PM peak hour)



5.10.3 Broader road network operation

Due to capacity constraints outside of the modelled network and well away from the Cooks Cove site, specifically on Forest Road west of the Princes Highway, the modelling indicates that even under a scenario where the Cooks Cove site is not developed that there will be significant congestion and delays for vehicles during the afternoon peak hour.

As shown in Figure 33 the average vehicle speed across the road network is predicted to reduce by more than 50% compared to current conditions. This issue is arising due to the predicted level of background traffic growth on the road network as identified in the TfNSW strategic modelling outputs, notwithstanding the investment by the NSW Government in new transport infrastructure such as the M6 and Sydney Gateway Projects which would remove traffic from the surface road network in the area. This deterioration is unrelated to the Cooks Cove proposal.

When considering the impacts of the project on the overall network during the morning peak hour travel times and vehicle delays remain relatively stable during the morning peak hour. During the PM peak hour average speeds are forecast to reduce from approximately 13km/h (under the Future Base scenario) to just under 10km/h with the Planning Proposal in place.



Figure 33

Average vehicle speeds - broader road network



The outputs from the TfNSW strategic model, which is an unconstrained model that assumes traffic will continue to grow without drivers adjusting their behaviours, are resulting in significant numbers of 'unreleased vehicles' on the road network as indicated in Figure 34.





5.10.4 Sensitivity testing

Due to these capacity constraints in the Future Base model, particularly on Forest Road west of the Princes Highway, the traffic model was found to behave in a highly sensitive manner – with any incremental (albeit relatively small) increases in traffic flows resulting from the Cooks Cove development are resulting in significant increases in delays. This unfortunately does not allow for an 'apples for apples' comparison to understand the relative impact of the project. As shown in Figure 35 the Cooks Cove proposal contributes only an additional 3%-5% in traffic movements on Forest Road and the Princes Highway when compared to the general background growth forecast under the STFM.





Figure 35 Relative change in traffic growth

As a sensitivity test a scenario has been modelled where one of the major external capacity constraints on Forest Road was removed in order to better understand the incremental impact of the Cooks Cove project and reduce the sensitivities being displayed by the model. This analysis indicates that across the modelled network travel speeds reduce by less than 10% and unreleased demand remains consistent – indicating the Cooks Cove project itself does not cause significant impacts on the broader road network.











Another sensitivity test was conducted to understand whether the yield of the Cooks Cove site was contributing to the performance of the broader road network. This analysis considered the effect of a reduced development yield of 270,000m² GFA on the site – consistent with the previously approved master plan. The modelling for this sensitivity test, as shown in Figure 38, demonstrates that a reduced yield on the Cooks Cove site does not influence overall road network performance – confirming the constraints sit outside of the project boundaries and the relative traffic impact of the proposal is minor.



Figure 38

Average travel speeds (sensitivity test, reduced yield)



A further analysis was undertaken to understand the effect of a new set of traffic lights on Marsh Street at Gertrude Street to understand the influence of this project – refer to Figure 39. The modelling shows that a new set of traffic lights does not in themselves contribute to the traffic congestion observed in the future base models as previously shown in Section 5.10.3.





5.11 Summary – traffic impact assessment

- Detailed micro-simulation traffic modelling has been undertaken in accordance with the requirements outlined by TfNSW
- The modelling assumes considerable levels of traffic growth on the surface road network based on the STFM outputs provided by TfNSW
- The modelling indicates:
 - The proposed Marsh Street signalised intersections function well with the Cooks Cove development in place
 - Access to Sydney Airport via Marsh Street remains unimpacted by the proposal
 - Constraints away from the Cooks Cove site indicate significant congestion and delays on the broader road network without the project in place.
 - If these external constraints were resolved then modelling shows the project itself does not cause significant impacts on the broader road network



5.12 Traffic signal warrants

Transport for NSW has specific requirements relating to vehicular and pedestrian volumes where it will consider the installation of traffic signals at an intersection. These are commonly referred to as signal warrants. Section 2 of the RMS Traffic Signal Design Manual outlines five different warrants for the installation of traffic signals at intersections. These are summarised in Table 3.

Warrant	Description
Traffic Demand	For each of the four one-hour periods of an average day: (i) The major road exceeds 600 vehicles/hour in each direction; and (ii) The minor road exceeds 200 vehicles/hour in one direction
Continuous Traffic	For each of the four one-hour periods of an average day: (i) The major road flow exceeds 900 vehicles/hour in each direction; and (ii) The minor road exceeds 100 vehicles/hour in one direction; and (iii) The speed of traffic on the major road or limited sight distance from the minor road causes undue delay/hazards to the minor road vehicles; and (iv) There is no other nearby traffic signal site easily accessible to the minor road vehicles
Pedestrian Safety	For each of the four one-hour periods of an average day: (i) The pedestrian flow crossing the major road exceeds 150 persons/hour; and (ii) The major road exceeds 600 vehicles/hour in each direction or, where there is a central median at least 1.2m wide, 1000 vehicles/hour in each direction
Pedestrian Safety – high speed road	For each of the four one-hour periods of an average day: (i) The pedestrian flow crossing the major road exceeds 150 persons/hour; and (ii) The major road exceeds 450 vehicles/hour in each direction or, where there is a central median at least 1.2m wide, 750 vehicles/hour in each direction; and (iii) The 85 th percentile speed on the major road exceeds 75km/hr
Crashes	 (i) The intersection has been the site of an average three or more reported tow-away or casualty traffic accidents per year over a three year period, where traffic signals could have prevented the accidents; and (ii) The traffic flows are at least 80% of the appropriate flow warrants

Table 3 Traffic signal warrants description



In accordance with the TfNSW recommendation an assessment has been undertaken which considers whether traffic signal warrants would be met for the proposed new signalised intersections.

Review of existing and future traffic volume data indicates that the Marsh Street / Gertrude Street intersection comfortably meets both the 'traffic demand' and 'continuous traffic' warrants – with over 1,500 vehicles per hour in each direction on Marsh Street and approximately 500 vehicles per hour in one direction on Gertrude Street.

An assessment of traffic flows through the Gertrude Street / Levey Street intersection indicates that forecast traffic movements in the year 2036 fall short of the warrants. It should be noted that volumes on Gertrude Street during the PM peak hour are anticipated to be approximately 500 vehicles per hour while flows on Levey Street are approximately 400 vehicles per hour – therefore coming close to meeting the 'continuous traffic' warrants.

It is also important to recognise however that traffic signal warrants are something that should be considered but is only one of several factors when determining suitable intersection layouts. One of the key considerations for the Cooks Cove project, as detailed in the Gateway Determination issued by DPE, is to "*deliver a safe road network and enhance walking and cycling connectivity and the use of public transport*". The introduction of traffic signals at the Gertrude Street / Levey Street intersection would meet these objectives by:

- Providing an intersection layout best suited to accommodate future traffic demands and manage the efficiency of the road network;
- Deliver new pedestrian crossing facilities through the intersection which provide connections between the Cooks Cove site, Cahill Park and Wolli Creek (including Wolli Creek train station); and
- Improve road safety, with traffic lights (more than any other form of intersection control) providing the best road safety outcome for all road users (vehicles, cyclists, public transport and pedestrians).

While the retention of the roundabout control at this intersection would not fundamentally alter the road network operation, the introduction of traffic lights is considered to provide a superior transport outcome in terms of road safety and active transport accessibility.



6 Infrastructure Schedule

6.1 Works in kind infrastructure

The following works in kind infrastructure elements are to be delivered prior to the first occupation certificate being issued:

- Gertrude Street extension (Levey Street to Marsh Street),
- Levey Street / Gertrude Street signalised intersection,
- Gertrude Street widening (Levey Street to Princes Highway),
- Marsh Street / Gertrude Street signalised intersection,
- Marsh Street / Flora Street intersection enhancement,
- Gertrude Street East extension,
- Flora Street East extension, and
- New Marsh Street bus stops.

These works are shown visually in Figure 40.



Figure 40

Summary of proposed infrastructure



The value of the total work-in-kind contribution will be validated in consultation with TfNSW. Works will include improvements to adjoining Local and State infrastructure and as a consequence an appropriate methodology is to be identified to facilitate the efficient delivery of infrastructure improvements to the benefit of Bayside Council and the State of NSW. Subject to TfNSW's endorsement, works will be divided into separable deeds / VPAs to enable delivery between stakeholders, as required.

A detailed summary of the scope of the works in kind infrastructure contributions is provided in Table 4.

Description	Ref	Scope
Gertrude Street Extension (Marsh Street to Levey Street)	A1	 Construction of new link road with two lanes in each direction between Levey Street and Marsh Street. Road base, drainage, subsurface utility relocation. New kerb and gutter, footpaths, fencing, basic landscaping, signage, lighting
Levey Street / Gertrude Street Signalised Intersection	A2	 Conversion of existing roundabout to 4-way intersection Installation of traffic signals, line marking, signage, and lighting Utility relocations and adjustments Amendments to on-street parking in Levey Street.
Gertrude Street Upgrade (Levey Street to Princes Highway)	A3	 Widening of marked two lane road to four lanes, between Levey Street to the approach of Princes Highway. Line marking and realignment of northern footpath, kerb and gutter, basic landscaping Utility relocations and adjustment to lighting
Marsh Street / Gertrude Street Intersection Enhancement	B1	 Revision to concrete medians to create northbound dual right turn bay into Gertrude Street East and southbound dual right turn bay into Gertrude Street Extension. Construction of Marsh Street southbound deceleration lane to permit left turn into Gertrude Street East. Installation of traffic signals, intersection, and pedestrian line marking Remove traffic signals serving Innesdale Road and undertake necessary adjustments required to alter permitted movements to left in and left out only to/from Marsh Street New footpaths, fencing, signage, lighting, road sheeting as required Utility relocations and adjustments
Marsh Street / Flora Street Intersection Enhancement	B2	 Revision to concrete medians to eliminate northbound right turn bay into existing M6/M8 temporary construction compound and lengthening of southbound right turn bay to M5 Motorway Intersection line marking and traffic signal adjustments including new pedestrian crossing Extension of the northbound right turn bay to Gertrude Street Construction of southbound left turn bay to Flora Street east New kerb and gutter, footpaths, required adjustments to Marsh Street east cycleway fencing, signage, lighting, road sheeting, basic roadside landscaping as required Utility relocations and adjustments

Table 4 Proposed works in kind contributions



Description	Ref	Scope
Gertrude Street East Extension	В3	 Provision of a four-lane connector road to Marsh Street to boundary of Lot 100/DP1231954 Integration of a southbound left turn slip lane into new Gertrude Street East. Stormwater culvert consistent with flood mitigation strategy Road base, drainage, subsurface utility relocation. New medians, footpaths, fencing, signage, lighting
Flora Street East Extension	B4	 Provision of five - lane connector road to Marsh Street / Flora Street intersection Maintain access to Arncliffe Motorway Operations Compound at all times. Integrate new road design and undertake necessary modifications to the road access arrangements arising from M6 Stage 1 to AMOC Land dedication of part Lot 100 DP1231954 and four- lane road incorporating necessary southbound AMOC access modifications and access to Lot 1/DP108492 and 40 bay at grade car parking facility Stormwater culvert consistent with flood mitigation strategy Road base, drainage, subsurface utility relocation. New medians, footpaths, line marking, fencing, signage, lighting Pedestrian crossing to facilitate access from Lot 14 / DP213314 to Lot 1 DP108492
Bus stops	E1	 Northbound and southbound bus stops to the south of the Marsh / Gertrude Street intersection. Southbound with signage and shelter. Northbound signage only as it is believed there is insufficient area to accommodate a bus shelter within northbound Marsh Street road reserve

6.2 Monetary contributions

6.2.1 Active transport improvements to Giovanni Brunetti Bridge

A number of studies, including the Bayside West Precincts 2036 Plan, have identified that active transport improvements to this TfNSW asset would benefit the Bayside Community, the Cooks Cove project, Sydney Airport and regional pedestrian and cyclists. A commitment of a \$4,000,000 progressive contribution is made, proportional to the completion of approved floorspace, to this, or an alternative active transport improvement to access between Cooks Cove and Sydney Airport.



6.2.2 State and/or regional road improvements

Notwithstanding the relatively minor impact the Cooks Cove project has on the broader road network, as summarised in Section 5.10 of this document, it is acknowledged that the proposal would generate additional traffic demands on the surrounding road network.

To this end Cook Cove Inlet Pty Ltd would offer a \$4,700,000 progressive contribution, proportional to the completion of approved floorspace, to TfNSW to assist in resolving some of the capacity constraints identified in the traffic modelling. As the traffic modelling identified key capacity constraints on Forest Road west of the Princes Highway, the contribution has been proposed based on the costs previously identified for:

- The \$3,200,000 previously identified by TfNSW for upgrades at the Forest Road / Princes Highway intersection; and
- The \$1.5m identified in the Bayside West Precincts 2036 plan for upgrades to the intersection of Forest Road at Firth and Eden Streets.

The delivery of upgrades at these locations will relieve capacity constraints identified in the traffic modelling and contribute to an improved road network outcome. The \$4,700,000 progressive contribution is not however contingent on funds being allocated to the above locations – these have been recommended as a result of traffic modelling findings. The monetary contribution may instead be allocated at alternative locations as identified by TfNSW to improve the State/Regional road network or signalised intersections in the vicinity of the Cooks Cove site.

6.3 Timing and delivery of contributions

- Transport Infrastructure Contributions Cook Cove Inlet would enter into a Transport Infrastructure Contributions (TIC) deed with TfNSW (and supplementary Bayside Council works agreement/deed as relevant) prior to the gazettal of amended planning controls the subject of this Planning Proposal. The TIC deed would be finalised by both parties acting reasonably within four months of the commencement of the drafting of the deed.
- Works-in-kind Cook Cove Inlet will facilitate the implementation of the works-in-kind components identified as A1-A3, B1-B4 and E1 prior to an Occupation Certificate being issued for floorspace the subject of this Planning Proposal within Lot 100 in DP 1231954.
- Monetary contributions Cook Cove Inlet to make staged payments in relation to the monetary contributions items B7 and E2 at the rate of \$25,588 per 1,000sqm (the total equivalent of \$8.7m), prior to the progressive issue of Occupation Certificates for floorspace arising from the gazettal of this Planning Proposal within Lot 100 in DP 1231954.
- Legal costs Cook Cove Inlet will pay TfNSW no more than \$25,000 for the legal costs associated with the drafting of the TIC deed.



7 Summary

This updated transport assessment has been developed by JMT Consulting to support a Planning Proposal for the Cooks Cove site. The assessment has been prepared to respond to the requirements of the Gateway Determination PP-2022-1748 and Local Planning Direction 5.2, specifically:

"obtain approval from TfNSW that the planning proposal will not compromise future transport links, deliver a safe road network and enhance walking and cycling connectivity and the use of public transport in accordance with the requirements of the principles"

The proposal maintains vehicle access points on Marsh Street into the site when compared to previous schemes submitted, with an internal road network to be delivered to accommodate the safe and efficient movement of people. Improvements in access for public transport and active transport users will also be delivered as part of the development of the site.

Detailed traffic modelling, undertaken in close consultation with TfNSW, demonstrates that the future Marsh Street signalised intersections function satisfactorily with the Cooks Cove development in place and do not significantly compromise the operational performance of the road network. The upgraded intersections on Marsh Street will deliver new pedestrian crossing facilities and provide for traffic lane widths in accordance with relevant TfNSW design guidelines.

In relation to enhancing walking and cycling connectivity and the use of public transport, the proposal will deliver a missing 900m long x 20 m wide landscaped waterfront contribution to the 'bay to bay' regional active transport link along the western bank of the Cooks River, incorporating pedestrian, cycling and passive recreation infrastructure.

In addition, the proposal includes new pedestrian crossing opportunities of Marsh Street and Levey Street which will strengthen pedestrian connectivity and safety between Cooks Cove, Cahill Park and Wolli Creek train station. These improved pedestrian connections will be complemented by the new Gertrude Street East extension providing new connectivity between Levey Street to a foreshore recreation precinct, to the Marsh Street (east) existing cycleway, and a new pedestrian and cycleway network within Pemulwuy Park (Marsh Street Parklands).

New bus stops in an eastbound and westbound direction on Marsh Street, subject to the assistance of TfNSW and Bayside Council, will assist servicing the public transport needs of the new Cooks Cove worker and visitor population.

A summary of the transport measures recommended in this report, and in many cases also the Bayside West Precincts 2036 Plan, is provided in Table 5.



Table 5 Summary of proposed transport measures

Description	Timing				
Roads / Traffic					
New signalised intersection at Marsh Street / Gertrude Street					
Gertrude Street extension (Marsh Street to Levey Street)					
Enhancement of existing signalised intersection at Marsh Street / Flora Street	Prior to the first occupation certificate				
New signalised intersection at Levey Street / Gertrude Street (including two continuous traffic lanes on Gertrude Street)					
Provision of two continuous traffic lanes in each direction on Gertrude Street, between the Princes Highway and Marsh Street					
\$4,700,000 contribution to improve the State/Regional road network or signalised intersections	Paid progressively per 1,000m ² of constructed GFA				
Public Transport					
New bus stops to be provided in each direction on Marsh Street	Prior to the first occupation certificate being issued				
New pedestrian crossings across Marsh Street and Levey Street to provide connectivity between Cooks Cove and Wolli Creek station					
Design of internal roads to accommodate potential future public transport services.					
Active Transport					
900m long x 20m wide landscaped waterfront regional active transport link along the western bank of the Cooks River incorporating pedestrian, cycling and passive recreation infrastructure					
New pedestrian crossing opportunities across Marsh Street and Levey Street, including:					
 New pedestrian crossing on the eastern side of the existing Marsh Street / Flora Street intersection 					
 New pedestrian crossing on the western side of the future Marsh Street / Gertrude Street intersection 	Prior to the first occupation certificate				
New pedestrian crossings on all approaches of the future Levey Street / Gertrude Street intersection					
Connection to and embellishment of the new shared path along the length of Marsh Street					
Provision of bicycle parking within all future development sites in Cook Cove as well as in public domain. End of trip facilities to be provided within all development sites.					
Contribution to an enhanced pedestrian/cyclist connection on the southern side of the Giovanni Brunetti Bridge	Paid progressively per 1,000m ² of constructed GFA				



Appendix A: Base Year Traffic Model Report



COOKS COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL – TRAFFIC MODELLING Base Model Development Report

05 September 2022

Prepared for: JMT Consulting

Prepared by: Stantec Australia

Project Number: 300303790

Revision	Description	Author	Date	Quality Check	Date	Independent Review	Date
1	Draft report	Radhika Gopalakrishnan	02/09 /2022	Mark Stephens	02/09 /2022	Bryan Li	02/09 /2022
2	Draft report	Radhika Gopalakrishnan	05/09 /2022	Mark Stephens	05/09 /2022	Bryan Li	05/09 /2022

The conclusions in the Report titled Cook Cove Traffic Modelling are Stantec's professional opinion, as of the time of the Report, and concerning the scope described in the Report. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the scope of work was conducted and do not take into account any subsequent changes. The Report relates solely to the specific project for which Stantec was retained and the stated purpose for which the Report was prepared. The Report is not to be used or relied on for any variation or extension of the project, or for any other project or purpose, and any unauthorized use or reliance is at the recipient's own risk.

Stantec has assumed all information received from JMT Consulting (the "Client") and third parties in the preparation of the Report to be correct. While Stantec has exercised a customary level of judgment or due diligence in the use of such information, Stantec assumes no responsibility for the consequences of any error or omission contained therein.

This Report is intended solely for use by the Client in accordance with Stantec's contract with the Client. While the Report may be provided to applicable authorities having jurisdiction and others for whom the Client is responsible, Stantec does not warrant the services to any third party. The report may not be relied upon by any other party without the express written consent of Stantec, which may be withheld at Stantec's discretion.

Prepared by:	Radhika G	
	Signature	
	Radhika Gopalakrishnan	
	Printed Name	
Reviewed by:	the states	
Reviewed by:	Signature	
	Mark Stephens	
	Printed Name	
Approved by:	<i>PL</i> . Signature	
	Bryan Li	

Printed Name

Table of Contents

1 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5	INTRODUCTION. Project Background Objective of Traffic Modelling Scope of Traffic Modelling Study Area Report Outline	1 1 1 2 3
2 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.4	TRAFFIC DATA COLLECTION. Overview. HERE Travel Time data. SCATS Data. SCATS Detector Data	4 4 6 6 7 8 9
3 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10 3.11 3.12 3.13 3.14 3.15 3.16 3.16.1	MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND ASSUMPTIONS Overview Model Version Model Extent Modelled Time periods	10 10 10 10 11 11 12 13 13 13 14 15 15 16 17
4 4.1 4.2 4.3	CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION CRITERIA. Overview Targets Turn Movement and Link Counts	19 19 19 19
5 5.1 5.2 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7	CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION RESULTS. Overview. Model Stability . AM Peak Stability Results . PM Peak Stability Results . Model Convergence . Model Calibration Results (Network Wide). Model Calibration Results (Core Area). Travel Time Validation. Congestion Validation.	21 21 22 23 24 26 28 33
6	MODEL LIMITATIONS	35
7	CONCLUSION	36

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1. Model signalised intersections	2
Table 2.1. Traffic data collection summary	4
Table 2.2. Model study area signalised intersection	6
Table 3.1. Model period	
Table 3.2. Desired speed decisions and speed distributions	
Table 3.3. Total peak hour traffic demands	17
Table 4.1. TfNSW traffic modelling calibration and validation guidelines	
Table 5.1. Model seed values	21
Table 5.2. Model stability statistical results summary for VHT - AM peak	
Table 5.3. Model stability statistical results summary for VHT - PM peak	23
Table 5.4. Model calibration results (network wide)	25
Table 5.5. Model calibration results (core area)	27
Table 5.6. Travel time validation (in mm:ss)	
· · · ·	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Model study area	3
Figure 2.1. Route 1 - Princes Highway between Gannon Street and Subway Road	5
Figure 2.2. Route 2 - Marsh Street / Wickham Street between Airport Drive and Firth Street	5
Figure 2.3. Signalised intersections SCATS ID Number	7
Figure 2.4. AM peak typical traffic conditions	8
Figure 2.5. PM peak typical traffic conditions	9
Figure 3.1. Total 15-minute volume across all sites	11
Figure 3.2. Traffic model zoning system	12
Figure 3.3. School zone on Forest Road	14
Figure 3.4. Public transport routes	15
Figure 3.5. Model external constraints	16
Figure 3.6. AM peak model traffic demand profile	18
Figure 3.7. PM peak model traffic demand profile	18
Figure 5.1. AM peak VHT distribution plot	22
Figure 5.2. PM peak VHT distribution plot	23
Figure 5.3. Path travel time convergence check in AM peak	24
Figure 5.4. Path travel time convergence check in PM peak	24
Figure 5.5. Total volume regression plot (network wide) - AM peak (7:45am - 8:45am)	25
Figure 5.6. Total volume regression plot (network wide) - PM peak (4:45pm - 5:45pm)	26
Figure 5.7. Total volume regression plot (core area) – AM peak (7:45am - 8:45am)	27
Figure 5.8. Total volume regression plot (core area) - PM peak (4:45pm - 5:45pm)	28
Figure 5.9. AM peak cumulative travel time - Route 1 (Princes Highway) northbound	29
Figure 5.10. AM peak cumulative travel time - Route 2 (Princes Highway) southbound	30
Figure 5.11. AM peak cumulative travel time - Route 3 (Marsh Street / Wickham Street) eastbound .	30
Figure 5.12. AM peak cumulative travel time - Route 4 (Marsh Street / Wickham Street) westbound.	31
Figure 5.13. PM peak cumulative travel time - Route 1 (Princes Highway) northbound	31
Figure 5.14. PM peak cumulative travel time - Route 2 (Princes Highway) southbound	32
Figure 5.15. PM peak cumulative travel time - Route 3 (Marsh Street / Wickham Street) eastbound .	32
Figure 5.16. PM peak cumulative travel time - Route 4 (Marsh Street / Wickham Street) westbound.	33
Figure 5.17. AM peak typical congestion - Princes Highway / Forest Road intersection	33
Figure 5.18. AM peak typical congestion - Wickham Street / West Botany Street intersection	34
Figure 5.19. PM peak typical congestion - Princes Highway / Wickham Street intersection	34
Figure 5.20. PM peak typical congestion - queues along Princes Highway southbound	35

1 Introduction

1.1 Project Background

Stantec (previously GTA Consultants) were engaged to undertake updated VISSIM microsimulation traffic modelling to assess the road network impacts of the Cooks Cove Planning Proposal which will inform the transport assessment supporting the proposal. Following consultation with Transport for NSW (TfNSW) and provision of a due diligence traffic assessment (dated 8 February 2022), an updated transport assessment, including traffic modelling, is required to inform the next phase of the Planning Proposal. The Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) issued a Gateway Determination for the proposal on 5th August 2022 which notes that the planning proposal is to be updated prior to community consultation to, amongst other things "obtain approval from TfNSW that the planning proposal will not compromise future transport links, deliver a safe road network and enhance walking and cycling connectivity and the use of public transport in accordance with the requirements of the principles".

JMT Consulting is working on revising the Planning Proposal and has requested Stantec to assist with updating the traffic modelling to support the application. The proposed approach seeks to use previously prepared VISSIM models for Cooks Cove while also considering previous concerns raised by TfNSW in their review of the models. The assessment includes the revision of the previously developed base year model to reflect the 2022 traffic conditions.

1.2 Objective of Traffic Modelling

The traffic model will be used to provide an understanding of the potential impact of the proposed Cooks Cove development on the surrounding road network, including the proposed site access arrangements and identification of whether further mitigations may be required.

1.3 Scope of Traffic Modelling

A VISSIM microsimulation traffic model of the road network surrounding the Cooks Cove Planning Proposal has been developed for the purposes of informing the transport assessment. TfNSW has previously endorsed the 2019 base model and as part of the modelling scope for this current assessment, the updated model largely reflects the endorsed 2019 base model apart from changes to signal timings and traffic flow to reflect current day (2022) operating conditions.

The modelling methodology has been documented in the Stantec report, "Cooks Cove Planning Proposal – Traffic Modelling Methodology Report", dated 11th August 2022. The scope of works for this current phase is to use the endorsed 2019 models and update them to:

- 1. Address any outstanding concerns raised by TfNSW in their review of the transport assessment and models outlined in the following correspondence:
 - a. TfNSW Operational Traffic Modelling Team Review and Comments, Cooks Cove Operational VISSIM Model Review, dated 20 November 2020. The use of fixed time signal controls was a particular concern raised by TfNSW and in response the updated base model includes actuated signal timings.

- b. TfNSW Addendum Submission to Sydney Eastern City Planning Panel Cooks Cove Revised Planning Proposal October 2021, dated 2 March 2022.
- 2. An update to the 2019 base year model to reflect 2022 traffic conditions, including ensuring calibration and validation targets are still being met following inclusion of any revisions made based on Item 1 (e.g. update signal controls from fixed time to actuated).
- 3. Update future year project models to reflect the latest Cooks Cove Planning Proposal yield and forecast traffic generation / distribution.
- 4. Provide an understanding of expected road network performance to inform the overall transport assessment for the latest development application, including investigation into additional mitigation measures that may be required on the adjacent road network.

1.4 Study Area

The study area for this assessment is consistent with that used for the previously endorsed 2019 base model and includes twelve signalised intersections along Princes Highway, Marsh Street and West Botany Street. These intersections are detailed in Table 1.1 with their respective locality shown in Figure 1.1.

ID	Intersection	ID	Intersection
1	Marsh Street / Innesdale Road	7	Princes Highway / Wickham Street / Forest Road
2	Marsh Street / Flora Street / Construction Access	8	Princes Highway / Kyle Street
3	Marsh Street / M5 On & Off-Ramp	9	Princes Highway / M5 Off-Ramp
4	Marsh Street / West Botany Street	10	Princes Highway / West Botany Street
5	West Botany Street / Wickham Street	11	Princes Highway / Gertrude Street
6	West Botany Street / Flora Street	12	Princes Highway / Brodie Sparks Drive

Table 1.1. Model signalised intersections

Figure 1.1. Model study area



1.5 Report Outline

This report sets out an overview of the model development, calibration and validation process and includes the following sections:

- Data collection and existing condition (Chapter 2)
- Base year model development and assumptions (Chapter 3)
- Summary of calibration and validation criteria (Chapter 4)
- Base year model calibration and validation (Chapter 5)
- Summary of model limitations (Chapter 6).

It is noted that this report provides a detailed description of the microsimulation model development process and its calibration and validation results, and as such is predominantly aimed at a technical audience.



2 Traffic Data Collection

2.1 Overview

One of the key items raised by TfNSW in their reviews of the previous model versions was to consider re-calibrating and validating the 2019 base model to reflect current (2022) operating conditions. Subsequently, additional traffic data has been requested and obtained from TfNSW for a typical weekday (Tuesday 10th May 2022). A summary of data collected and utilised in the model development is provided in Table 2.1 below.

Table 2.1. Traffic	data	collection	summary
--------------------	------	------------	---------

Data Type	Source	Survey Date
HERE travel time data	TfNSW	Tuesday, 10 th May 2022
SCATS detector volume data	TfNSW	Tuesday, 10 th May 2022
SCATS signal data	TfNSW	Tuesday, 10 th May 2022
Intersection counts	Austraffic ^[1]	Thursday, 27 th October 2016
		Saturday, 29 th November 2016
Pedestrian counts	Austraffic ^[1]	Thursday 27th October 2016

[1] Intersection and pedestrian count surveys undertaken by Austraffic but obtained from Arup.

2.2 HERE Travel Time data

HERE travel time data has been provided by TfNSW for two bi-directional routes within the identified study area for the AM and PM peak periods. The travel time routes are listed below and shown in Figure 2.1 and Figure 2.2.

- Route 1 Princes Highway between Gannon Street and Subway Road
- Route 2 Marsh Street / Wickham Street between Airport Drive and Firth Street.

HERE travel time data is based on GPS data consolidated into 15-minute intervals and the following limitations of the data should be considered in the review of observed vs model travel times:

- HERE data route sections at model extremities do not align exactly with the model extent. Where relevant and appropriate, these sections have been excluded from the validation assessment.
- HERE speed/travel time data is captured per section which is then aggregated for length of
 the entire route to be used in the model. It is understood that HERE travel time data considers
 travel time of vehicles entering or exiting side streets along the route, whilst the model
 considers vehicles that travel along the main alignment of the route (or section of the route)
 only. As such, potential travel time delays that may be incurred by vehicles joining the route
 midway is not considered in the modelled travel time results. The HERE travel time data
 indicates a high level of variability, and as such, the comparison of model travel times against
 the minimum and maximum observed travel times will also be provided.
- The sample size of HERE data is unknown.



Figure 2.1. Route 1 - Princes Highway between Gannon Street and Subway Road

Figure 2.2. Route 2 - Marsh Street / Wickham Street between Airport Drive and Firth Street



2.3 SCATS Data

2.3.1 SCATS DETECTOR DATA

24-hour SCATS detector information has been provided by TfNSW to provide intersection volumes for the twelve signalised intersections within the model study area. The twelve signalised intersections are detailed in Table 2.2 and illustrated in Figure 2.3. For non-signalised intersections and shared lane directional proportions and movements that were un-detected by SCATS, the 2016 classified turning movement counts undertaken by Austraffic were used to supplement the 2022 data. This approach is consistent with that used for the previously endorsed 2019 base model.

|--|

ID	Intersection	Intersection Type	SCATS ID Number
1	Marsh Street / Innesdale Road	Signalised T-intersection	4939
2	Marsh Street / Flora Street / Construction Access	Signalised X-intersection	1039
3	Marsh Street / M5 On & Off-ramp	Signalised X-intersection	3697
4	Marsh Street / West Botany Street	Signalised T-intersection	797
5	West Botany Street / Wickham Street	Signalised T-intersection	709
6	West Botany Street / Flora Street	Signalised T-intersection	2976
7	Princes Highway / Wickham Street / Forest Road	Signalised X-intersection	118
8	Princes Highway / Kyle Street	Signalised X-intersection	960
9	Princes Highway / M5 Off-Ramp	Signalised T-intersection	2010
10	Princes Highway / West Botany Street	Signalised T-intersection	913
11	Princes Highway / Gertrude Street	Signalised T-intersection	3017
12	Princes Highway / Brodie Sparks Drive	Signalised T-intersection	3437



Figure 2.3. Signalised intersections SCATS ID Number

As SCATS detector volumes do not individually classify light and heavy vehicles, it was assumed the percentage of heavy vehicle volume for each origin-destination pair would be calculated based on 2016 classified turning movement counts undertaken by Austraffic.

2.3.2 SCATS SIGNAL DATA

SCATS signal information has been provided by TfNSW to assist with coding the signalised intersections, as well as to understand the current operation of each signalised intersection in more detail.

- SCATS history data summaries for each site were provided for the same day as the 2022 traffic data collection. The phase time data was used to setup actuated signal controls based on average peak period cycle times and phase times.
- SCATS LX file was provided to code in the linking and coordination of signals within the network.

2.3.3 EXISTING CONDITIONS ANALYSIS

As assessment was undertaken using available survey data, aerial images, and maps for the existing network conditions. Figure 2.4 and Figure 2.5 show the typical traffic conditions for the Tuesday survey day highlighting congestion issues along Princes Highway, the M5 East freeway, and Marsh Street. Observations also highlighted the use of Flora Street, Innesdale Road and Gertrude Street as available short cuts or "rat runs" for vehicles travelling between Princes Highway and Marsh Street. Large queues and constant traffic have been observed along these routes.



Figure 2.4. AM peak typical traffic conditions



Figure 2.5. PM peak typical traffic conditions

2.4 Pedestrian Count Data

Pedestrian counts were collected at the intersections illustrated in Figure 2.3 and includes bidirectional crossing volumes at the signalised intersections. Pedestrian crossing volumes were collected every 15 minutes during the nominated AM, PM, and Saturday peak periods. It is noted that pedestrian counts were based on 2016 surveys which represented the most recent data available for the purposes of this assessment.

Pedestrian count data has been included in the model to capture the potential effects on road network performance because of pedestrian crossings at signalised intersections. The pedestrian counts utilised for this assessment are consistent with that used for the previously endorsed 2019 base model.

3 Model Development and Assumptions

3.1 Overview

The Cooks Cove model was originally developed by Arup as detailed in the report titled 'Cook Cove Northern Precinct Master Plan' dated November 13, 2017, which was revised and accepted by Bayside Council in the previous assessment. The base year model was updated in 2019/2020 to inform the Cooks Cove planning proposal transport assessment. A further update to the base year model to reflect 2022 traffic conditions (this model) has been undertaken to inform the revised Cooks Cove planning proposal with the following sections providing a summary of the 2022 base year model development. It is noted that the previous model assumptions have been retained where possible and relevant.

3.2 Model Version

VISSIM version 22.00-06 was used to develop the 2022 base model, noting that this is an updated model version from the previous 2019 base model.

3.3 Model Extent

The extent of the model is described in Section 1.4 of this report, with all signalised intersections and links presented in Figure 1.1.

3.4 Modelled Time periods

The one-hour peak period adopted in the previous base year modelling has been retained as part of this study for the AM and PM peak periods with 30-min warm-up and cool-down periods. The one-hour peak period models were considered sufficient for the purpose of the development application to inform the potential road network impacts as a result of the proposed Cooks Cove development during the critical road network peak hours. This approach is consistent with that used for the previously endorsed 2019 base model.

Peak times were confirmed through the analysis of 2022 SCATS data. Total volumes recorded across the 12 signalised sites were plotted in 15-minute intervals as illustrated in Figure 3.1.





Figure 3.1. Total 15-minute volume across all sites

During the AM peak, traffic volumes peak at around 8:00am and slowly decline through the rest of the morning peak. In the PM peak, traffic volumes peak at around 5:15pm. Based on the traffic volume profile, the peak hours were calculated as 7:45am – 8:45am and 4:45pm – 5:45pm and were adopted for the traffic modelling assessment as represented in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1. Model period

Peak	Warm-up period	Peak Hour	Cool-down period
AM	7:15 – 7:45am	7:45 – 8:45am	8:45 – 9:15am
PM	4:15 – 4:45pm	4:45 – 5:45pm	5:45 – 6:15pm

3.5 Assignment Type

The traffic demand was assigned to the existing road network utilising the dynamic assignment method. Dynamic assignment utilises an iterative simulation where drivers choose their routes through the network based on travel costs they encounter during the preceding run. In the VISSIM software, simulations continue until convergence criteria is met; in this case, until travel times on specific paths do not change significantly from one iteration to the next.

Further details on model convergence of the dynamic assignment models have been provided in Section 5.

3.6 Vehicle Types

The model includes two vehicle types – Car and HGV representing light vehicles and heavy vehicles, respectively. Default vehicle dimensions and driving behaviour were used in VISSIM software.

3.7 Zone System

The zoning system in previous model versions were maintained in the 2022 base model, comprising of 23 zones shown graphically in Figure 3.2. For the purpose of microsimulation modelling, it is pertinent that wherever possible, connections are made from the zone to the road section entrance or

Cooks Cove Planning Proposal – Traffic Modelling 3 Model Development and Assumptions

exit as this provides a more realistic behaviour representation of vehicle trips entering and exiting road networks.

Figure 3.2. Traffic model zoning system



3.8 Links and Connectors

Links and connectors were coded to match the current road network and intersection geometries including the correct configuration, lane designation and permitted turns. No U-turns have been coded at signalised intersections which is consistent with the previous model versions.

The base model has been constructed using "Urban" link type which has been carried forward for all network updates required. Default characteristics and driving behaviours have been adopted, which assumes that drivers can be in one of four driving modes:

- Free driving
- Approaching
- Following
- Braking

The default parameters for vehicles on this road type were maintained across the network.

3.9 Unsignalised Intersections

Conflict markers and priority rules were coded in the model to control the movement of vehicles through unsignalised intersections as per current network operation and signage, as well as for 'filtered' movements at signalised intersections. As an initial guide, minimum gap time (seconds) settings were based on the nominated times specified in Table 3.5 of the Austroads Guide to Road Design, Part 4a (2017) for the specific movement types and number of lanes crossed.

During the calibration and validation of the model, vehicle behaviour at unsignalised junctions were reviewed to ensure that the gap time (seconds) and headway (metres) parameters modelled realistic behaviour at the intersection and adjusted as required.

3.10 Signalised Intersections

All signalised intersections within the model were coded with actuated signals in accordance with TfNSW review comments from the previous 2019 modelling. The SCATS TCS graphics and history data provided by TfNSW were used to develop the signal control logic and determine key parameters including:

- Signal groups
- Detector numbers
- Phase transitions
- Average, minimum and maximum phase times.

All signal phasing was adopted into the model in 15-minute intervals for each peak period using the SCATS history files to inform green time allowances. The SCATS signal linking file (.lx file) was used to setup signal coordination across the model. Travel times and visual observations of queuing conditions were then used to validate and refine signal coordination settings implemented in the signal controls.

3.11 Speed Limits and Restrictions

'Desired Speed Decisions' have been used throughout the model to reflect the sign posted speed limits. Similarly, 'Reduced Speed Areas' have been coded to reflect realistic speed limits due to geometric constraints (i.e. turn radius).

The "Desired Speed Decisions" and their relative speed distributions are shown in Table 3.2.

Sign-posted Speed Limit (km/hr)	Modelled Speed Distribution (km/hr)
40	36 - 40
50	45 - 50
60	54 - 60
80	72 - 80

Table 3.2. Desired speed decisions and speed distributions

3.12 School Zones

The model extent includes a school zone on Forest Road between Barden Street and Princes Highway as seen in Figure 3.3. The school zone speed limit has been replicated in the model using 'Desired Speed Decisions'. A timed speed limit of 40km/hr has been incorporated between 8:00am – 9:15am to replicate existing conditions. The PM peak does not include these desired speeds as the school zone timing (2:30pm – 4:00pm) falls outside of the modelled time period.

Figure 3.3. School zone on Forest Road



3.13 Public Transport

Public transport routes and frequencies were identified to have changed between 2019 and 2022. Therefore, the model was updated to include the latest frequency of the 348, 420/420N and 422 services. The respective routes and their locality have been developed from the following sources and are shown in Figure 3.4.

- Public transport routes and timetables TfNSW website: Sydney buses network
- Public transport stops TfNSW Trip Planner in conjunction with aerial photography.

Figure 3.4. Public transport routes



3.14 Pedestrians

Pedestrian crossing volumes have been included at all signalised intersections based on the latest available pedestrian count data as described in Section 2.4. These have been input into the model using vehicle inputs / static route assignment on pedestrian links crossing the relevant road sections, with pedestrian signal groups used to control the movement of pedestrians across intersections (as per the relevant actuated signal program).

Where pedestrians and vehicles interact (e.g. zebra crossings across slip lanes, left and right turn movements across pedestrian crossings), conflict areas and/or priority rules have been coded to ensure that safety and operational controls are obeyed.

3.15 External Constraints

Where extremities of the model coincide with downstream congestion due to signalised intersections or other constraints, it was necessary to replicate the deceleration and queueing that occurs. In this case, reduced speed areas were implemented on Princes Highway for vehicles exiting the model northbound. Similarly, reduced speed areas were implemented along the northbound exit of Marsh Street to simulate queueing and congestion flowing back from Airport Drive.
Observations of the study area showed extensive queuing resulting from the M5 East on-ramps. Although not signalised, fixed time signals were implemented at the exits of the model to introduce this queueing. This assumption was retained from the previous 2019 Base Year model.

Figure 3.5 shows the reduced speed areas and fixed time ramp signals implemented as external constraints of the model.

It is noted that all external constraints would be retained for future year scenario models.

Figure 3.5. Model external constraints



3.16 Demand Matrices

Demand matrices have been developed based on 2022 SCATS detector volume data in conjunction with matrices developed in previous models. Matrix adjustment has been undertaken to develop demands that would match existing travel patterns and distributions. The adjustment process is an iterative process which was undertaken using the following steps:

- Step 1: Factor origin and destination totals to match the entry and exit volumes to the model network using "matrix furnessing".
- Step 2: Model simulation and convergence to compare modelled and observed turn movements.
- Step 3: Adjust or apply local and global parameters to correct path assignment and routing decisions under dynamic traffic assignment.
- Step 4: If required, manual matrix adjustment to achieve improved calibration results or induce queues/delays on approaches (i.e. consider model demand vs throughput).
- Step 5: Model simulation and convergence to compare modelled and observed turn movements.

Steps 3 – 5 are repeated until and appropriate level of calibration is achieved.

A summary of the total demand following all adjustments is detailed in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3. Total peak hour traffic demands

Model	Peak Hour	Light Vehicles	Heavy Vehicles	Total Traffic
AM Peak	7:45am – 8:45am	8,894	723	9,617
PM Peak	4:45pm – 5:45pm	9,677	382	10,059

3.16.1 TRAFFIC PROFILE

Once the calibration of the hourly demand matrices was finalised, the one-hour matrices were individually split to a 15-minute profile to release the traffic into the modelled network at appropriate rates based on the SCATS detector volumes. Profiles are based on model matrix inputs for both light vehicles and heavy vehicles and shown graphically in Figure 3.6 and Figure 3.7.





Figure 3.6. AM peak model traffic demand profile

Figure 3.7. PM peak model traffic demand profile



4 Calibration and Validation Criteria

4.1 Overview

The calibration and validation process is critical to verify that the model operation is reflective of typical real-world operation. This ensures the conclusions of the modelling are reliable and accurate for basing planning and infrastructure decisions upon.

4.2 Targets

The Roads and Maritime Services (now TfNSW) Traffic Modelling Guidelines, February 2013, were used for the development of this model. The calibration and validation criteria for microsimulation modelling is set out in Chapter 11 of the TfNSW Traffic Modelling Guidelines and summarised in Table 4.1.

Item	Criteria
Turn volumes (Network wide)	Tolerance limits for turn volumes
	 GEH < 5 for at least 85% of link and turn flows Where link or turn GEH < 10 an explanation is required
	 R2 value for Observed vs. Modelled plots to be >0.9
Turn volumes (Core Area)	Tolerance for Core Area:
	 Flows < 99 - to be within 10 vehicles of observed value Flows 100 to 999 - to be within 10 per cent of observed value Flows 1000 to 1999 - to be within 100 vehicles of observed value Flows > 2000 - to be within 5 per cent of observed value 100 per cent of observations to be within tolerance limits R2 value to be included with plots and to be > 0.95
Travel Time Average	 Average modelled journey time to be within 15% or one minute (whichever is greater) of average observed journey time for full length of route. Average modelled journey time to be within 15% of average observed journey time for individual sections.

Table 4.1. TfNSW traffic modelling calibration and validation guidelines

4.3 Turn Movement and Link Counts

The GEH statistic is used to validate the model flows against the observed data and is best described as a standard measure of the "goodness of fit" between observed and modelled flows. Unlike comparing the percentage difference, the GEH statistic places more emphasis on larger flows rather than on small flows. The GEH statistic is defined as follows:

$$GEH = \sqrt{\frac{(M-C)^2}{\frac{(M+C)}{2}}}$$

where M and C are the modelled and observed flows respectively.



Matching link and turn counts are critical to developing a model which is fit for purpose as this ensures the model is accurately representing network flow and distribution. As a model increases in size the difficulty to match counts increases. Most turn counts will be obtained from SCATS detectors which can be less accurate than manual surveys and limits the ability to calibrate light and heavy vehicles independently. However, this approach is balanced by the fact adopting SCATS counts also provides a significant advantage to the number of link locations covered in the model. Consequently, an improved and more robust model demand structure can be achieved.

Secondary criteria, R^2 value, is used to ensure that even with some outliers the overall trend of link and turn matches is reasonable across the network.

5 Calibration and Validation Results

5.1 Overview

The VISSIM microsimulation model is stochastic in nature. As such they can produce different outcomes depending on their starting conditions. Due to this stochastic behaviour, it is necessary to assess how the model behaves under a variety of starting conditions (seeds) using the same input parameters. The ability of a model to produce consistent results for a number of seed values is referred as the model stability, which has been assessed in Section 5.2.

The running of multiple seeds also enables the selection of a single median seed, which represents the most balanced of the seed runs. By adopting the median seed for base and future model runs, variations in results driven by variations in model runs is reduced.

As outlined in the TfNSW Traffic Modelling Guidelines, five seed values are run to determine the median seed based on vehicle hours travelled (VHT). The median seed is then used to present the calibration and validation results.

The following five seeds were selected as per the Table 11.8 in the TfNSW Traffic Modelling Guidelines.

Table 5.1. Model seed values

Seed Number	Seed Value
1	560
2	28
3	7,771
4	86,524
5	2,849

5.2 Model Stability

In order to demonstrate the stability of the model over five seed runs and to determine a suitable median seed, an assessment of the five seeds was undertaken based on the VHT network statistic, as discussed below.

5.2.1 AM PEAK STABILITY RESULTS

The AM peak model stability results are listed in Table 5.2 with a scatter plot showing the VHT distribution in Figure 5.1.

Statistic	Result
Number of Runs	5
Mean	661
Standard Deviation	19.2
Range	46.7
Minimum	645
Maximum	692
95% Confidence Interval	24
Upper Confidence Interval	685
Lower Confidence Interval	637
Median (Seed)	653 (86,524)

Table 5.2. Model stability statistical results summary for VHT - AM peak





The results of the AM peak stability test indicates minor variance in VHT amongst the five seed runs, and as such the 2022 base AM peak model is considered to be operating under stable conditions.

5.2.2 PM PEAK STABILITY RESULTS

The PM peak model stability results are listed in Table 5.3 with a scatter plot showing the VHT distribution in Figure 5.2.

Statistic	Result
Number of Runs	5
Mean	658
Standard Deviation	19.7
Range	45.2
Minimum	640
Maximum	685
95% Confidence Interval	24
Upper Confidence Interval	682
Lower Confidence Interval	633
Median (Seed)	654 (560)

Table 5.3. Model stability statistical results summary for VHT - PM peak





The results of the PM peak stability test indicates minor variance in VHT amongst the five seed runs, and as such the 2022 base PM peak model is considered to be operating under stable conditions.

5.3 Model Convergence

With the updated demands and actuated signal configuration, the model had to be converged to achieve better route choice behaviour. Both the AM and PM peak models were converged at 70% demand without demand increment for 50 iterations. This is in line with the convergence process adopted for the 2019 base model. Figure 5.3 and Figure 5.4 illustrates the convergence check for path travel time for 50 iterations in AM peak and PM peak, respectively.





Figure 5.4. Path travel time convergence check in PM peak



The results of the model convergence process indicates that the model was able to achieve a reasonable level of convergence based on changes to travel time on paths across the network -91% of all paths in AM peak and 87% of all paths in PM peak change by less than 20%.

5.4 Model Calibration Results (Network Wide)

Table 5.4 shows the comparison of observed versus modelled turn volumes for the AM and PM peak periods for the entire model network. Figure 5.5 and Figure 5.6 illustrate the associated scatter plots during the critical AM and PM peak hours.

Observed vehicle turning volumes are based on 2022 SCATS detector data and therefore do not distinguish between light and heavy vehicles. As such, calibration results have been assessed for all traffic rather than individual vehicle types. In addition, 2016 intersection counts have been used to determine the turning proportions on shared lanes where SCATS detectors cannot distinguish the individual movements. This approach was agreed with TfNSW and considered appropriate for the purposes of this model update. Alternatively, should no intersection counts be available the comparison was considered as a link count or for its respective movement only.

Detailed calibration outputs are also provided in Appendix A.

Deek	Цоли		GEH Result		Slama	R ²	
reak	nour	≤ 5	≤ 10	> 10	Slope		
AM Peak	7:45am – 8:45am	90%	99%	1%	0.99	0.99	
PM Peak	4:45pm – 5:45pm	90%	100%	0%	0.96	0.99	

Table 5.4. Model calibration results (network wide)

Table 5.4 indicates that the model achieves a high level of correlation to the observed traffic volumes with GEH < 5 achieved for 90% of cases in both the AM and PM peaks. It is noted that a single turn within the AM model recorded a GEH of over 10. With no SCATS count data recorded for this turn (West Botany Street / Flora Street intersection, north approach left turn lane), the turn has been matched against 2016 survey data. Given the high level of accuracy achieved across the intersection and network, the modelled volume recorded is deemed appropriate for assessment.

The regression plots illustrated in Figure 5.5 and Figure 5.6 for the AM and PM peaks respectively also indicate a high level of correlation between modelled and observed traffic volumes



Figure 5.5. Total volume regression plot (network wide) - AM peak (7:45am - 8:45am)



Figure 5.6. Total volume regression plot (network wide) - PM peak (4:45pm - 5:45pm)

5.5 Model Calibration Results (Core Area)

The core area of the network has been identified as those intersections along Marsh Street – i.e. intersections along the frontage of the Cook Cove site and provide direct access to the site. This includes:

- Marsh Street / Innesdale Road
- Marsh Street / Flora Street
- Marsh Street / M5 On-Off Ramps
- Marsh Street / West Botany Street

Table 5.5 shows the comparison of observed versus modelled turn volumes for the AM and PM peak periods within the core area. Figure 5.7 and Figure 5.8 illustrate the associated scatter plots during the AM and PM peak hours.

Detailed calibration outputs are also provided in Appendix A.

Peak	Hour	Criteria					R ²
		Flows < 99	Flows 100 to 999	Flows 1,000 to 1,999	Flows > 2,000		
AM Peak	7:45am – 8:45am	43% (3/7)	64% (9/14)	50% (1/2)	100% (2/2)	0.99	0.99
PM Peak	4:45pm – 5:45pm	33% (2/6)	38% (5/13)	83% (5/6)	-	0.97	0.99

Table 5.5 indicates that the model does not strictly adhere to the core area calibration criteria in both the AM and PM peak periods with only 60% of turn volumes in the AM and 48% of turn volumes in the PM meeting the criteria. However, it should be noted that SCATS detector volumes used for analysis provide many limitations including the miscount of vehicles and the inability to record multiple movements within shared lanes.

Notwithstanding, the comparison of modelled and observed volumes for the core area illustrated in Figure 5.7 and Figure 5.8 indicates a very high level of correlation in both the AM and PM peaks with the slope and R² value achieving more than adequate results further highlighting the suitability of the model turn volume calibration. The figures also indicate that within the core area, GEH targets are easily met with GEH < 5 achieved for 89% of cases in both the AM and PM peaks respectively (no cases with GEH greater than 10). These core area results combined with the high level of network calibration achieved, suggests that an appropriate level of model calibration has been achieved in this model.



Figure 5.7. Total volume regression plot (core area) – AM peak (7:45am - 8:45am)



Figure 5.8. Total volume regression plot (core area) - PM peak (4:45pm - 5:45pm)

5.6 Travel Time Validation

As mentioned in Section 2.2, there are some limitations in the use of HERE travel time data for direct comparison against modelled travel times. This should be considered when reviewing the following travel time validation results. It is understood that HERE travel time data considers travel time of vehicles entering or exiting side streets along the route, whilst the model considers vehicles that travel along the main alignment of the route (or section of the route) only. As such, potential travel time delays that may be incurred by vehicles joining the route midway is not considered in the modelled travel time results.

The comparison of the full route travel times (modelled versus observed) along Princes Highway and Marsh Street / Wickham Street is presented in Table 5.6, with full details provided in Appendix A.

Peak	Route	Direction	Average Observed Travel Time	Average Modelled Travel Time	Difference	Difference (%)	Meets Criteria?
AM .	1 – Princes	NB	05:41	04:38	-01:03	-18%	No
реак (7:45am	Highway	SB	05:41	03:22	-02:19	-41%	No
to 8:45am)	2 – Marsh Street / Wickham Street	EB	03:55	04:08	+00:13	+6%	Yes
		WB	04:17	04:36	+00:19	+8%	Yes
PM .	1 – Princes	NB	05:41	05:10	-00:31	-9%	Yes
peak (4:45pm	Highway	SB	05:02	04:03	-00:59	-19%	Yes
to	2 – Marsh Street / Wickham Street	EB	03:26	03:50	+00:24	+12%	Yes
5, 4 5pm)		WB	05:31	04:03	-01:28	-27%	No

Table 5.6. Travel time validation (in mm:ss)

Considering the limitations in the HERE travel time data, modelled travel times along Princes Highway are generally lower than the observed travel time but as indicated in the following cumulative travel time graphs there is a high degree of travel time variability along Princes Highway. Princes Highway modelled travel times are generally within the observed minimum and maximum range. Travel times on Marsh Street / Wickham Street are generally comparable to the observed data set.

Cumulative travel times for the AM peak period are presented in Figure 5.9 to Figure 5.12. The travel time graphs show that the model generally replicates the average speed and travel times for all routes, therefore representing the progression of delays experienced along each corridor.



Figure 5.9. AM peak cumulative travel time - Route 1 (Princes Highway) northbound

------- Observed ------- Observed -15% ------- Observed +15% ------- Observed Minimum ------- Observed Maximum



Figure 5.10. AM peak cumulative travel time - Route 2 (Princes Highway) southbound







Figure 5.12. AM peak cumulative travel time - Route 4 (Marsh Street / Wickham Street) westbound

PM peak cumulative travel times are presented in Figure 5.13 to Figure 5.16. The travel time graphs show that the model is able to suitably replicate the average speed and travel times for each of the corridors.



Figure 5.13. PM peak cumulative travel time - Route 1 (Princes Highway) northbound



Figure 5.14. PM peak cumulative travel time - Route 2 (Princes Highway) southbound

Figure 5.15. PM peak cumulative travel time - Route 3 (Marsh Street / Wickham Street) eastbound





Figure 5.16. PM peak cumulative travel time - Route 4 (Marsh Street / Wickham Street) westbound

A complete assessment of all travel time route sections has been included in Appendix A. Due to the short distances between the individual travel time sections and limitations of the HERE travel time data, some discrepancies in the individual modelled travel times were observed, either slightly above or below the respective observed travel time sections.

5.7 Congestion Validation

A review of the model's congestion at a number of intersections was carried out comparing Google Maps "typical traffic" to model performance. The model is generally comparable to observed conditions for most of the main corridors and intersections.



Figure 5.17. AM peak typical congestion - Princes Highway / Forest Road intersection



Figure 5.18. AM peak typical congestion - Wickham Street / West Botany Street intersection

Figure 5.19. PM peak typical congestion - Princes Highway / Wickham Street intersection







Figure 5.20. PM peak typical congestion - queues along Princes Highway southbound

6 Model Limitations

The development of traffic models such as this are not perfect solutions, rather they are a representation of the operating conditions on the road network. As such, it is important to acknowledge the limitations of the model, so that future applications of the model (e.g. options testing in future design year horizons) can consider these in the interpretation of the modelling outcomes.

The following outlines some of the limiting features of this model:

- The 2022 base model has been calibrated and validated to the major road network within the study area, based on the SCATS data and HERE travel time data provided.
- SCATS data does not distinguish between vehicle classes. Where required, assumptions
 have been made for non-signalised intersections, shared lane directional proportions and
 movements that were un-detected based on the 2016 classified turning movement counts
 survey undertaken by Austraffic.
- HERE travel time data has a number of limitations as indicated throughout this report. HERE
 data route sections at model extremities do not align exactly with the model extent. Where
 appropriate these sections have been omitted from travel time validation. HERE travel time
 data are consolidated to 15-minute intervals indicating a high level of variability in travel time.
 It is also understood that HERE travel time data considers travel time of vehicles entering or
 exiting side streets along the route, whilst the model considers vehicles that travel along the
 main alignment of the route.

7 Conclusion

This report has presented and discussed the model inputs, assumptions and calibration and validation results of the VISSIM model developed to inform the revised Cooks Cove planning proposal transport assessment.

The key calibration and validation topics that were covered include:

- Data collection
- Development of the base model network
- Development of the base model demand matrices
- Model calibration and validation
- Calibration and validation outcomes.

The results presented in this report show that the model demonstrates a 'goodness of fit' with the observed traffic conditions indicating that the model performs well and accurately replicates the current traffic conditions in the study area.

The traffic volume comparisons for each of the peaks indicate a high level of correlation between the modelled and observed traffic flows with GEH < 5 results well above the 85% threshold.

The travel time analysis illustrates a satisfactory level of correlation between the modelled and observed travel times along Princes Highway and Marsh Street / Wickham Street. The delays experienced along each travel route has generally been replicated in the AM and PM peak models, while also considering the limitations of the HERE travel time data.

Given the above, it is considered that the model in its current state has been successfully developed and is fit for its intended purpose. That is, to inform the suitability of proposed access arrangements to the Cooks Cove development, assess the impacts of the Cooks Cove development on the surrounding road network and identify potential mitigation works required to achieve satisfactory road network performance.

APPENDIX



Appendix A Calibration and Validation Results

Route	Direction	Section	Avg Observed TT (s)	Avg Modelled TT (s)	Absolute Diff. (s)	% Diff.	Within 15%	Under 60 sec
1 NB (Princes Highway)	NB	1	01:18	01:18	-00:00	-1%	Yes	Yes
		2	00:44	00:19	-00:25	-57%	No	Yes
		3	00:24	00:19	-00:05	-22%	No	Yes
		4	00:41	00:32	-00:09	-22%	No	Yes
		5	01:26	00:54	-00:32	-37%	No	Yes
		6	01:07	01:16	00:09	13%	Yes	Yes
		Full	05:41	04:38	-01:03	-18%	No	No
	SB	1	01:07	00:33	-00:34	-51%	No	Yes
		2	00:39	00:32	-00:07	-19%	No	Yes
		3	00:23	00:15	-00:08	-34%	No	Yes
		4	00:24	00:18	-00:06	-26%	No	Yes
		5	00:44	01:05	00:21	47%	No	Yes
		6	01:18	00:39	-00:39	-50%	No	Yes
		Full	05:41	03:22	-02:19	-41%	No	No
2 (Marsh E Street / Wickham Street)	EB	1	00:42	01:16	00:34	82%	No	Yes
		2	00:40	00:45	00:05	12%	Yes	Yes
		3	00:18	00:15	-00:03	-19%	No	Yes
		4	00:36	00:25	-00:11	-31%	No	Yes
		5	02:00	01:27	-00:33	-28%	No	Yes
		Full	03:55	04:08	00:13	6%	Yes	Yes
	WB	1	02:00	01:15	-00:45	-38%	No	Yes
		2	00:36	00:14	-00:22	-61%	No	Yes
		3	00:18	00:31	00:13	68%	No	Yes
		4	00:40	01:07	00:27	66%	No	Yes
		5	00:42	00:44	00:02	6%	Yes	Yes
		Full	04:17	04:36	00:19	8%	Yes	Yes

Table A 1. AM Travel Time Assessment



Table A 2	. PM Travel	Time Assessment
-----------	-------------	------------------------

Route	Direction	Section	Avg Observed TT (s)	Avg Modelled TT (s)	Absolute Diff. (s)	% Diff.	Within 15%	Under 60 sec
1 NB (Princes Highway)	NB	1	01:27	02:00	00:33	38%	No	Yes
		2	00:51	00:34	-00:17	-33%	No	Yes
		3	00:25	00:19	-00:06	-23%	No	Yes
		4	00:28	00:25	-00:03	-12%	Yes	Yes
		5	01:00	00:41	-00:19	-32%	No	Yes
		6	01:31	01:11	-00:20	-22%	No	Yes
		Full	05:41	05:10	-00:31	-9%	Yes	Yes
	SB	1	01:12	00:44	-00:28	-39%	No	Yes
		2	00:34	00:28	-00:06	-17%	No	Yes
		3	00:27	00:30	00:03	13%	Yes	Yes
		4	00:33	00:53	00:20	63%	No	Yes
		5	01:08	00:52	-00:16	-24%	No	Yes
		6	01:08	00:36	-00:32	-47%	No	Yes
		Full	05:02	04:03	-00:59	-19%	No	Yes
2 (Marsh EB Street / Wickham Street)	EB	1	00:25	00:54	00:29	116%	No	Yes
		2	00:41	00:37	-00:04	-9%	Yes	Yes
		3	00:25	00:17	-00:08	-31%	No	Yes
		4	00:34	00:32	-00:02	-7%	Yes	Yes
		5	01:21	01:23	00:02	3%	Yes	Yes
		Full	03:26	03:50	00:24	12%	Yes	Yes
	WB	1	02:01	01:27	-00:34	-28%	No	Yes
		2	00:37	00:15	-00:22	-59%	No	Yes
		3	00:28	00:26	-00:02	-8%	Yes	Yes
		4	02:29	01:18	-01:11	-48%	No	No
		5	00:21	00:30	00:09	44%	No	Yes
		Full	05:31	04:03	-01:28	-27%	No	No



Intersection	Movement Approach Direction		Vol	ume		GEH		
				Observed	Modelled	Turn	Approach	Intersec tion
Marsh Street /	1_NE_R	NE	R	192	197	0.36	0.36	2.31
Innesdale Road	1_NE_T	NE	Т	0	0	0.00		
	1_NE_L	NE	L	0	0	0.00		
	1_E_R	Е	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	1_E_T	Е	Т	0	0	0.00		
	1_E_L	Е	L	0	0	0.00		
	1_SW_R	SW	R	0	0	0.00	2.14	
	1_SW_T	SW	Т	2031	2127	2.10		
	1_SW_L	SW	L	77	51	3.22		
	1_NW_R	NW	R	0	0	0.00	4.80	
	1_NW_T	NW	Т	0	0	0.00		
	1_NW_L	NW	L	296	219	4.80		
Marsh St /	2_NE_R	NE	R	44	64	2.72	0.71	0.32
Flora St	2_NE_T	NE	Т	745	729	0.59		
	2_NE_L	NE	L	0	0	0.00		
	2_E_R	E	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	2_E_T	E	Т	0	0	0.00		
	2_E_L	E	L	0	0	0.00		
	2_SW_R	SW	R	8	9	0.34	0.10	
	2_SW_T	SW	Т	2128	2133	0.10		
	2_SW_L	SW	L	26	27	0.26		
	2_NW_R	NW	R	54	48	0.84	1.61	
	2_NW_T	NW	Т	0	0	0.00		
	2_NW_L	NW	L	67	50	2.22		
Marsh St / M5	3_NE_R	NE	R	289	279	0.59	2.04	1.92
Offramps	3_NE_T	NE	Т	369	403	1.73	-	
	3_NE_L	NE	L	151	90	5.56		
	3_SE_R	SE	R	162	170	0.62	0.79	
	3_SE_T	SE	Т	0	0	0.00	-	
	3_SE_L	SE	L	397	380	0.86		
	3_SW_R	SW	R	317	375	3.12	2.31	
	3_SW_T	SW	Т	1240	1332	2.57		
	3_SW_L	SW	L	288	12	0.35		
	3_SW_L	SW	L	0	282	0.00		
	3_NW_R	NW	R	80	46	4.28	1.67	
	3_NW_T	NW	Т	0	0	0.00		
	3_NW_L	NW	L	632	666	1.33		

Table A 3. AM Calibration Results – All vehicles (7:45am – 8:45am)

Intersection	Movement	Approach	Direction	Vol	ume		GEH	
				Observed	Modelled	Turn	Approach	Intersec tion
Marsh St /	4_N_R	N	R	0	0	0.00	5.51	3.64
West Botany St	4_N_T	N	Т	234	157	5.51		
	4_N_L	Ν	L	0	0	0.00		
	4_E_R	E	R	0	0	0.00	2.65	
	4_E_T	E	Т	0	0	0.00		
	4_E_L	E	L	905	827	2.65		
	4_S_R	S	R	1821	1685	3.24	3.85	
	4_S_T	S	Т	320	465	7.30		
	4_S_L	S	L	0	0	0.00		
	4_W_R	W	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	4_W_T	W	Т	0	0	0.00		
	4_W_L	W	L	0	0	0.00		
West Botany	5_N_R	Ν	R	564	603	1.63	2.36	1.19
St / Wickham St	5_N_T	Ν	Т	442	376	3.28		
	5_N_L	Ν	L	0	0	0.00		
	5_E_R	E	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	5_E_T	Е	Т	0	0	0.00		
	5_E_L	Е	L	0	0	0.00		
	5_S_R	S	R	0	0	0.00	1.03	
	5_S_T	S	Т	696	722	0.99		
	5_S_L	S	L	13	28	3.22		
	5_W_R	W	R	75	122	4.74	0.49	
	5_W_T	W	Т	0	0	0.00		
	5_W_L	W	L	1424	1434	0.26		
West Botany	6_N_R	Ν	R	0	0	0.00	3.99	4.08
St / Flora St	6_N_T	Ν	Т	376	380	0.23	-	
	6_N_L	Ν	L	134	11	14.48		
	6_E_R	E	R	49	70	2.77	2.80	
	6_E_T	Е	Т	0	0	0.00		
	6_E_L	Е	L	15	29	2.90		
	6_S_R	S	R	36	50	2.15	4.40	
	6_S_T	S	Т	375	470	4.62	_	
	6_S_L	S	L	0	0	0.00		ļ
	6_W_R	W	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	6_W_T	W	Т	0	0	0.00	-	
	6_W_L	W	L	0	0	0.00		

Intersection	Movement	Approach	Direction	Vol	ume		GEH	
				Observed	Modelled	Turn	Approach	Intersec tion
Princes Hwy /	7_N_R	N	R	223	263	2.57	2.75	3.29
Wickham St	7_N_T	N	Т	601	672	2.81		
	7_N_L	Ν	L	0	0	0.00		
	7_E_R	E	R	0	0	0.00	5.32	
	7_E_T	E	Т	643	515	5.32		
	7_E_L	E	L	0	0	0.00		
	7_S_R	S	R	412	453	1.97	2.85	
	7_S_T	S	Т	1642	1520	3.07		
	7_S_L	S	L	0	0	0.00		
	7_W_R	W	R	0	0	0.00	3.35	
	7_W_T	W	Т	987	899	2.87		
	7_W_L	W	L	278	369	5.06		
Princes Hwy /	8_N_R	Ν	R	0	0	0.00	2.82	1.51
Kyle St	8_N_T	Ν	Т	838	923	2.87		
	8_N_L	Ν	L	16	16	0.06		
	8_E_R	E	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	8_E_T	E	Т	0	0	0.00		
	8_E_L	E	L	0	0	0.00		
	8_S_R	S	R	0	0	0.00	0.89	
	8_S_T	S	Т	1892	1853	0.90		
	8_S_L	S	L	38	36	0.34		
	8_W_R	W	R	175	153	1.72	1.91	
	8_W_T	W	Т	0	0	0.00		
	8_W_L	W	L	39	58	2.74		
Princes Hwy /	9_N_R	Ν	R	0	0	0.00	5.18	1.61
M5 Off-Ramps	9_N_T	Ν	Т	741	889	5.18		
	9_N_L	Ν	L	0	0	0.00		
	9_E_R	E	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	9_E_T	E	Т	0	0	0.00		
	9_E_L	E	L	0	0	0.00		
	9_S_R	S	R	0	0	0.00	0.39	
	9_S_T	S	Т	1893	1910	0.39		
	9_S_L	S	L	0	0	0.00]
	9_W_R	W	R	68	50	2.34	0.73	
	9_W_T	W	Т	0	0	0.00		
	9_W_L	W	L	322	329	0.39		

Intersection	Movement	Approach	Direction	Vol	ume		GEH	
				Observed	Modelled	Turn	Approach	Intersec tion
Princes Hwy /	11_N_R	N	R	0	0	0.00	0.77	0.79
West Botany St	11_N_T	N	Т	833	811	0.77		
	11_N_L	N	L	0	0	0.00		
	11_E_R	E	R	391	448	2.78	2.78	
	11_E_T	E	Т	0	0	0.00		
	11_E_L	E	L	0	0	0.00		
	11_S_R	S	R	0	0	0.00	0.48	
	11_S_T	S	Т	2502	2526	0.48		
	11_S_L	S	L	0	0	0.00		
	11_W_R	W	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	11_W_T	W	Т	0	0	0.00		
	11_W_L	W	L	0	0	0.00		
Princes Hwy /	12_N_R	N	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	3.63
Gertrude St	12_N_T	Ν	Т	0	0	0.00		
	12_N_L	N	L	0	0	0.00		
	12_E_R	E	R	267	203	4.19	3.63	
	12_E_T	E	Т	0	0	0.00		
	12_E_L	E	L	68	80	1.42		
	12_S_R	S	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	12_S_T	S	Т	0	0	0.00		
	12_S_L	S	L	0	0	0.00		
	12_W_R	W	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	12_W_T	W	Т	0	0	0.00		
	12_W_L	W	L	0	0	0.00		
Princes Hwy /	13_N_R	Ν	R	163	143	1.62	3.37	1.96
Brodie Sparks Dr	13_N_T	Ν	Т	1063	1185	3.64		
	13_N_L	Ν	L	0	0	0.00		
	13_E_R	E	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	13_E_T	Е	Т	0	0	0.00		
	13_E_L	Е	L	0	0	0.00		
	13_S_R	S	R	0	0	0.00	1.12	
	13_S_T	S	Т	3078	3017	1.10		
	13_S_L	S	L	141	158	1.39		
	13_W_R	W	R	172	139	2.65	3.85	
	13_W_T	W	Т	0	0	0.00		
	13_W_L	W	L	337	424	4.46		

Intersection	Movement	Approach	Direction	Vol	ume		GEH	
				Observed	Modelled	Turn	Approach	Intersec tion
Marsh Street /	1_NE_R	NE	R	286	331	2.56	2.56	2.61
Innesdale Road	1_NE_T	NE	Т	0	0	0.00		
	1_NE_L	NE	L	0	0	0.00		
	1_E_R	E	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	1_E_T	E	Т	0	0	0.00		
	1_E_L	E	L	0	0	0.00		
	1_SW_R	SW	R	0	0	0.00	2.40	
	1_SW_T	SW	Т	1053	1137	2.55		
	1_SW_L	SW	L	90	84	0.69		
	1_NW_R	NW	R	0	0	0.00	4.18	
	1_NW_T	NW	Т	0	0	0.00		
	1_NW_L	NW	L	156	108	4.18		
Marsh St /	2_NE_R	NE	R	48	101	6.14	0.92	1.39
Flora St	2_NE_T	NE	Т	1438	1410	0.74		
	2_NE_L	NE	L	0	0	0.00		
	2_E_R	E	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	2_E_T	E	Т	0	0	0.00		
	2_E_L	E	L	0	0	0.00		
	2_SW_R	SW	R	14	15	0.26	1.71	
	2_SW_T	SW	Т	1162	1110	1.54	_	
	2_SW_L	SW	L	57	22	5.58		
	2_NW_R	NW	R	52	68	2.07	4.76	
	2_NW_T	NW	Т	0	0	0.00	_	
	2_NW_L	NW	L	40	112	8.26		
Marsh St / M5	3_NE_R	NE	R	372	459	4.27	2.66	1.50
Offramps	3_NE_T	NE	Т	1025	957	2.16		
	3_NE_L	NE	L	114	94	1.96		
	3_SE_R	SE	R	156	136	1.66	1.06	
	3_SE_T	SE	Т	0	0	0.00		
	3_SE_L	SE	L	546	567	0.89		
	3_SW_R	SW	R	308	315	0.40	0.93	
	3_SW_T	SW	Т	603	603	0.00		
	3_SW_L	SW	L	323	174	3.19		
	3_SW_L	SW	L	0	209	0.00		
	3_NW_R	NW	R	128	128	0.00	0.08	
	3_NW_T	NW	Т	0	0	0.00	-	
	3_NW_L	NW	L	408	410	0.10		

Table A 4. PM Calibration Results – All vehicles (4:45pm – 5:45pm)

Intersection	Movement	Approach	Direction	Vol	ume		GEH	
				Observed	Modelled	Turn	Approach	Intersec tion
Marsh St /	4_N_R	N	R	0	0	0.00	1.97	2.46
West Botany St	4_N_T	N	Т	187	215	1.97		
	4_N_L	Ν	L	0	0	0.00		
	4_E_R	E	R	0	0	0.00	0.47	
	4_E_T	E	Т	0	0	0.00		
	4_E_L	E	L	1669	1650	0.47		
	4_S_R	S	R	1152	991	4.92	4.69	
	4_S_T	S	Т	378	304	4.01		
	4_S_L	S	L	0	0	0.00		
	4_W_R	W	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	4_W_T	W	Т	0	0	0.00		
	4_W_L	W	L	0	0	0.00		
West Botany	5_N_R	Ν	R	837	927	3.03	1.63	2.58
St / Wickham St	5_N_T	N	Т	948	936	0.39		
	5_N_L	N	L	0	0	0.00		
	5_E_R	E	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	5_E_T	E	Т	0	0	0.00		
	5_E_L	E	L	0	0	0.00		
	5_S_R	S	R	0	0	0.00	1.43	
	5_S_T	S	Т	430	403	1.30		
	5_S_L	S	L	67	87	2.23		
	5_W_R	W	R	135	172	2.99	4.54	
	5_W_T	W	Т	0	0	0.00		
	5_W_L	W	L	1034	887	4.74		
West Botany	6_N_R	N	R	0	0	0.00	1.72	1.86
St / Flora St	6_N_T	Ν	Т	492	523	1.36		
	6_N_L	Ν	L	80	119	3.95		
	6_E_R	E	R	91	99	0.83	0.94	
	6_E_T	Е	Т	0	0	0.00		
	6_E_L	Е	L	11	18	1.81		
	6_S_R	S	R	21	56	5.61	2.45	
	6_S_T	S	Т	281	245	2.21		
	6_S_L	S	L	0	0	0.00		
	6_W_R	W	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	6_W_T	W	Т	0	0	0.00		
	6_W_L	W	L	0	0	0.00		

Intersection	Movement	Approach	Direction	Vol	ume		GEH	
				Observed	Modelled	Turn	Approach	Intersec tion
Princes Hwy /	7_N_R	N	R	548	583	1.47	0.94	2.30
Wickham St	7_N_T	N	Т	1453	1425	0.74		
	7_N_L	Ν	L	0	0	0.00		
	7_E_R	E	R	0	0	0.00	2.81	
	7_E_T	E	Т	977	885	3.02		
	7_E_L	E	L	71	108	0.00		
	7_S_R	S	R	176	163	1.00	3.91	
	7_S_T	S	Т	755	621	5.11		
	7_S_L	S	L	101	122	0.00		
	7_W_R	W	R	0	0	0.00	2.76	
	7_W_T	W	Т	838	771	2.36		
	7_W_L	W	L	280	350	3.94		
Princes Hwy /	8_N_R	Ν	R	0	0	0.00	3.31	2.73
Kyle St	8_N_T	Ν	Т	2204	2050	3.34		
	8_N_L	Ν	L	26	22	0.81		
	8_E_R	E	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	8_E_T	E	Т	0	0	0.00		
	8_E_L	E	L	0	0	0.00		
	8_S_R	S	R	0	0	0.00	1.89	
	8_S_T	S	Т	1028	967	1.92		
	8_S_L	S	L	18	16	0.59		
	8_W_R	W	R	172	168	0.32	0.32	
	8_W_T	W	Т	0	0	0.00		
	8_W_L	W	L	8	7	0.28		
Princes Hwy /	9_N_R	Ν	R	0	0	0.00	2.04	2.05
M5 Off-Ramps	9_N_T	Ν	Т	2121	2028	2.04		
	9_N_L	Ν	L	0	0	0.00		
	9_E_R	E	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	9_E_T	E	Т	0	0	0.00		
	9_E_L	E	L	0	0	0.00		
	9_S_R	S	R	0	0	0.00	1.33	
	9_S_T	S	Т	1013	971	1.33		
	9_S_L	S	L	0	0	0.00		
	9_W_R	W	R	97	39	7.03	4.24	
	9_W_T	W	Т	0	0	0.00]	
	9_W_L	W	L	247	299	3.15		

Intersection	Movement	Approach	Direction	Vol	ume		GEH	
				Observed	Modelled	Turn	Approach	Intersec tion
Princes Hwy /	11_N_R	N	R	0	0	0.00	4.22	3.50
West Botany St	11_N_T	N	Т	2232	2037	4.22		
	11_N_L	N	L	0	0	0.00		
	11_E_R	E	R	320	332	0.66	0.66	
	11_E_T	E	Т	0	0	0.00		
	11_E_L	E	L	0	0	0.00		
	11_S_R	S	R	0	0	0.00	2.97	
	11_S_T	S	Т	1349	1242	2.97		
	11_S_L	S	L	0	0	0.00		
	11_W_R	W	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	11_W_T	W	Т	0	0	0.00		
	11_W_L	W	L	0	0	0.00		
Princes Hwy /	12_N_R	Ν	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	5.51
Gertrude St	12_N_T	Ν	Т	0	0	0.00		
	12_N_L	Ν	L	0	0	0.00		
	12_E_R	E	R	398	285	6.09	5.51	
	12_E_T	E	Т	0	0	0.00		
	12_E_L	E	L	52	45	1.06		
	12_S_R	S	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	12_S_T	S	Т	0	0	0.00		
	12_S_L	S	L	0	0	0.00		
	12_W_R	W	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	12_W_T	W	Т	0	0	0.00		
	12_W_L	W	L	0	0	0.00		
Princes Hwy /	13_N_R	Ν	R	535	552	0.73	1.89	1.31
Brodie Sparks Dr	13_N_T	Ν	Т	2618	2510	2.13		
	13_N_L	Ν	L	0	0	0.00		
	13_E_R	Е	R	0	0	0.00	0.00	
	13_E_T	Е	Т	0	0	0.00		
	13_E_L	Е	L	0	0	0.00		
	13_S_R	S	R	0	0	0.00	0.36	
	13_S_T	S	Т	1602	1586	0.40		
	13_S_L	S	L	271	269	0.12		
	13_W_R	W	R	207	193	0.99	1.18	
	13_W_T	W	Т	0	0	0.00		
	13_W_L	W	L	233	254	1.35		



Appendix B: Detailed Traffic Modelling Outputs

ntersection / Scenario				202	2 AM Base			2022 PN	VI Base			2036	5 AM Base			2	036 PM Base			2036	AM Project C	ase		2036	PM Project Case	9
ntersection	Approach	Movement	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)
		Left	0	LOS_A	0	5.56	0	LOS_A	0	11.81	0	LOS_A	0	15.39	0	LOS_A	0	38.16	159	LOS_A	8.94	11.95	66	LOS_B	19.33	92.42
	Marsh St SB	Through	720	LOS_A	2.87	5.56	1420	LOS_A	4.35	11.81	773	LOS_A	6.97	15.39	1540	LOS_C	31.46	38.16	956	LOS_A	9.2	11.95	1309	LOS_E	63.5	92.42
		Right	50	LOS_E	57.13	5.56	95	LOS_E	63.16	11.81	147	LOS_E	57.36	15.39	168	LOS_E	65.73	38.16	95	LOS_E	62.32	11.95	107	LOS_E	75.28	92.42
		Left	19	LOS_B	12.56	16.44	34	LOS_A	8.27	9.16	24	LOS_A	9.58	16.88	19	LOS_B	14.81	15.48	36	LOS_C	24.07	35.29	21	LOS_D	35.57	12.72
	Marsh St NB	Through	2172	LOS_A	9.57	16.44	1101	LOS_A	8.34	9.16	1972	LOS_B	10.3	16.88	1011	LOS_B	13.52	15.48	2101	LOS_C	20.35	35.29	1151	LOS_B	15.48	12.72
		Right	9	LOS_F	144.24	16.44	14	LOS_F	119.47	9.16	24	LOS_E	71.2	16.88	50	LOS_F	81.49	15.48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marsh Street / Flora Street		Left	48	LOS_D	39.1	7.26	102	LOS_D	41.33	9.4	72	LOS_C	32.8	23.71	117	LOS_C	28.17	8.43	134	LOS_D	44.3	38.73	96	LOS_D	42.79	9.02
	Flora Street West	Through	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43	LOS_E	71.4	38.73	4	LOS_E	68.45	9.02
		Right	60	LOS_E	58.13	7.22	79	LOS_D	42.4	9.36	125	LOS_F	102.68	23.65	63	LOS_E	57.04	8.4	114	LOS_F	144.97	38.73	63	LOS_E	66.11	9.02
	51 OL 1	Left	0	LOS_A	0	0	0	LOS_A	0	0	57	LOS_F	159.63	12.98	45	LOS_F	110.34	7.42	132	LOS_D	51.52	15.88	153	LOS_E	64.05	19.78
	Flora Street East	Through	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	LOS_E	67.52	15.88	20	LOS_E	61.4	19.78
		Right	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	LOS_D	54.18	15.88	79	LOS_E	62.78	19.78
	Inter	rsection	3078	LOS_B	10.59	7.3	2845	LOS_B	10.85	7.95	3194	LOS_B	18.9	18.52	3013	LOS_C	29.66	15.58	3859	LOS_C	25.21	25.46	3069	LOS_D	44.17	33.49
		Left		-															190	LOS_B	11.55	6.56	124	LOS_D	37.83	470.89
	Marsh St SB	Through		-															1167	LOS_A	9.18	6.56	1379	LOS_F	99.12	470.89
		Right		-															118	LOS_E	66.53	8.06	441	LOS_D	42	16.28
		Left		-															78	LOS_A	5.33	21.66	85	LOS_B	10.5	19.29
	Marsh St NB	Through		-															2149	LOS_A	4.86	21.66	1287	LOS_A	9.51	19.29
		Right		-															209	LOS_D	52.62	21.66	112	LOS_E	64.92	19.29
Marsh Street / Gertrude Street	Gertrude	Left		-							n/	а							179	LOS_D	40.11	14.75	201	LOS_C	24.65	8.83
	Street West	Through		-															79	LOS_E	75.26	14.72	37	LOS_E	77.75	8.81
		Right																	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Gertrude	Left																	38	LOS_D	36.79	13.56	87	LOS_E	78.63	22.72
	Street East	Through		-																LUS_E	56.04	11.8	108	LOS_E	62.75	20.25
	Inter	Right		-															4384		14.34	13.03	3961	105 D	52.25	81.01
	inter		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0		0	0	0	0	0
	Marsh St SB	Left	770	LOS A	0.07	0	1508	LOS A	0.18	0	928	LOS A	0.11	0	1686	LOS B	10.78	11.44	1210	LOS A	0.51	0	1490	LOS E	47.34	34.34
		Through	213	LOS_R	60.61	17.04	337	105 F	60.77	31.9	211	LOS_F	65.11	18.59	541	105 F	58.07	67.91	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Right	47	LOS A	8.47	5.45	77	LOS A	5.19	4.18	55	LOS A	6.22	5.2	110	LOS A	7.53	7.99	39	LOS A	3.9	1.75	66	LOS A	2.48	0.58
	Marsh St NB	Left	2174	LOS A	3.43	5.45	1121	LOS A	4.66	4.18	1991	LOS A	3.77	5.2	1006	LOS A	8.12	7.99	2257	LOS A	5.04	3.49	1257	LOS A	2.83	1.23
Warsh Street / Innesdale Street		nirougn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Right	229	LOS E	57.52	13.49	124	LOS D	46.67	6.27	175	LOS E	59.73	10.59	149	LOS D	39.36	6.1	192	LOS C	24.84	6.64	234	LOS B	10.46	1.15
	Innesdale Stree	t	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Right	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inter	rsection	3433	LOS A	9.9	8.99	3167	LOS B	10.15	10.59	3360	LOS A	9.57	8.6	3492	LOS B	18.46	23.36	3698	LOS A	4.58	2.97	3047	LOS D	25.17	9.32
			5455	2005_1	5.5	0.55	5107	100_0	10115	10.55	5500	100_1	5.57	0.0	3452	100_0	10.40	25.50	5656	100_1	4.50	2157	5047	205_5	20117	5.5

Intersection / Scenario				202	2 AM Base			2022 PN	A Base			2036	AM Base			2	036 PM Base			2036	AM Project Ca	ise		2036	PM Project Case	1
Intersection	Approach	Movement	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)
		Left	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	LOS_E	56.73	9.02	138	LOS_D	48.7	33.62
	Levey Street SB	Through	0	LOS_A	0	0.01	0	LOS_A	0	0.01	0	LOS_A	0	0.01	0	LOS_A	0	0.01	26	LOS_E	55.74	9.02	129	LOS_E	57.59	33.62
		Right	28	LOS_A	1.13	0.01	81	LOS_A	1.36	0.01	20	LOS_A	2.27	0.01	133	LOS_A	1.44	0.01	37	LOS_E	60.63	9.02	140	LOS_D	50.93	33.62
		Left	284	LOS_A	1.21	0	334	LOS_A	2.19	0	355	LOS_A	2.14	0.14	532	LOS_A	3.14	0.76	99	LOS_E	59.56	15.61	58	LOS_C	25.94	2.25
	Levey Street NB	Through	0	LOS_A	0	0	52	LOS_A	2.46	0	0	LOS_A	0	0.14	57	LOS_A	3.94	0.76	0	LOS_A	0	15.61	0	LOS_A	0	2.25
		Right	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44	LOS_E	62.15	15.61	1	LOS_A	2.85	2.25
Gertrude Street / Levey Street		Left	10	LOS_A	1.38	0.57	0	LOS_A	0	0.01	34	LOS_A	1.19	0.57	0	LOS_A	0	0.08	52	LOS_A	5.52	0.47	12	LOS_A	8.88	0.9
	Gertrude St EB	Through	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	151	LOS_A	1.61	0.47	97	LOS_A	5.1	0.9
		Right	216	LOS_A	2.81	0.57	88	LOS_A	0.94	0.01	154	LOS_A	3.12	0.57	91	LOS_A	2.01	0.08	0	LOS_A	0	0.47	11	LOS_C	22.27	0.9
		Left	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	LOS_A	4.07	1.12	38	LOS_A	3.41	10.41
	Gertrude St WB	Through	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	259	LOS_A	4.28	1.12	542	LOS_B	11.77	10.41
		Right	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	LOS_A	0	1.12	56	LOS_A	7.5	10.41
	Inters	ection	538	LOS_A	1.85	0.19	555	LOS_A	1.89	0.01	563	LOS_A	2.36	0.24	813	LOS_A	2.79	0.29	740	LOS_C	23.6	6.56	1222	LOS_C	25.01	11.79
		Left	99	LOS_A	1.88	3.03	92	LOS_B	19.87	22.66	107	LOS_B	15.38	24.06	185	LOS_E	69.2	169.86	161	LOS_B	10.98	21.55	225	LOS_F	96.63	217.36
	Marsh St SB	Through	406	LOS_C	25.93	7.01	957	LOS_D	36.99	25.81	679	LOS_E	61.58	29.77	1334	LOS_F	100.83	171.65	827	LOS_D	49.39	27.29	1056	LOS_F	164.55	218.88
		Right	272	LOS_E	62.88	16.61	440	LOS_D	52.78	21.19	172	LOS_E	60.41	9.73	199	LOS_D	54.52	8.84	210	LOS_E	77.6	15.7	226	LOS_E	69.04	16.84
		Left	372	LOS_C	30.55	20.7	565	LOS_D	46.02	65.78	750	LOS_D	46.38	135.61	537	LOS_F	88.27	165.88	709	LOS_D	51.4	140.64	499	LOS_F	100.38	172.6
	M5 South	Through	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				0				0				0				0
		Right	177	LOS_E	59.77	24.09	136	LOS_E	61.51	66.41	227	LOS_E	76.81	140.54	171	LOS_F	96.77	171.28	275	LOS_F	87.56	146.21	146	LOS_F	98.32	177.8
Marsh Street / M5		Left	9	LOS_A	3.34	5.26	168	LOS_A	9.7	3.4	1	LOS_A	1.29	8.8	59	LOS_A	4.68	1.95	2	LOS_A	4.7	11.37	64	LOS_A	4.63	5.04
	Marsh St NB	Through	1345	LOS_B	19.84	20.18	598	LOS_C	24.56	9.5	1524	LOS_C	21.28	25.26	769	LOS_B	15.61	9.23	1509	LOS_C	23.26	26.73	843	LOS_B	16.58	11.23
		Right	371	LOS_C	30.68	20.83	341	LOS_C	28.06	10.55	370	LOS_C	22.64	25.37	506	LOS_C	30.98	15.92	351	LOS_C	21.54	24.33	544	LOS_C	31.28	16.29
		Left	671	LOS_A	2.31	0	415	LOS_A	1.89	0.03	270	LOS_A	1.71	0	163	LOS_A	1.52	0	328	LOS_A	1.77	0	192	LOS_A	1.46	0
	M5 North	Through	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				0				0				0				0
		Right	39	LOS_E	68.39	4.57	124	LOS_E	72.26	14.08	24	LOS_F	81.69	3.45	40	LOS_F	84.58	4.43	26	LOS_F	83.01	3.01	36	LOS_F	129.32	6.45
	Inters	ection	4047	LOS_C	23.16	11.36	4036	LOS_C	32.62	21.94	4267	LOS_D	35.14	36.69	4029	LOS_E	63	65.38	4560	LOS_D	36.42	38.08	3910	LOS_E	79.78	76.6
	W Botany Street	Left	29	LOS_C	31.57	12.37	87	LOS_C	29.81	12.84	42	LOS_F	90.2	139.25	97	LOS_E	63.54	32.51	63	LOS_F	141.27	258.05	70	LOS_F	320.09	188.46
	North	Through	168	LOS_E	76.76	17	205	LOS_E	70.66	16.79	371	LOS_F	207.38	142.72	189	LOS_F	149.15	36.25	243	LOS_F	283.25	259.66	148	LOS_F	568.06	191.91
Marsh Street / W Botany Street	Marsh St East	Left	815	LOS_A	2.05	0.69	1649	LOS_A	3.59	2.03	1442	LOS_C	21.46	25.76	1892	LOS_C	32.15	55.42	1523	LOS_D	40.16	52.96	1579	LOS_E	56.83	75.76
maish street, w botany street		Through	460	LOS_A	2.71	11.17	291	LOS_A	3.27	5.33	337	LOS_A	4.98	28.36	287	LOS_A	4.5	6.5	320	LOS_A	5.26	25.54	250	LOS_A	2.92	5.82
		Right	1698	LOS_A	5.75	11.14	1020	LOS_A	4.14	5.31	1846	LOS_A	9.53	28.32	1210	LOS_A	4.78	6.47	1784	LOS_B	10.04	25.49	1375	LOS_A	4.35	5.8
	Inters	ection	3170	LOS_A	8.36	10.47	3252	LOS_A	8.66	8.46	4038	LOS_C	32.43	72.88	3675	LOS_C	27.83	27.43	3933	LOS_D	40.29	124.34	3422	LOS_E	59.3	93.55
	W Botany Street	Through	378	LOS_A	3.1	11.06	939	LOS_A	6.7	18.53	484	LOS_B	16.01	50.57	941	LOS_B	18.48	69.8	415	LOS_C	30.18	70.55	782	LOS_C	30.85	84.16
	North	Right	599	LOS_B	17.81	10.98	914	LOS_B	15.29	18.44	1335	LOS_D	38.46	50.42	1160	LOS_D	54.52	69.62	1305	LOS_D	51.89	70.37	939	LOS_F	86.98	83.96
	Wickham Street	Left	1451	LOS_C	20.91	32.3	894	LOS_B	10.22	36.53	1524	LOS_C	30.46	63.09	1111	LOS_B	15.24	64.69	1517	LOS_C	32.93	65.1	1220	LOS_B	16.15	81.07
W Botany Street / Wickham Street	West	Right	117	LOS_F	100.54	27.59	181	LOS_F	187.12	64.11	145	LOS_F	137.45	54.62	180	LOS_F	192.78	71.88	145	LOS_F	132.22	59.07	190	LOS_F	227	87.33
	W Botany Street	Left	26	LOS_F	115.16	122.17	86	LOS_F	126.09	66.14	39	LOS_F	143.65	481.5	101	LOS_F	233.99	491.72	33	LOS_F	189.2	485.92	99	LOS_F	229.93	492.19
	South	Through	714	LOS_F	100.76	122.17	420	LOS_F	92.9	66.14	668	LOS_F	140.48	481.5	386	LOS_F	208.93	491.72	601	LOS_F	156.07	485.92	406	LOS_F	199.35	492.19
	Inters	ection	3285	LOS_D	39.23	40.82	3434	LOS_C	32.95	40.75	4195	LOS_D	53.61	140.04	3879	LOS_E	60.98	153.54	4016	LOS_E	62.1	150.2	3636	LOS_E	74.9	165.74

Intersection / Scenario				202	2 AM Base			2022 PN	1 Base			2030	5 AM Base			2	036 PM Base			2036	AM Project Ca	ise		2036	PM Project Case	1
Intersection	Approach	Movement	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)
		Left	154	LOS_C	29.87	26.37	203	LOS_C	20.67	32.26	41	LOS_D	52.36	100.04	76	LOS_D	45.83	23.14	45	LOS_E	65.6	103.6	236	LOS_C	30.5	49.34
	Princess Highway North	/ Through	674	LOS_E	55.82	30.61	1434	LOS_C	26.28	34.05	1104	LOS_F	96.44	102.74	1194	LOS_C	23.6	25.92	1074	LOS_F	100.97	106.29	1242	LOS_D	39.29	51.14
		Right	255	LOS_E	64.36	31.09	569	LOS_E	56.18	100.84	297	LOS_F	97.87	88.5	516	LOS_E	64.03	105.69	273	LOS_F	86.39	62.64	550	LOS_E	62.53	101.32
	Wickham Street	Left	151	LOS_B	11.32	1.01	112	LOS_B	16.08	0.01	580	LOS_B	15.83	22.5	164	LOS_B	17.24	0.13	532	LOS_B	18.75	14.89	129	LOS_C	26.14	2.53
	East	Through	519	LOS_D	37.85	22.32	866	LOS_D	35.58	36.96	880	LOS_D	44.3	56.43	1038	LOS_D	43.34	63.08	876	LOS_D	43.21	59.14	901	LOS_E	68.78	70.73
Forest Road / Princess Hwy / Wickham Street		Left	159	LOS_C	34.62	62.74	127	LOS_E	64.02	50.66	292	LOS_D	53.31	427.84	200	LOS_F	115.78	225.33	273	LOS_D	49.76	400.8	101	LOS_F	310.94	473.3
	Princess Highway South	/ Through	1498	LOS_D	41.88	65.33	644	LOS_F	87.5	53.58	1560	LOS_D	54.76	430.09	814	LOS_F	123.67	228.19	1505	LOS_E	60.84	402.7	412	LOS_F	319.38	474.18
		Right	458	LOS_C	31.76	43.12	163	LOS_F	102.77	29.79	524	LOS_F	90.49	431.07	257	LOS_F	161.34	225.04	526	LOS_F	88.65	403.45	188	LOS_F	138.22	473.11
	Forest Road	Left	355	LOS_C	34.02	10.87	354	LOS_C	28.13	4.93	350	LOS_D	47.18	0	397	LOS_E	58.68	444.28	335	LOS_D	51.2	0	413	LOS_D	52.27	0
	West	Through	916	LOS_D	47.52	65.82	753	LOS_D	40.58	42.85	1094	LOS_E	69.07	456.23	1045	LOS_E	74.55	444.67	1088	LOS_E	71.41	458.17	1083	LOS_E	73.77	453.89
	Inters	ection	5139	LOS_D	42.5	35.93	5225	LOS_D	43.68	38.59	6722	LOS_E	63.42	211.54	5701	LOS_E	66.48	178.55	6527	LOS_E	65.79	201.17	5255	LOS_F	84.91	214.95
	Princess Highway	Left	6	LOS_A	3.76	4.08	16	LOS_B	11.38	32.87	5	LOS_E	64.47	61.98	21	LOS_B	14.65	66.84	8	LOS_D	41.35	60.9	29	LOS_B	10.49	67.09
	North	Through	931	LOS_A	5.41	4.08	2047	LOS_B	15.76	32.87	1409	LOS_E	75.62	61.98	1717	LOS_D	44.74	66.84	1371	LOS_E	79.67	60.9	1798	LOS_D	41.97	67.09
		Left																								
	Kyle Street East (departure only)	Through																								
Burrows Street / Princess Hwy /	/	Right																								
	Princess Highway	Left	38	LOS_A	6.59	6.24	19	LOS_A	5.41	3.7	68	LOS_C	23	35.35	36	LOS_A	1.49	0.68	68	LOS_C	22.38	34.24	19	LOS_B	10.53	3.92
	South	Through	1823	LOS_A	4.2	6.24	998	LOS_A	5.65	3.7	1857	LOS_C	21.6	35.35	1179	LOS_A	1.45	0.68	1784	LOS_C	22.21	34.24	828	LOS_A	7.06	3.92
	Burrows Street	Left	55	LOS_E	60.75	12.81	6	LOS_E	57.8	9.96	2	LOS_F	2312.26	134.96	1	LOS_F	249.23	135.49	3	LOS_F	3718.15	136.16	5	LOS_F	484.77	112.94
	West	Right	156	LOS_E	56.97	12.81	170	LOS_E	61.65	9.96	47	LOS_F	1528.34	134.96	66	LOS_F	406.02	135.49	29	LOS_F	2257.78	136.16	221	LOS_F	319.67	112.94
	Inters	ection	3009	LOS_A	8.38	7.71	3256	LOS_B	15.05	15.51	3388	LOS_E	66.42	77.43	3020	LOS_D	35.08	67.67	3263	LOS_E	69.67	77.1	2900	LOS_D	53.41	61.32
	Princess Highway North	/ Through	888	LOS_A	1.57	0.92	2024	LOS_B	18.7	62.44	1408	LOS_F	98.16	123.77	1735	LOS_E	57.39	135.69	1376	LOS_F	97.5	115.48	1831	LOS_E	56.2	134.68
	M5 West	Left	332	LOS_D	45.64	15.6	299	LOS_E	55.54	13	45	LOS_D	35.6	60.68	42	LOS_D	49.78	2.66	45	LOS_D	35.66	63.69	41	LOS_D	40.98	1.91
Princess Hwy / M5	ins west	Right	47	LOS_E	70.16	8.2	43	LOS_F	83.71	5.5	2	LOS_F	3612.11	68.81	8	LOS_F	108.69	1.19	3	LOS_F	3607.75	69.8	8	LOS_F	382.67	4.39
		Through	1880	LOS_A	3.71	5.19	995	LOS_A	4.9	3.27	1881	LOS_A	5.18	6.23	1180	LOS_A	0.81	0.57	1804	LOS_A	4.88	5.45	830	LOS_A	2.64	1.54
	Inters	ection	3147	LOS_A	8.52	7.48	3361	LOS_B	18.72	21.05	3336	LOS_D	47	64.87	2965	LOS_C	34.9	35.03	3228	LOS_D	48.14	63.6	2710	LOS_D	40.53	35.63
	Princess Highway North	/ Through	891	LOS_A	0.22	0	2020	LOS_B	12.44	61.02	1410	LOS_F	112.07	181.06	1759	LOS_F	64.05	217.84	1396	LOS_F	105.67	164.6	1845	LOS_F	66.98	232.89
Princess Hwy / Allen Street	Allen Street West	Left	311	LOS_D	30.78	17.03	54	LOS_A	9.63	0.58	674	LOS_C	18.54	22.77	111	LOS_B	10.5	1.69	683	LOS_C	16.67	21.22	113	LOS_A	8.24	1.27
Thinkess they prince street		Through	2183	LOS_A	0.41	0.34	1217	LOS_A	0.3	0.1	1880	LOS_A	1.05	1.7	1089	LOS_A	0.38	0.29	1806	LOS_A	0.7	0.59	774	LOS_A	0.45	0.39
	Inters	ection	3415	LOS_A	3.14	4.4	3357	LOS_A	7.77	15.43	4010	LOS_E	43.06	51.65	3093	LOS_E	36.98	54.96	3928	LOS_E	40.8	46.74	2829	LOS_E	44.18	58.65
	Princess Highway	Left	447	LOS_A	3.92	2.37	619	LOS_A	2.7	0.74	426	LOS_B	10.9	2.93	451	LOS_A	8.15	3.52	564	LOS_B	15.13	6.98	523	LOS_A	7.34	23
	North	Through	827	LOS_A	3.55	2.12	2021	LOS_A	2.4	1.15	1261	LOS_D	47.4	40.79	1795	LOS_D	43.14	61.85	1318	LOS_C	26.04	20.8	1856	LOS_D	46.7	69
Princess Hwy / W Rotany Street	W Botany Street	Left	65	LOS_B	19.17	43.29	27	LOS_B	17.2	11.94	206	LOS_E	60.91	46.15	26	LOS_D	35.98	7.48	131	LOS_C	20.98	27.86	17	LOS_D	49.47	12.03
Princess Hwy / W Botany Street	East	Right	429	LOS_F	94.89	48.32	308	LOS_D	50.54	15.77	338	LOS_F	83.36	51.21	265	LOS_D	42.67	11.02	364	LOS_E	78.49	32.43	313	LOS_D	48.98	16.09
		Through	2498	LOS_B	16.88	31.71	1246	LOS_A	7.58	5.77	2542	LOS_A	5.61	6.03	1158	LOS_A	3.31	1.86	2485	LOS_A	9	15.95	864	LOS_A	6.75	3.05
	Inters	ection	4276	LOS_C	20.81	24.53	4238	LOS_A	7.57	6.15	4786	LOS_C	24.95	24.61	3731	LOS_C	26.07	14.3	4873	LOS_B	19.82	18.69	3598	LOS_C	31.31	20.59
Intersection / Scenario		2022 AM Base			2022 PM Base			2036 AM Base			2036 PM Base			2036 AM Project Case				2036 PM Project Case								
--	-------------------------------------	--------------	--------	-------	------------------	---------------------	--------	--------------	------------------	------------------------	--------------	--------	------------------	----------------------	--------	--------	---------------	----------------------	--------	--------	------------------	---------------------	--------	--------	---------------	---------------------
Intersection	Approach	Movement	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)	Volume	LOS	Vehicle Delay	Queue Length (m)
	Princess Highw	vay Through	220	LOS_A	6.94	7.56	86	LOS_A	2.64	6.81	153	LOS_B	16.8	43.89	90	LOS_B	13.37	98.06	170	LOS_A	8.68	13.07	118	LOS_B	15.47	100.27
	North	Right	1176	LOS_A	6	7.64	2601	LOS_A	4.07	6.74	1596	LOS_C	27.03	43.72	2191	LOS_C	30.64	97.79	1781	LOS_A	7.97	12.97	2282	LOS_C	29.51	100.71
Princess Hwy / Gertrude Street	Gertrude Street Left	97	LOS_D	45.75	7.69	48	LOS_D	43.95	16.8	149	LOS_E	74.06	41.14	91	LOS_E	60.24	25.17	140	LOS_D	49	19.24	120	LOS_E	71.49	61.56	
rincess may y derivade street	East	Right	204	LOS_B	11.33	7.69	299	LOS_D	51.27	16.8	161	LOS_E	74.8	41.14	407	LOS_D	47.92	25.17	224	LOS_D	42.94	19.24	546	LOS_E	62.71	61.56
		Through	2933	LOS_B	11.66	41.19	1549	LOS_A	2.68	2.26	2879	LOS_A	9.74	20.63	1422	LOS_A	3.2	2.51	2825	LOS_B	12.79	31.19	1175	LOS_A	5.97	4.18
	Inte	ersection	4630	LOS_B	10.7	16.02	4583	LOS_A	7.07	8.15	4938	LOS_B	19.61	37.34	4201	LOS_C	23.3	55.88	5140	LOS_B	13.28	19.12	4241	LOS_C	28.06	66.68
Princess Hig North Princess Hwy / Brodie Spark Drive We	Princess Highw	vay Through	1215	LOS_A	9.4	10.82	2500	LOS_B	12.76	29.85	1524	LOS_B	11.9	13.01	2074	LOS_D	39.91	180.2	1646	LOS_B	11.39	15.19	2176	LOS_D	36.51	186.41
	North Right	144	LOS_D	45.74	7.57	552	LOS_E	55.42	28.64	141	LOS_D	47.84	7.46	457	LOS_E	55.19	23.5	141	LOS_D	46.35	7.43	466	LOS_D	53.08	22.71	
	Brodie Spark Drive West Right	435	LOS_D	37.3	17.2	253	LOS_C	33.7	8.49	282	LOS_F	97.48	136.38	249	LOS_F	100.73	109.31	269	LOS_F	113.17	132.55	270	LOS_E	65.98	83.74	
		177	LOS_E	71.7	12.7	188	LOS_E	67.88	13.95	293	LOS_F	203.81	153.31	266	LOS_F	234.06	158.97	294	LOS_F	214.96	164.96	286	LOS_F	175.26	110.09	
	Princess Highw	vay Left	161	LOS_B	10.91	0.37	261	LOS_A	7.78	1	269	LOS_A	5.04	0.06	369	LOS_B	13.46	5.73	259	LOS_A	6.65	0.96	270	LOS_A	6.5	0.47
	South Through	2983	LOS_B	15.15	77.91	1585	LOS_B	16.53	18.8	2756	LOS_B	15.01	72.68	1458	LOS_B	16.58	17.36	2757	LOS_B	16.59	78.62	1427	LOS_B	11.11	9.21	
	Inte	ersection	5115	LOS_B	18.35	21.09	5339	LOS_C	20.98	16.79	5265	LOS_C	29.4	63.81	4873	LOS_D	46.07	82.51	5366	LOS_C	31.01	66.62	4895	LOS_D	38.76	68.77
	W Botany Stre	et Left	41	LOS_A	0.21	0.34	125	LOS_A	2.72	1.91	61	LOS_A	0.25	0.22	104	LOS_A	2.14	1.13	155	LOS_B	18.65	11.96	147	LOS_A	2.88	1.65
	North	Through	405	LOS_A	1.37	0.34	499	LOS_A	4.84	1.91	365	LOS_A	1.1	0.22	351	LOS_A	4.32	1.13	408	LOS_B	18.78	11.96	381	LOS_A	4.65	1.65
	Flam Character Left	Left	17	LOS_F	181.96	13.47	23	LOS_D	42.16	3.78	27	LOS_F	123.77	26.02	65	LOS_C	31.97	5.18	47	LOS_F	183.02	37.68	28	LOS_E	78.17	13.52
W Botany Street / Flora Street	fiora screet ca	Right	51	LOS_F	149.11	13.47	101	LOS_C	32.21	3.78	149	LOS_F	103.6	26.02	108	LOS_C	27.8	5.18	127	LOS_F	160.84	37.68	116	LOS_F	96.43	13.52
	Flora Street	Through	448	LOS_D	53.49	23.31	228	LOS_A	4.77	1.26	412	LOS_D	37.52	22.7	181	LOS_A	7.81	2.39	364	LOS_C	23.12	23.54	213	LOS_A	5.02	0.83
	West	Right	60	LOS_E	60.85	23.31	46	LOS_B	13.93	1.26	87	LOS_D	44.06	22.7	67	LOS_C	22.39	2.39	96	LOS_F	85.56	23.54	8	LOS_B	17.12	0.83
	Inte	ersection	1022	LOS_D	38.04	12.37	1022	LOS_A	8.52	2.32	1101	LOS_C	34.95	16.32	876	LOS_B	11.11	2.9	1197	LOS_D	46.96	24.39	893	LOS_B	18.79	5.33



TfNSW Infrastructure Letter of Offer (Attachment B).

We, Cook Cove Inlet Pty Ltd (as Proponent), write to TfNSW in relation to the Cooks Cove Planning Proposal (PP-2022-1748), to outline the infrastructure contribution offer associated with the proposal. The substance of the offer is to be delivered by way of Local and State Voluntary Planning Agreements (VPAs) and associated Infrastructure Delivery Agreements / Deeds.

This letter specifically addresses the works-in-kind upgrades proposed to local and regional grade infrastructure (Table A), and additional monetary contributions (Table B), that have been identified as beneficial to the delivery of a safe road network.

This Letter of Offer is indicative in nature with the intent that draft VPAs will accompany the public exhibition package and be subsequently resolved in consultation with the State of New South Wales and Bayside Council prior to the gazettal of revised planning controls for the site.

This offer is premised upon the realisation of the development intensity and scope outlined within the rezoning proposal as described in Section 1 below.

1. Planning Proposal scope and description

The Planning Proposal intends to insert new planning provisions covering the Cooks Cove development zone and adjoining lands, through the amendment of the *Bayside Local Environmental Plan 2021*, accordingly removing this same area from the jurisdiction of *State Environmental Planning Policy (Precincts—Eastern Harbour City) 2021* (formerly *Sydney Regional Environmental Plan No. 33 – Cooks Cove*).

Specifically, the Planning Proposal will:

- Seek new land use zones within the development zone, including a primary 'SP4 Enterprise' zone across the majority of the former Kogarah Golf Course freehold land, 'RE1 Public Recreation' foreshore and passive open space zones and elements of 'SP2 Infrastructure'.
- Impose an overall maximum building height of RL51m with appropriate transitions to respond to aviation controls within limited sections of the site.
- Limit gross floor area (GFA) to the south of Marsh Street to 340,000sqm, with a further 1.25:1 Floor Space Ratio (circa 3,250sqm of GFA) to the north of Marsh Street, to achieve the overall intended logistics, commercial, retail and short-term accommodation land uses;
- Allow other additional permitted uses and site-specific planning provisions; and,
- Promote the reclassification of Lot 14 DP213314 and Lot 1 DP108492 (Council owned and the subject of Charitable Trusts), initially from 'community' to 'operational' to ensure appropriate access, improve utility of



public open space and to create contiguous boundaries. This process will remove Charitable Trust affectations. Following rezoning and subdivision it is subsequently intended that Council reclassify residue RE1 parcels as 'community' by resolution.

The Proposal is a response to DPE's *Bayside West Precincts 2036 – Arncliffe, Banksia and Cooks Cove* (released August 2018) and the subsequent Ministerial Directions under s9.1 of the EP&A Act, being Local Planning Directions 1.11 Implementation of Bayside West Precincts 2036 Plan and 1.12 Implementation of Planning Principles for the Cooks Cove Precinct.

Description of the Master Plan 2022

The Cooks Cove Master Plan 2022, as prepared by Hassell, represents an optimised and refined reference scheme, to guide best practice design and the preparation of detailed planning controls to achieve an attractive precinct with high amenity. Key features of the Cooks Cove Master Plan are:

- A net development zone of approximately 15.3ha with up to 343,250sqm Gross Floor Area (GFA) comprising:
 - o 290,000sqm of multi-level logistics and warehousing.
 - o 22,350sqm for commercial office uses.
 - o 20,000sqm for hotel and visitor accommodation uses.
 - o 10,900sqm of retail uses.
- Multi-level logistics with building heights generally up to 5 storeys (approx. 48m).
- A retail podium with commercial office and hotel above, up to a total of 12 storeys (approx. 51m);
- Built form of a scale and composition which caters for the generation of approximately 3,300 new jobs;
- A surrounding open space precinct including:
 - A highly activated waterfront including the Fig Tree Grove outdoor dining and urban park precinct;
 - A regional Bay to Bay Regional cycle link, 'Foreshore Walk', including active and passive recreational uses, together with environmental enhancements; and
 - Master planned and Council-owned 'Pemulwuy Park' with an agreed embellishment outcome of passive open space and environmental enhancements to be delivered in stages post construction of the M6 Stage 1 Motorway.



2. Works-in-kind infrastructure contributions

Table A

Description	Ref	Scope	Value
Gertrude Street Extension (Marsh Street to Levey Street)	A1	 Construction of new link road with two lanes in each direction between Levey Street and Marsh Street. Road base, drainage, subsurface utility relocation. New kerb and gutter, footpaths, fencing, basic landscaping, signage, lighting 	To be quantified on receiving conceptual approval.
Levey Street / Gertrude Street Signalised Intersection	A2	 Conversion of existing roundabout to 4-way intersection Installation of traffic signals, line marking, signage, and lighting Utility relocations and adjustments Amendments to on-street parking in Levey Street. 	To be quantified on receiving conceptual approval.
Gertrude Street Upgrade (Levey Street to Princes Highway)	A3	 Widening of marked two lane road to four lanes, between Levey Street to the approach of Princes Highway. Line marking and realignment of northern footpath, kerb and gutter, basic landscaping Utility relocations and adjustment to lighting 	To be quantified on receiving conceptual approval
Marsh Street / Gertrude Street Intersection Enhancement	B1	 Revision to concrete medians to create northbound dual right turn bay into Gertrude Street East and southbound dual right turn bay into Gertrude Street Extension. Construction of Marsh Street southbound deceleration lane to permit left turn into Gertrude Street East. Installation of traffic signals, intersection, and pedestrian line marking Remove traffic signals serving Innesdale Road and undertake necessary adjustments required to alter permitted movements to left in and left out only to/from Marsh Street New footpaths, fencing, signage, lighting, road sheeting as required Utility relocations and adjustments 	To be quantified on receiving conceptual approval



Marsh Street / Flora Street Intersection Enhancement	B2	- - -	Revision to concrete medians to eliminate northbound right turn bay into existing M6/M8 temporary construction compound and lengthening of southbound right turn bay to M5 Motorway Intersection line marking and traffic signal adjustments including new pedestrian crossing Extension of the northbound right turn bay to Gertrude Street (item B1 integration) Construction of southbound deceleration / left turn bay to Flora Street east New kerb and gutter, footpaths, required adjustments to Marsh Street east cycleway fencing, signage, lighting, road sheeting, basic roadside landscaping as required Utility relocations and adjustments	To be quantified on receiving conceptual approval
Gertrude Street East Extension	B3	-	Provision of a four-lane connector road to Marsh Street to boundary of Lot 100/DP1231954 Integration of a southbound left turn slip lane into new Gertrude Street East. Stormwater culvert consistent with flood mitigation strategy Road base, drainage, subsurface utility relocation. New medians, footpaths, fencing, signage, lighting	To be quantified on receiving conceptual approval
Flora Street East Extension	B4	- - - -	Provision of five - lane connector road to Marsh Street / Flora Street intersection Maintain access to Arncliffe Motorway Operations Compound at all times. Integrate new road design and undertake necessary modifications to the road access arrangements arising from M6 Stage 1 to AMOC Land dedication of part Lot 100 DP1231954 and four- lane road incorporating necessary southbound AMOC access modifications and access to Lot 1/DP108492 and 40 bay at grade car parking facility Stormwater culvert consistent with flood mitigation strategy Road base, drainage, subsurface utility relocation. New medians, footpaths, line marking, fencing, signage, lighting Pedestrian crossing to facilitate access from Lot 14 / DP213314 to Lot 1 DP108492	To be quantified on receiving conceptual approval



Bus stops E1	-	Northbound and southbound bus stops to the south of the Marsh / Gertrude Street intersection. Southbound with signage and shelter. Northbound signage only as it is believed there is insufficient area to accommodate a bus shelter within northbound Marsh Street road reserve	To be quantified on receiving conceptual approval
--------------	---	--	--

3. Additional infrastructure monetary contributions

Table B

Description	Ref	Scope	Value
Pedestrian & cycle	B7	A number of studies, including the Bayside West	\$4m
infrastructure		Precincts 2036 Plan, have identified that active	
improvements to		transport improvements to this TfNSW asset would	
Giovanni Brunetti		benefit the Bayside Community, the Cooks Cove	
Bridge		project, Sydney Airport and regional pedestrian and	
		cyclists. We commit to a \$4,000,000 progressive	
		contribution proportional to the completion of	
		approved floorspace, to this, or an alternative active	
		transport improvement to access between Cooks	
		Cove and Sydney Airport.	
Forest Road,	E2	Contribution to road network upgrades along Forest	\$4.7m
Arncliffe – Eden		Road, west of Princes Highway, or alternative	
Street and Firth		locations as identified by TfNSW to improve the	
Street intersections		State/Regional road network or signalised	
		intersections in the vicinity of the Cooks Cove site	

4. Timing and delivery terms of contributions

The above components are intended to be delivered as follows:

- a) Transport Infrastructure Contributions Cook Cove Inlet would enter into a Transport Infrastructure Contributions (TIC) deed with TfNSW (and supplementary Bayside Council works agreement/deed as relevant) prior to the gazettal of amended planning controls the subject of this Planning Proposal.
- b) The TIC deed would be finalised by both parties acting reasonably within four months of the commencement of the drafting of the deed.
- c) Works-in-kind Cook Cove Inlet will facilitate the implementation of the works-in-kind components identified as A1-A3, B1-B4 and E1 prior to an Occupation Certificate being issued for floorspace the subject of this Planning Proposal within Lot 100 in DP 1231954.
- d) **Monetary contributions** Cook Cove Inlet to make staged payments in relation to the monetary contributions items B7 and E2 at the rate of \$25,588 per 1,000sqm (the total equivalent of \$8.7m), prior to the progressive issue of



Occupation Certificates for floorspace arising from the gazettal of this Planning Proposal within Lot 100 in DP 1231954.

e) Legal costs – Cook Cove Inlet will pay TfNSW no more than \$25,000 for the legal costs associated with the drafting of the TIC deed; and

We look forward to TfNSW's response on the proposal outlined in this letter and advice as to the documentation required to advance the resolution of the TIC deed.



<u>19 November 2021 TfNSW correspondence 'Cook Cove Planning Proposal – F6</u> <u>Corridor and Flood Mitigation' - Deputy Secretaries Camilla Drover and Megan</u> <u>Bourke O'Neil (Attachment C)</u>



Ref GSD21/07616

19 November 2021

Mr John Boyd Director John Boyd Properties Level 3 Legion House 161 Castlereagh Street Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr. Boyd

COOK COVE PLANNING PROPOSAL – F6 CORRIDOR AND FLOOD MITIGATION

Following the meeting between Boyd Properties and Transport for NSW on 17 November 2021, we provide confirmation on the following:

Transport for NSW's requirements for the F6 Corridor

Transport for NSW does not require the F6 corridor across the Kogarah Golf Course lands for a Transport purpose, beyond the use of the F6 corridor within the defined Project Construction Site for the M6 Project at Arncliffe (see the green hatched area on Attachment 1) (**Arncliffe Site**).

The M6 Stage 1 Project has acquired land, which formed part of KGC, from Bayside Council (see Attachment 1). Consequently there is a remote risk that the M6 Stage 1 Project surface works will encroach beyond the M6 Stage 1 Project Construction Site footprint.

In addition to the above land, the M6 Stage 1 Project will also acquire substratum in this area:

- from Bayside Council (see the yellow hatched area on Attachment 1); and
- within the KGC land (see the description and plan in Attachment 2).

The F6 Corridor, which is a surface land corridor, does not encroach upon these substratum areas.

M6 and Cook Cove Flood Mitigation

TfNSW commits to facilitating coordination between the M6 Stage 1 technical teams and the Cook Cove technical team to work together on flood mitigation and road access issues that deliver the requirements of the M6 Stage 1 and consider the proposed Cook Cove development. It is expected that this is likely to be undertaken during Q1 2022.

Any cost implications of this will be discussed and agreed between Boyd Properties and TfNSW.

Please contact the undersigned if you have any queries.

Yours faithfully

Camilla Drover

Deputy Secretary Infrastructure and Place Transport for NSW

Megan Bourke O'Neil

Deputy Secretary Greater Sydney Transport for NSW

Cc: Eastern City Planning Panel David Petrie, Planning Delivery Unit

Kris Walsh, Department of Planning, Industry and Environment



ATTACHMENT 1 – ARNCLIFFE SITE MAP

ATTACHMENT 2 – KOGARAH GOLF COURSE SUBSTRATUM LEASE MAP

The subsurface land comprising:

that part of Lot 100 Deposited Plan 1231954 lying to the north west of a line between point 1 (easting 329953.85, northing 6243617.80) and point 2 (easting 329626.31, northing 6243288.48), shown on the plan hereunder and lying below a horizontal plane at Reduced Level minus 8 (-8) AHD





Cooks Cove Urban Design and Landscape Report – Hassell (Attachment D).



COOKS COVE **URBAN DESIGN +** LANDSCAPE REPORT (PLANNING PROPOSAL)

016462 **Cooks Cove**



Hassell © 22 December 2022

PRECINCT MASTERPLAN

A highly integrated precinct of logistics, commercial, retail and hotel that is intertwined with a highly diverse open space network. A centre of business, logistics and employment that is of local, state and national significance.

E	CF	N	п
	GL		υ.

1	Block 1 - retail, commercial and waterfront plaza
2	Block 2 - commercial, retail, hotel
3	Fig Tree Grove pavilion
4	Fig Tree Grove
5	Marsh Street plaza
6	Block 3 - Logistics hub
7	Gertrude Street intersection upgrade and extension
8	Flora Street intersection upgrade and extension
9	Culvert under road
10	Frog ponds (by TfNSW)
11	Pemulwuy Park North (by Bayside Council)
12	Pemulwuy Park South (by Bayside Council)
13	20m wide forehore
14	Ethane Pipeline
15	Desalination Pipeline
16	Sydney Water Land
17	Commonwealth Land (Sydney Airport)
	Cooks Cove Master Plan 2022 Boundary
	Cooks Cove Inlet Pty LTD Development Boundary



LAND USE PLAN Block 1

The Cooks Cove Planning Proposal is made up of Blocks 1, 2 and 3. Each block represents a specific area within the site. This Includes a commercial and retail parcel in Block 1 north of Marsh Street; a Hotel, Commercial and Retail parcel in Block 2 that addresses the waterfront; and a southern Logistics development in Block 3 that is made up of several large mass type buildings. A maximum floor area (GFA) of 343,250m2 is proposed across three blocks.

Novote Block 2 Future Pemulwuy Par (North) Block 3 Arncliffe Motorway **Operations Complex (MOC)** Future Pemulwuy Park (South)

Area Schedule

	Block 1	Block 2	Block 3	Total
Hotel/ Accomodation		20,000m ²		20,000m ²
Commercial	2,350m ²	20,000m ²		22,350m ²
Retail	900m ²	10,000m ²		10,900m ²
Logistics/ warehouse			290,000m ²	290,000m ²
Total	3,250m²	50,000m ²	290,000m ²	343,250m ²









North









The Cooks River foreshore is a 20m wide landscaped corridor approx. 1km in length. The foreshore will provide public waterfront access via pedestrian walkways and a separated two-way cyclepath. There will be areas of ecological restoration and salt marsh planting with boardwalks and lookouts.

The Cooks Cove foreshore will be an exciting new waterfront destination for the surrounding community, visitors and workers within the adjacent development. Accessible to the public the foreshore will connect with the existing Cahill Park to the north and the new Pemulwuy Park to the south. There will also be safeguarding for future pedestrian and cycle connections to the south over the existing SWSOOS, which will provide a regional link to the south, connecting with a future Muddy **Creek crossing and existing pathways** to Kyeemagh and Sans Souci.

LEGEND

7

Legend

1 Landscape promenade 2 Foreshore steps 3 Landscape buffer to road 4 Landscape embankment

Lookout nodes

9 Cycle path

signage

5 Mangroves between MHWS and MWL 6 Elevated pedestrian boardwalk

8 Landscape swale with semi-aquatic planting

10 Kayak pull up zone with shelter and informatio

Desalination Easemen

ning proposal boundar

Gas Easement

The proposed foreshore aims to achieve the following outcomes:

- → maximise public and visual access and open view corridors
- \rightarrow provide a dedicated two-way cyclepath, and safeguarding for a future cycle link to the south over the SWSOOS (by others)
- → provide a diverse and natural pedestrian waterfront experience through promenades, walkways and boardwalks
- habitat creation with mangroves and salt marsh planting





- \rightarrow provide ecological restoration and
- \rightarrow preserve corridor views to the adjacent Pemulwuy Park, and open views to the Cooks River \rightarrow maximise public safety with pedestrian lighting and visual surveillance from the adjacent development.





Images

- 1. Westbund Riverfront by Hassell. Photo: Isabel Tang
- 2. Hornsbergs Strandpark by Nyrens Arkitektkontor. Photo Åke Eson Lindman
- 3. Narrabeen Lagoon by Aspect Studios. Photo: Simon Wood
- 4. Perreux River Banks by BASE. Photo: BASE

MOVEMENT + CIRCULATION

Openspace strategies for Pemulwuy Park are shown indicatively only in this planning proposal. The park is subject to a future design and delivery by Bayside Council.

The open space areas will be permeable and connected with a network of pedestrian and cycle paths, boardwalks and footbridges.

The proposed movement and circulation framework provides an extensive circulation network for pedestrians and cyclists within a pedestrian-oriented and accessible environment. The majority of the open space areas are car-free, with the exception of a one-way slow speed vehicle circulation road through Pemulwuy Park South. Other open space areas are vehicle accessible via adjacent pedestrian dropoff and short stay parking areas.

Pedestrian circulation is the highest priority when it comes to designing vibrant and welcoming places that are accessible by all.

Key outcomes of the design include:

- \rightarrow Pedestrian pathways designed to accommodate use and circulation 24/7 and year round, with pedestrian lighting and design with CPTED principles.
- → A landscape maintenance regime established to enable ease of circulation through the streets and pathways.
- \rightarrow Expanded internal circulation network to connect to external streets and parklands to increase ease of pedestrian access from adjacent areas.
- \rightarrow All pathways to also accommodate bicycle circulation.
- \rightarrow All streets including the road footpaths to be shaded with street trees where possible to provide comfortable walking environments.
- \rightarrow Signage and wayfinding located at entry points, nodes and intersections.





3

Wayfinding and signage











Circulation and Connectivity



- 1. The Parklands of Floyds Fork by WRT. Photo: Ted
- Wathen 2. Walking and Cycling Trails. City of Salisbury
- 3. Cycling. NSW Government
- 4. Walking and Cycling Trail
- 5. Parramatta cycleway. City of Parramatta 6. Xuhui Runway Park by Sasaki. Photo: Insaw
- Photography 7. Adelaide City Wayfinding by Studio Binocular
- 8. Signage and Wayfinding





Planning proposal boundary

Urban Design Report 016462 Cooks Cove



ACCESS + LINKS

Openspace strategies for Pemulwuy Park are shown indicatively only in this planning proposal. The park is subject to a future design and delivery by Bayside Council.

The Cooks Cove planning proposal will connect with existing active travel corridors, public transport infrastructure and planned future bicycle routes.

The Cooks Cove site is located adjacent to a number of existing cycle corridors including the existing cycle path on Marsh Street, and the off-street connection to Wolli Creek via Cahill Park and the Cooks River foreshore.

The site is also positioned on a strategic cycle corridor from Brighton Le Sands to CBD, identified within the Strategic Cycleway Corridors for the Eastern Harbour City, Transport for NSW. This corridor will be enhanced by the proposed foreshore cycleway within this proposal, and will be further strengthened by the potential future links across the M5, SWSOOS and Muddy Creek (to be delivered by others).



Legend



